

83. The Set of Primes Bounded by the Minkowski Constant of a Number Field

By Makoto ISHIBASHI*)

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1990)

Let k be an algebraic number field with degree $m=r_1+2r_2\geq 2$ and discriminant d_k , where (r_1, r_2) denotes the signature of k . Write $M_k=(4/\pi)^{r_2}(m!/m^m)\sqrt{|d_k|}$ (the Minkowski constant of k) and $M(k)=\{p; \text{rational prime and } p\leq M_k\}$. For every prime number p , let $p O_k=P_1^{e_1}\cdots P_g^{e_g}$ be the decomposition into prime ideals of O_k (where O_k denotes the ring of integers in k , $P_i\neq P_j$ ($i\neq j$) are distinct prime ideals of O_k). In general, the prime number p is not necessarily irreducible element in O_k . Let $\text{Irr}(O_k)$ be the set of all irreducible elements in O_k . Now we define nine subsets $A_0(k), A_1(k), \dots, A_8(k)$ of $M(k)$ as follows.

$$A_0(k)=\{p\in M(k); g=e_1=1 \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ remains prime in } O_k, \text{ so } p\in \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_1(k)=\{p\in M(k); g=1, e_1=m \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ is fully ramified), } p\in \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_2(k)=\{p\in M(k); e_1+\cdots+e_g\leq m, 1\leq e_j \text{ for some } j, p\in \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_3(k)=\{p\in M(k); g=m, e_1=\cdots=e_g=1 \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ splits completely),}$$

$$p\in \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_4(k)=\{p\in M(k); g\leq m, e_1=\cdots=e_g=1 \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ is unramified), } p\in \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_5(k)=\{p\in M(k); g=1, e_1=m \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ is fully ramified), } p\notin \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_6(k)=\{p\in M(k); e_1+\cdots+e_g\leq m, 1\leq e_j \text{ for some } j, p\notin \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_7(k)=\{p\in M(k); g=m, e_1=\cdots=e_g=1 \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ splits completely),}$$

$$p\notin \text{Irr}(O_k)\}$$

$$A_8(k)=\{p\in M(k); g\leq m, e_1=\cdots=e_g=1 \text{ (i.e. } p \text{ is unramified), } p\notin \text{Irr}(O_k)\}.$$

Then we have $M(k)=A_0(k)\cup A_1(k)\cup\cdots\cup A_8(k)$ (disjoint union). In case $m=2$, the subsets $A_2(k), A_4(k), A_6(k), A_8(k)$ are of course empty.

The following three theorems are variations on the theme of T. Ono [2].

Theorem 1. *If $M(k)=A_0(k)$, then the class number h_k of k is one.*

Proof. By the Minkowski lemma, the ideal class group H_k of k is generated by the classes of prime ideals over $p\in M(k)$. Hence we have $h_k=1$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 1. *Let $aO_k=Q_1\cdots Q_n$ be the decomposition into prime ideals (Q_1, \dots, Q_n are not necessarily distinct, $a\in O_k$). Suppose that Q_i belongs to an ideal class $x_i\in H_k$ ($1\leq i\leq n$) and x_0 denotes the principal class of H_k . Then a is an irreducible element in O_k if and only if $x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_m}\neq x_0$ for every proper subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. See Lemma 1.2 in Czogala [1]. Q.E.D.

Theorem 2. *If $\#(A_1(k)\cup A_3(k))\geq 1$, then $h_k\geq m=(k:\mathbb{Q})$.*

*) 1-27-10 Kitahara-cho, Tanashi-shi, Tokyo 188.

Proof. Assume that $p \in M(k) \cap \text{Irr}(O_k)$ and $pO_k = P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_g^{e_g}$. By Lemma 1, the ideals $P_1, P_1^2, \dots, P_1^{e_1}, P_1^{e_1}P_2, \dots, P_1^{e_1}P_2^{e_2}, \dots, P_1^{e_1}P_2^{e_2} \cdots P_{g-1}^{e_{g-1}}P_g, \dots, P_1^{e_1}P_2^{e_2} \cdots P_{g-1}^{e_{g-1}}P_g^{e_g}$ are non-equivalent. Hence we have $e_1 + \cdots + e_g \leq h_k$. Therefore, $p \in A_1(k) \cup A_s(k)$ implies $e_1 + \cdots + e_g = m$. This completes our proof.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3. *Let V_m be the family of all algebraic number fields k with a fixed degree m and $M_k \geq 3$. For each $k \in V_m$, write $d_k = (-1)^{r_2} p_{p_1}^{e_1} \cdots p_{s(k)}^{e_{s(k)}} p_{s(k)+b_1}^{f_1} \cdots p_{s(k)+b_{t(k)}}^{f_{t(k)}}$, where p_j denotes j -th rational prime ($j=1, 2, \dots$) and $p_{s(k)} \leq M_k < p_{s(k)+1}$ ($b_1 < \cdots < b_{t(k)}$). Suppose that $W_m = \{k \in V_m; e_{s(k)-1} \geq 1 \text{ and } e_{s(k)} \geq 1\}$. Then W_m is a finite set.*

Proof. From Tschebysheff's theorem (i.e. $p_{j+1} < 2p_j$) and $p_s + 2b \leq p_{s+b}$ ($b \geq 1, s \geq 2$), it follows that

$$(m! / m^m)^2 p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_{s(k)}^{e_{s(k)}} (p_{s(k)} + 2b_1)^{f_1} \cdots (p_{s(k)} + 2b_{t(k)})^{f_{t(k)}} < 4p_{s(k)}^2.$$

Hence we have

$$p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_{s(k)-2}^{e_{s(k)-2}} p_{s(k)-1}^{e_{s(k)-1}} p_{s(k)}^{e_{s(k)}} (p_{s(k)} + 2b_1)^{f_1} \cdots (p_{s(k)} + 2b_{t(k)})^{f_{t(k)}} < 8m^{2m} / (m!)^2.$$

Thus $s(k), t(k), e_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq s(k)$), f_j ($1 \leq j \leq t(k)$), $b_1, \dots, b_{t(k)}$ are bounded. Therefore, the absolute values of d_k ($k \in W_m$) are bounded from above by a positive constant (independent of k , and only dependent on m). Since there exist only finitely many number fields with a fixed given discriminant, we know that W_m is a finite set.

Q.E.D.

References

- [1] Czogala, A.: Arithmetical characterization of algebraic number fields with small class number. *Math. Zeit.*, **176**, 247-253 (1981).
- [2] Ono, T.: A problem on quadratic fields. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **64A**, 78-79 (1988).