

## 68. The Aitken-Steffensen Formula for Systems of Nonlinear Equations. IV

By Tatsuo NODA

Faculty of Engineering, Toyama Prefectural University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1990)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $x=(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a vector in  $R^n$  and  $D$  a region contained in  $R^n$ . Let  $f(x)$  be a real-valued nonlinear function defined on  $D$ . We denote by  $R^{n \times n}$  the set of all  $n \times n$  real matrices. Define an  $n$ -dimensional vector  $\nabla f(x)$  and an  $n \times n$  matrix  $H(x)$  by

$$\nabla f(x) = (\partial f(x) / \partial x_i) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

and

$$H(x) = (\partial^2 f(x) / \partial x_j \partial x_k) \quad (1 \leq j, k \leq n).$$

For any  $x \in R^n$ , we shall use the norms  $\|x\|$  and  $\|x\|_2$  defined by

$$\|x\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i| \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

respectively. The corresponding matrix norms, denoted by  $\|A\|$  and  $\|A\|_s$ , are defined as

$$\|A\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_s = \lambda^{1/2},$$

respectively, where  $A=(a_{ij}) \in R^{n \times n}$ , and  $\lambda$  is the maximum eigenvalue of  $A^*A$ ,  $A^*$  being the transposed matrix of  $A$ . We also define the matrix norm  $\|A\|_E$  by

$$\|A\|_E = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In this section, we shall assume the same conditions (A.1)–(A.4) as in [5] except for (A.1).

(A.1)  $f(x)$  is three times continuously differentiable on  $D$ .

(A.2) There exists a point  $\bar{x} \in D$  satisfying  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ .

(A.3) The  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix  $H(\bar{x})$  is positive definite.

(A.4)  $\beta$  is a constant satisfying  $0 < \beta < 2$ .

We see that  $f(x)$  has a local minimum at  $\bar{x}$  by conditions (A.1)–(A.3). For computational purpose, we have proposed in [5, (2.1)] an iteration method

$$(1.1) \quad x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - \frac{\beta}{\|H(x^{(k)})\|_E} \nabla f(x^{(k)})$$

for finding  $\bar{x}$  under conditions (A.1)–(A.4).

As mentioned in [2], [3] and [4], Henrici [1, p. 116] has considered a formula, which is called the Aitken-Steffensen formula. Now, we have studied the above Aitken-Steffensen formula for systems of nonlinear equations in [2], [3] and [4], and shown [2, Theorem 2], [3, Theorem 2] and [4, Theorem 1].

The purpose of this paper is to construct a formula by use of (1.1), which we shall also call an Aitken-Steffensen formula, and to show Theorem 1 by using [2, Theorem 2].

2. **Statement of results.** Define an  $n$ -dimensional vector  $g(x) = (g_i(x))$  by

$$(2.1) \quad g(x) = x - \frac{\beta}{\|H(x)\|_E} \nabla f(x).$$

Given  $x^{(0)} \in R^n$ , define  $x^{(i)} \in R^n$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots$ ) by

$$x^{(i+1)} = g(x^{(i)}) \quad (i=0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Put  $d^{(i)} = x^{(i)} - \bar{x}$  for  $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and then define an  $n \times n$  matrix  $D_k$  by

$$D_k = (d^{(k)}, d^{(k+1)}, \dots, d^{(k+n-1)}).$$

In addition to conditions (A.1)–(A.4), we suppose the following two conditions (A.5) and (A.6) which are based on [2, Theorem 2].

(A.5) The vectors  $d^{(k)}, d^{(k+1)}, \dots, d^{(k+n-1)}$ ,  $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , are linearly independent.

$$(A.6) \quad \inf \{ |\det D_k| / \|d^{(k)}\|_2^n \} > 0.$$

As suggested by [2, (1.5)], we can construct an Aitken-Steffensen formula

$$(2.2) \quad y^{(k)} = x^{(k)} - \Delta X^{(k)} (\Delta^2 X^{(k)})^{-1} \Delta x^{(k)} \quad (k=0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where an  $n$ -dimensional vector  $\Delta x^{(k)}$ , and  $n \times n$  matrices  $\Delta X^{(k)}$  and  $\Delta^2 X^{(k)}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x^{(k)} &= x^{(k+1)} - x^{(k)}, \\ \Delta X^{(k)} &= (x^{(k+1)} - x^{(k)}, \dots, x^{(k+n)} - x^{(k+n-1)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta^2 X^{(k)} = \Delta X^{(k+1)} - \Delta X^{(k)}.$$

In this paper, we shall show the following

**Theorem 1.** *Under conditions (A.1)–(A.6), for  $x^{(k)} \in U(\bar{x}; \delta)$ , there exists a constant  $M_2$  such that the following property*

$$\|y^{(k)} - \bar{x}\|_2 \leq M_2 \|x^{(k)} - \bar{x}\|_2^2$$

*holds for sufficiently large  $k$ .*

3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** We shall prove Theorem 1. By (A.3),

$$0 < (\rho, H(\bar{x})\rho) \leq \|H(\bar{x})\|_E$$

for any  $\rho \in R^n$  with  $\|\rho\|_2 = 1$ . Since, by (A.1),  $\|H(x)\|_E$  is continuous at every point  $x \in D$ , there exists a neighbourhood

$$U(\bar{x}; \delta_1) = \{x; \|x - \bar{x}\|_2 < \delta_1\} \subset D$$

such that  $x \in U(\bar{x}; \delta_1)$  implies  $\|H(x)\|_E > 0$ . Then, we observe that, by (A.1),

$$(3.1) \quad g_i(x) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n) \text{ are two times continuously differentiable on } U(\bar{x}; \delta_1),$$

and, from (2.1), by (A.2),

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{x} = g(\bar{x}),$$

while we have shown in [5] that the following inequality

$$(3.3) \quad \|G(\bar{x})\|_s < 1$$

holds from (A.3) and (A.4), where  $G(x) = (\partial g_i(x) / \partial x_j)$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ). Choosing a constant  $M$  so as to satisfy  $\|G(\bar{x})\|_s < M < 1$ , we see, by (A.1), that there exists a constant  $\delta \leq \delta_1$  such that  $U(\bar{x}; \delta) \subset U(\bar{x}; \delta_1)$  and  $\|G(x)\|_s < M$  for  $x \in U(\bar{x}; \delta)$ . By (1.1), (2.1) and (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(k+1)} - \bar{x} &= g(x^{(k)}) - g(\bar{x}) \\ &= \int_0^1 G(\bar{x} + t(x^{(k)} - \bar{x}))(x^{(k)} - \bar{x}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $\bar{x} + t(x^{(k)} - \bar{x}) \in U(\bar{x}; \delta)$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ), provided  $x^{(k)} \in U(\bar{x}; \delta)$ . Then, by  $\|G(x)\|_s < M$  for  $x \in U(\bar{x}; \delta)$  shown above,

$$\int_0^1 \|G(\bar{x} + t(x^{(k)} - \bar{x}))\|_s dt \leq M$$

holds, so that we have

$$(3.4) \quad \|x^{(k+1)} - \bar{x}\|_2 \leq M \|x^{(k)} - \bar{x}\|_2$$

for  $x^{(k)} \in U(\bar{x}; \delta)$ .

For the proof of Theorem 1, we need the following well-known relations.

$$(3.5) \quad n^{-1/2} \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\| \leq \|x\|_2 \quad \text{for all } x \in R^n,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \|I\| = \|I\|_s = 1 \quad \text{for the identity matrix } I \in R^{n \times n},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \|A\|_s \leq \|A\|_E \quad \text{for all } A \in R^{n \times n}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad n^{-1/2} \|A\|_s \leq \|A\| \leq n^{1/2} \|A\|_s \quad \text{for all } A \in R^{n \times n}.$$

Now, we recall that conditions (A.1)–(A.4) imply (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) as shown above. Then applying the argument in the proof of [2, Theorem 2] to the norms  $\|x\|_2$  and  $\|A\|_s$  instead of the norms  $\|x\|$  and  $\|A\|$ , respectively, and using (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we deduce that, for  $x^{(k)} \in U(\bar{x}; \delta)$ , there exists a constant  $M_2$  such that

$$\|y^{(k)} - \bar{x}\|_2 \leq M_2 \|x^{(k)} - \bar{x}\|_2^2$$

holds for sufficiently large  $k$ . In this way, we have proved Theorem 1, as desired.

4. Numerical example. We deal with a function

$$y(x; a, b, c, d) = e^{ax}(c \cos bx + d \sin bx) \quad (a < 0),$$

which is the same as in [5]. In order to show the efficiency of the Aitken-Steffensen formula (2.2), we consider a system of nonlinear equations, Example 4.1. The solution of Example 4.1 using the Aitken-Steffensen formula (2.2) is presented in Table 4.1 below, together with the solution by the iteration method [5, (2.1)].

$$\text{Example 4.1: } \begin{cases} y(0.0; a, b, c, d) = 1.50, \\ y(0.8; a, b, c, d) = -0.05, \\ y(1.6; a, b, c, d) = -0.12, \\ y(2.4; a, b, c, d) = 0.04. \end{cases}$$

The solution is  $(a, b, c, d) = (-1.50, -2.50, 1.50, -0.50)$ .

Table 4.1. Computation results for Example 4.1

| Methods                                      | Solutions                                       |
|--|---|
| Iteration method [5, (2.1)] ( $\beta=0.99$ ) | (-1.506458, -2.501487,<br>1.499880, -0.5009617) |
| Aitken-Steffensen formula (2.2)              | (-1.502620, -2.505557,<br>1.499941, -0.5007080) |

$$(a^{(0)}, b^{(0)}, c^{(0)}, d^{(0)}) = (-1.0, -1.0, -1.0, -1.0)$$

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to H. Mine, Professor Emeritus of Kyoto University, for many valuable suggestions.

### References

- [1] P. Henrici: Elements of Numerical Analysis. John Wiley, New York (1964).
- [2] T. Noda: The Aitken-Steffensen formula for systems of nonlinear equations. *Sûgaku*, **33**, 369-372 (1981) (in Japanese).
- [3] —: The Aitken-Steffensen formula for systems of nonlinear equations. II. *ibid.*, **33**, 83-85 (1986) (in Japanese).
- [4] —: The Aitken-Steffensen formula for systems of nonlinear equations. III. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **62A**, 174-177 (1986).
- [5] —: A modification of the gradient method and function extremization. *ibid.*, **65A**, 39-42 (1989).

