

1. Functorial Properties of Second Analytic Wave Front Sets and Equivalence of Two Notions of Second Microlocal Singularities

By Yasunori OKADA and Nobuyuki TOSE
 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences,
 University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1990)

1. **Introduction.** This paper aims at studying several functorial properties of second analytic wave front sets of hyperfunctions or microfunctions. As an application we show that two notions of second microlocal singularities, second singular spectrum introduced by M. Kashiwara and second analytic wave front sets due to J. Sjöstrand, are equivalent. To this aim we utilize the partial Radon transformation. We follow the notation prepared in Okada-Tose [11].

2. **Second singular spectrum.** Let M be, as in §2 of [11], an open subset in \mathbf{R}^n_x , and X be a complex neighborhood of M in \mathbf{C}^n_z . We take coordinates of $T^*_M X (\simeq \sqrt{-1} T^*M)$ [resp. T^*M] as $(x; \sqrt{-1} \xi \cdot dx)$ [resp. $(x; \xi \cdot dx)$] with $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$. We identify $\sqrt{-1} T^*M$ with T^*M by the correspondence

$$(2.1) \quad (x; \sqrt{-1} \xi \cdot dx) \longleftrightarrow (x; \xi \cdot dx).$$

$T^*_M X$ is endowed with the sheaf \mathcal{C}_M of microfunctions, which enjoys an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_M \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_M \longrightarrow \dot{\pi}_{M*}(\mathcal{C}_M|_{T^*_M X \setminus M}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here \mathcal{A}_M denotes the sheaf of real analytic functions on M , \mathcal{B}_M that of hyperfunctions, and $\dot{\pi}_M$ the restriction to $\dot{T}^*_M X (\simeq T^*_M X \setminus M)$ of the natural projection $\pi_M: T^*_M X \rightarrow M$. Moreover there exists a canonical sheaf morphism

$$Sp_M: \pi_M^{-1} \mathcal{B}_M \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_M \quad (\pi_M: T^*_M X \longrightarrow M),$$

by which we set for $u \in \mathcal{B}_M$

$$SS(u) := \text{supp}(Sp_M(u)).$$

Then $SS(u)$ is called the singular spectrum of u (refer to [13]). We remark that for $u \in \mathcal{B}_M$, we have

$$SS(u) = WF_a(u)$$

through the correspondence (2.1). This is a classical fact dating back to J.M. Bony [2], K. Kataoka [7]. Refer also to J. Sjöstrand [12].

Now let V denote an involutive submanifold in $T^*_M X$:

$$V = \{(x; \sqrt{-1} \xi \cdot dx); \xi_1 = \dots = \xi_d = 0\}.$$

We set

$$N = \{z \in X; \text{Im } z'' = 0\}, \quad \tilde{V} = T^*_N X \setminus N.$$

We take coordinates of \tilde{V} as $(z', x''; \sqrt{-1} \xi'' \cdot dx'')$, and we have in T^*X the injection

$$V = \dot{T}^*_M X \cap \dot{T}^*_N X \hookrightarrow \tilde{V}.$$

\tilde{V} is endowed with the sheaf $C_{\mathcal{P}}$ of microfunctions with holomorphic parameters z' (cf. [13; Chap. 3]). M. Kashiwara [4] (cf. J.M. Bony [1]) constructed the sheaf $C_{\tilde{V}}^2$ of 2-microfunctions on $T_{\tilde{V}}^* \tilde{V}$ from the sheaf $C_{\mathcal{P}}$ by the same procedure as M. Sato *et al.* [13] constructed the sheaf C_M from \mathcal{O}_X . Explicitly, the sheaf $C_{\tilde{V}}^2$ is given by

$$(2.2) \quad C_{\tilde{V}}^2 = \mu_V(C_{\mathcal{P}})[d]$$

where μ_V denotes the functor of Sato's microlocalization along V (refer to [6]). We also set

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{V}}^2 = C_{\tilde{V}}^2|_V = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_V(C_{\mathcal{P}})[d].$$

Remark that the complexes in (2.2) and (2.3) are concentrated in degree 0. Moreover there exist the exact sequences

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{V}}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{V}}^2 \longrightarrow \dot{\pi}_{V*}(C_{\tilde{V}}^2|_{\dot{T}_{\tilde{V}}^* \mathcal{P}}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow C_M|_V \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{V}}^2.$$

Here we set $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{V}}^2 = C_{\mathcal{P}}|_V$, and $\dot{\pi}_V: \dot{T}_{\tilde{V}}^* \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$. There also exists a canonical morphism

$$Sp_V^2: \pi_V^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{V}}^2 \longrightarrow C_{\tilde{V}}^2 \quad (\pi_V: T_{\tilde{V}}^* \tilde{V} \longrightarrow V),$$

by which we define for $u \in \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{V}}^2$ (in particular for $u \in C_M|_V$) its second singular spectrum along V by

$$SS_{\tilde{V}}^2(u) := \text{supp}(Sp_V^2(u)).$$

We give several remarks: i) We identify $T_V T^* M$ with $T_{\tilde{V}}^* \tilde{V}$ through the correspondence

$$(2.6) \quad (x; \xi'' \cdot dx''; x'^* \cdot \partial / \partial \xi') \longleftrightarrow (x; \sqrt{-1} \xi'' \cdot dx''; \sqrt{-1} x'^* \cdot dx').$$

This is intrinsic if we admit (2.1). ii) For $\dot{q} = (\dot{x}; \sqrt{-1} \dot{\xi}'' \cdot dx'')$ and $u \in C_M|_{V, \dot{q}}$, $u \in \mathcal{A}_{V, \dot{q}}$ if and only if $\dot{q} \notin \mathcal{Z}\text{-singsupp}_V(u)$ in the sense of Definition 2.1 of [11]. This is essentially shown in J.M. Bony [3]. iii) Refer to Kashiwara-Laurent [5] for more details about $C_{\tilde{V}}^2$.

3. Functorial properties of second analytic wave front sets. To simplify the notation, we set for $u \in \mathcal{B}_M(M)$

$$\widehat{\text{WF}}_{a, V}^2(u) = \text{WF}_{a, V}^2(u) \cup (\text{WF}_a(u) \cap V)$$

in $T_V \dot{T}^* M$, and

$$\widehat{\text{WF}}_a(u) = \text{WF}_a(u) \cup \text{supp}(u).$$

By several variants of Theorem 3.4 in Okada-Tose [11], we can develop the study of functorial properties of second analytic wave front sets. We follow the notation prepared in § 2 of [11].

3.1. Tensor product. Let M_1 be an open subset of \mathbf{R}^l with coordinates $t = (t_1, \dots, t_l)$. We take coordinates of $T^* M_1$ as $(t; \tau \cdot dt)$ with $\tau \in \mathbf{R}^l$. Moreover we define an involutive submanifold V_1 in $\dot{T}^*(M \times M_1)$ by

$$V_1 = \{(x, t; \xi \cdot dx + \tau \cdot dt); \xi' = 0, \tau = 0\},$$

and we take also a system of coordinates of $T_{V_1} T^*(M \times M_1)$ as $(x, t; \xi'' \cdot dx''; x'^* \cdot \partial / \partial \xi' + t^* \cdot \partial / \partial \tau)$ with $t^* = (t_1^*, \dots, t_l^*)$. Here we give

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u(x) \in \mathcal{B}_M(M)$ and $v(t) \in \mathcal{B}_{M_1}(M_1)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WF}_{a, V_1}^2(u(x) \cdot v(t)) &\subset \{(x, t; \xi''; x'^*, t^*) \in \dot{T}_{V_1} \dot{T}^*(M \times M_1); (x; \xi''; x'^*) \\ &\in \widehat{\text{WF}}_{a, V}^2(u(x)), (t; t^* \cdot dt) \in \widehat{\text{WF}}_a(v(t))\}. \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Restriction. We follow the notation prepared in § 2 of [11]. We set

$$M_2 = \{x \in M; x_1 = 0\}$$

and take coordinates of M_2 (resp. T^*M_2) as (t, x'') (resp. $(t, x''; \tau \cdot dt + \xi'' \cdot dx'')$) with $t = (x_2, \dots, x_d)$ (resp. $\tau = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_d)$). We define an involutive submanifold V_2 by

$$V_2 = \{(t, x''; \tau, \xi'') \in T^*M_2; \tau = 0\}.$$

In this situation, we give

Theorem 3.2. *Let $u(x)$ be a hyperfunction defined in M . We assume*

$$(3.1) \quad \text{WF}_a(u) \cap \{(\dot{x}; \pm dx_1) \in T^*M\} = \emptyset,$$

$$(3.2) \quad (0, \dot{t}, \dot{x}''; \xi_1, 0, \dots, 0, \dot{\xi}'') \notin \text{WF}_a(u)$$

for any $\xi_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover we assume that

$$(3.3) \quad (0, \dot{t}, \dot{x}''; \dot{\xi}''; \pm \partial / \partial \xi_1) \in \text{WF}_{a,v}^2(u).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{WF}_{a,v_2}^2(u|_{x_1=0}) \cap \{(\dot{t}, \dot{x}''; \dot{\xi}''; t^* \cdot \partial / \partial \tau) \in \dot{T}_{V_2} T^*M_2\} \\ & \subset \{(\dot{t}, \dot{x}''; \dot{\xi}''; t^* \cdot \partial / \partial \tau); \exists x_1^* \text{ such that } (0, \dot{t}, \dot{x}''; \dot{\xi}''; (x_1^*, t^*)) \in \text{WF}_{a,v}^2(u(x))\}. \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Integration along fibers. Let M be \mathbb{R}^n , and V be as defined in § 2 of [11]. We set $M_3 = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ endowed with the coordinates (t, x'') ($t = (x_2, \dots, x_d)$). We define an involutive submanifold V_3 in T^*M_3 by

$$V_3 = \{(t, x''; \tau \cdot dt + \xi'' \cdot dx'') \in T^*M_3; \tau = 0\}.$$

Moreover f denotes the projection

$$f: M \longrightarrow M_3 \quad x_1 \longrightarrow (t, x'').$$

Then we give

Theorem 3.3. *Let $u(x) \in C_M$. We assume that the natural projection*

$$\bar{w}: M \times_{M_3} T^*M_3 \longrightarrow T^*M_3$$

is proper on $\text{supp}(u)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{WF}_{a,v_3}^2\left(\int u(x) dx_1\right) \subset \{(t, x''; \xi'' \cdot dx''; t^* \cdot \partial / \partial \tau) \\ & \in \dot{T}_{V_3} T^*M_3; \exists x_1 \text{ such that } (x_1, t, x''; \xi''; (0, t^*)) \in \text{WF}_{a,v}^2(u)\}. \end{aligned}$$

3.4. Equivalence of two definitions of second microlocal singularities. We follow the notation prepared in § 2. We define a hyperfunction on $\mathbb{R}_n \times S^{d-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} W(x', x'^*) &= \frac{(d-1)!}{(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})^d} \\ & \times \frac{(1 - \sqrt{-1}x' \cdot x'^*)^{d-1} - (1 - \sqrt{-1}x' \cdot x'^*)^{d-2}(x'^2 - (x' \cdot x'^*)^2)}{\{x' \cdot x'^* + \sqrt{-1}(x'^2 - (x' \cdot x'^*)^2) + \sqrt{-10}\}^d}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we give

Theorem 3.4. *Let $u(x)$ be a microfunction defined in a neighborhood of $p_0 = (\dot{x}; \sqrt{-1}\dot{\xi}'' \cdot dx'') \in V$. Then the following conditions (i), (ii), (iii) are equivalent to one another:*

$$(i) \quad \dot{q} = (\dot{x}; \dot{\xi}''; \dot{x}'^*) \notin \text{WF}_{a,v}^2(u),$$

(ii) the microfunction

$$v(x, x'^*) = \int u(\bar{x}', x'') W(x' - \bar{x}', x'^*) d\bar{x}'$$

has holomorphic parameters (x', x'^*) in a neighborhood of $p_1 = (\dot{x}, \dot{x}'^*; \sqrt{-1}\dot{\xi}'' \cdot dx'')$,

$$(iii) \quad \dot{q} = (\dot{x}; \sqrt{-1}\dot{\xi}''; \sqrt{-1}\dot{x}'^*) \notin \text{SS}_V^2(u).$$

The equivalence between (ii) and (iii) is already shown in [9; Proposition 7.4]. We use results in § 3.1–§ 3.3 to show that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Refer to Okada-Tose [10] for details.

By the above theorem, we have for $u \in C_M|_V$

$$\text{SS}_V^2(u) = \text{WF}_{a,V}^2(u)$$

through the correspondence (2.6).

References

- [1] Bony, J. M.: Extensions du théorème de Holmgren. Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz 1975–76, Exposé 17.
- [2] —: Equivalence des diverses notions de spectre singulier analytique. Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz 1976–77, Exposé 3.
- [3] —: Propagation des singularités différentiables pour une classe d'opérateurs différentiels à coefficients analytiques. Astérisque, **34–35**, Soc. Math. de France, 43–92 (1976).
- [4] Kashiwara, M.: Exposé à Nice (1973).
- [5] Kashiwara, M. and Laurent, Y.: Théorème d'annulation et deuxième microlocalisation. Prépublication d'Orsay (1983).
- [6] Kashiwara, M. and Schapira, P.: Microlocal study of sheaves. Astérisque, **128**, Soc. Math. de France (1985).
- [7] Kataoka, K.: On the theory of Radon transformation of hyperfunctions. J. Fac. Sci., Univ. of Tokyo, **28**, 331–413 (1981).
- [8] Kataoka, K. and Tose, N.: Vanishing theorem for the sheaf of microfunctions with holomorphic parameters. *ibid.*, **35**, 313–320 (1988).
- [9] Noro, M. and Tose, N.: The theory of Radon transformations and 2-microlocalization. I. *ibid.*, **34**, 309–349 (1988).
- [10] Okada, Y. and Tose, N.: FBI transformation and second microlocalization. Preprint of Univ. of Tokyo, no. 5 (1989).
- [11] —: Second microlocal singularities and boundary values of holomorphic functions. Proc. Japan Acad., **65A**, 329–332 (1989).
- [12] Sjöstrand, J.: Singularités analytiques microlocales. Astérisque, **95**, Soc. Math. de France (1982).
- [13] Sato, M., Kawai, T., and Kashiwara, M.: Microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations. Lect. Notes in Math., no. 287, Springer, pp. 265–529 (1973).