

#### 40. A Note on the Mean Value of the Zeta and L-functions. VII

By Aleksandar IVIĆ<sup>\*)</sup> and Yoichi MOTOHASHI<sup>\*\*)</sup>

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., June 12, 1990)

1. Let  $E_2(T)$  be the error-term in the asymptotic formula for the fourth power mean of the Riemann zeta-function, so that

$$(1) \quad \int_0^T \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^4 dt = TP_4(\log T) + E_2(T)$$

with a certain polynomial  $P_4$  of degree 4. As has been pointed out already in the preceding note [3] of this series, Corollary 2 in it gives an alternative proof of Zavorotnyi's claim [5]:

$$(2) \quad E_2(T) = O(T^{(2/3)+\varepsilon})$$

for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In fact this is simply a resultant of combining the corollary with the spectral mean of Hecke series ([4]):

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\kappa_j \leq x} \alpha_j H_j \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^4 \ll x^{2+\varepsilon}.$$

Here  $\{\lambda_j = \kappa_j^2 + (1/4), \kappa_j > 0\}$  is the discrete spectrum of the non-Euclidean Laplacian on  $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ , and  $\alpha_j = |\rho_j(1)|^2 (\cosh \pi \kappa_j)^{-1}$  with the first Fourier coefficient  $\rho_j(1)$  of the Maass wave form corresponding to  $\lambda_j$  to which the Hecke series  $H_j$  is attached.

Though (2) is the hitherto best result on the upper bound for  $E_2(T)$  it is generally believed that  $T^{(1/2)+\varepsilon}$  may be the true order of it. The aim of the present note is to study this problem from the opposite direction. Namely we are going to show that under a hypothesis of the type of nonvanishing theorems for automorphic  $L$ -functions one may deduce an  $\Omega$ -result on  $E_2(T)$  from [Theorem, 3].

To formulate the hypothesis we denote by  $\{\mu_h\}$ , arranged in the increasing order, the mutually different members in the set  $\{\kappa_j\}$ . And we put

$$G_h = \sum_{\kappa_j = \mu_h} \alpha_j H_j \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^3.$$

Now we set out

**Hypothesis A.** *Not all  $G_h$  vanish.*

Then we have

**Theorem.** *Under Hypothesis A  $E_2(T) = \Omega(T^{1/2})$  holds.*

We note that Zavorotnyi's argument [5] does not seem to be able to yield our theorem. Also the theorem should be compared with the  $\Omega$ -result on the mean square of  $|\zeta((1/2) + it)|$  due to Good [1] (for the latest develop-

<sup>\*)</sup> Serbian Academy of Sciences, Beograd, Yugoslavia.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University, Tokyo, Japan.

ments see Hafner and Ivić [2]).

**Remark.** (i) If  $G_h=0$  for all  $h$  then the Lindelöf hypothesis for  $\zeta(s)$  would follow. (ii) It is clear that Hypothesis A is a consequence of the following stronger statement:

**Hypothesis B.** *There exists a  $\lambda$ , of multiplicity one such that  $H_j(1/2) \neq 0$ .*

Probably this may be checked numerically.

2. We shall give an outline of our proof of the theorem; a detailed version is available in a form of manuscript, and will be published elsewhere.

As in [3] we put

$$I_4(T, \Delta) = (\Delta\sqrt{\pi})^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i(T+t)\right) \right|^4 e^{-t/\Delta^2} dt,$$

and consider the expression

$$(4) \quad \int_T^{2T} I_4(t, \Delta) dt = TQ_4(\log T) + R(T, \Delta),$$

where  $Q_4$  is a polynomial of degree 4. This is suggested by [Corollary 2, 3], so that  $R(T, \Delta)$  is supposed to be of the order of  $T^c$  with a  $c < 1$  for some suitably chosen  $\Delta$ . Then we make the initial observation:

**Lemma 1.** *If  $R(T, \Delta) = \Omega(g(T))$  for  $\Delta$  satisfying  $T^\epsilon \leq \Delta \leq T^{1-\epsilon}$  and  $\Delta = o(g(T) \log^{-5} T)$  then we have  $E_2(T) = \Omega(g(T))$ .*

This can be proved by truncating, in an obvious manner, the double integral involved in (4) and taking (1) into account.

Next we introduce

$$S(V, \Delta) = \int_V^{2V} R(T, \Delta) dT.$$

If Hypothesis A implies  $S(V, \Delta) = \Omega(V^{3/2})$  for  $\Delta$  satisfying  $V^\epsilon \leq \Delta \leq V^{(1/2)-\epsilon}$  then obviously  $R(T, \Delta) = \Omega(T^{1/2})$  for  $\Delta$  satisfying  $T^\epsilon \leq \Delta \leq T^{(1/2)-\epsilon}$ , and Lemma 1 ends the proof of the theorem. Thus we are led to the problem of finding a suitable explicit formula for  $S(V, \Delta)$  for  $\Delta$  in the indicated range. The reason that we have integrated  $R(T, \Delta)$ , instead of treating it directly, is that for  $S(V, \Delta)$  we can give such an explicit formula but it seems difficult to do so for  $R(T, \Delta)$ .

In fact, after a somewhat involved computation we have deduced from [Theorem, 3] and (3) the following:

**Lemma 2.** *Uniformly for  $V^\epsilon \leq \Delta \leq V^{(1/4)-\epsilon}$  we have*

$$S(V, \Delta) = 2V^{3/2} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} G_h(F(\mu_h)V^{t_{\mu_h}} + F(-\mu_h)V^{-t_{\mu_h}}) \right\} + O(V^{3/2}(\log V)^{-1}),$$

where

$$F(\mu) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\mu + \frac{i}{2}\right)\right) \left( (\sinh \pi\mu)^{-1} + i \right) \frac{\Gamma^3((1/2) - i\mu)(2^{(1/2)+i\mu} - 1)(2^{(3/2)+i\mu} - 1)}{\Gamma(1 - 2i\mu)(1 + 2i\mu)(3 + 2i\mu)}.$$

We note that  $F(\mu) = O(|\mu|^{-5/2})$  as  $|\mu|$  tends to infinity; this and (3) imply that the last sum over  $h$  is absolutely convergent.

Now, providing Hypothesis A, the desired  $\Omega$ -result for  $S(V, \Delta)$  will be

an easy consequence of the last lemma, once we establish the following general assertion:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\{a_j\}$  and  $\{b_j\}$  be such that  $|a_1| > |b_1|$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (|a_j| + |b_j|) < \infty$ . Also let  $\{\omega_j\}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers. Then we have, as  $x$  tends to infinity,*

$$\operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j x^{i\omega_j} + b_j x^{-i\omega_j}) \right\} = \Omega_{\pm}(1).$$

To show this we denote the left side by  $\varphi_0(x)$  and define  $\varphi_n(x)$  inductively by

$$\varphi_{n+1}(x) = \int_x^{\tau x} \varphi_n(x) \frac{dx}{x},$$

where  $\tau = \exp(\pi/\omega_1)$ . As is easily seen we have, for any  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\left| 2^{-n} \varphi_n(x) - \operatorname{Im} \left\{ a_1 \left( \frac{i}{\omega_1} \right)^n x^{i\omega_1} \right\} \right| \leq |b_1| \omega_1^{-n} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (|a_j| + |b_j|) \omega_j^{-n}.$$

Taking  $n$  sufficiently large the right side is less than  $|a_1| \omega_1^{-n}$ , but the member in the braces can be equal to both  $i|a_1| \omega_1^{-n}$  and  $-i|a_1| \omega_1^{-n}$  infinitely often. This proves the lemma and thus the theorem.

**Remark.** Lemma 2 has an obvious counterpart in the theory of prime numbers. That is, in just the same way as the Chebyshev function  $\psi(x)$  is related to all complex zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  the fourth power moment of  $|\zeta((1/2) + it)|$  is related to all eigenvalues of the non-Euclidean Laplacian on  $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$  (or more exactly, all complex zeros of Selberg's zeta-function for  $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ ).

**Addendum.** After submitting this paper we learned that A. Good (J. Number Theory, **13**, 18–65 (1981)) had obtained an  $\Omega$ -result of the same strength as ours for Hecke  $L$ -series associated with holomorphic cusp forms under a certain hypothesis of the type of non-vanishing theorems.

### References

- [1] A. Good: Ein  $\Omega$ -Resultat für das quadratische Mittel der Riemannschen Zeta-funktion auf der kritischen Linie. *Invent. Math.*, **41**, 233–251 (1977).
- [2] J. L. Hafner and A. Ivić: On the mean-square of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line. *J. Number Theory*, **32**, 151–191 (1989).
- [3] Y. Motohashi: A note on the mean value of the zeta and  $L$ -functions. VI. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **65A**, 273–275 (1989).
- [4] L. A. Takhtajan and A. I. Vinogradov: An estimate of the residuum of Rankin's  $L$ -series. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR*, **267**, 30–34 (1982) (in Russian).
- [5] N. I. Zavorotnyi: On the fourth power mean of the zeta-function (preprint) (in Russian).