

**27. Spectral Properties of the Operator Associated  
with a Retarded Functional Differential  
Equation in Hilbert Space**

By Jin-Mun JEONG<sup>\*)</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University

(Communicated by Kôzaku Yosida, M. J. A., April 12, 1989)

In [4] the fundamental result on the structural operator for the linear retarded functional differential equation

$$(1) \quad du(t)/dt = A_0 u(t) + A_1 u(t-h) + \int_{-h}^0 a(s) A_2 u(t+s) ds$$

in a Hilbert space  $H$  was established. Here,  $-A_0$  is the operator associated with a bounded sesquilinear form  $a(u, v)$  defined in  $V \times V$  and satisfying Gårding's inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} a(u, u) \geq c \|u\|^2, \quad c > 0,$$

where  $V$  is a Hilbert space densely and continuously imbedded in  $H$  and  $\| \cdot \|$  is the norm of  $V$ . It is known that  $A_0$  generates an analytic semigroup in both of  $H$  and  $V^*$ . It is assumed that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are bounded linear operators from  $V$  to  $V^*$  and  $A_i A_0^{-1}$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , are bounded also in  $H$ . The real valued function  $a(s)$  is assumed to be Hölder continuous in  $[-h, 0]$ .

Let  $S(t): M = H \times L^2(-h, 0; V) \rightarrow M$  be the solution semigroup for (1) considered as an equation in  $V^*$ : for  $g = (g^0, g^1) \in M$

$$S(t)g = (u(t; g), \quad u(t + \cdot; g)),$$

where  $u(t; g)$  is the mild solution of (1) satisfying the initial conditions

$$(2) \quad u(0; g) = g^0, \quad u(s; g) = g^1(s) \quad \text{for } s \in [-h, 0].$$

In this paper we investigate the spectral properties of the infinitesimal generator  $A$  of  $S(t)$  in the special case where  $A_1 = \gamma A_0$  with some real constant  $\gamma$ ,  $A_2 = A_0$  and the imbedding  $V \subset H$  is compact. Hence, in what follows throughout this paper we consider the equation

$$(3) \quad du(t)/dt = A_0 u(t) + \gamma A_0 u(t-h) + \int_{-h}^0 a(s) A_0 u(t+s) ds$$

with  $A_0$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $a$  satisfying the assumptions stated above.

According to the Riesz-Schauder theory  $A_0$  has a discrete spectrum:  $\sigma(A_0) = \{\mu_j: j=1, 2, \dots\}$ . Set

$$(4) \quad m(\lambda) = 1 + \gamma e^{-\lambda h} + \int_{-h}^0 e^{\lambda s} a(s) ds.$$

It is clear that  $m(\lambda)$  is an entire function and

$$(5) \quad m(\lambda) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The following lemmas are proved as Theorems 6.1 and 7.2 of

---

<sup>\*)</sup> Graduate student from Korea.

S. Nakagiri [2].

**Lemma 1.**  $(\lambda - A)f = \phi$  if and only if

$$\Delta(\lambda)f^0 = \phi^0 + \int_{-h}^0 e^{-\lambda(h+\tau)} \gamma A_0 \phi^1(\tau) d\tau + \int_{-h}^0 a(s) \int_s^0 e^{\lambda(s-\tau)} A_0 \phi^1(\tau) d\tau ds,$$

$$f^1(s) = e^{\lambda s} f^0 + \int_s^0 e^{\lambda(s-\tau)} \phi^1(\tau) d\tau,$$

where  $\Delta(\lambda) = \lambda - m(\lambda)A_0$ .

**Lemma 2.** For  $l = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{Ker}(\lambda - A)^l = \left\{ \left( \phi_0^0, e^{\lambda s} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (-s)^i \phi_i^0 / i! \right) : \sum_{i=j-1}^{l-1} (-1)^{i-j} \Delta^{(i-j+1)}(\lambda) \phi_i^0 / (i-j+1)! = 0, j = 1, \dots, l \right\}.$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\sigma(A)$  be the spectrum of the infinitesimal generator  $A$  of  $S(t)$ . Then

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_p(A),$$

where  $\sigma_e(A) = \{\lambda : m(\lambda) = 0\}$  and  $\sigma_p(A) = \{\lambda : m(\lambda) \neq 0, \lambda/m(\lambda) \in \sigma(A_0)\}$ . Each nonzero point of  $\sigma_e(A)$  is not an eigenvalue of  $A$  and is a cluster point of  $\sigma(A)$ .  $\sigma_p(A)$  consists only of discrete eigenvalues.

Suppose  $m(0) = 0$ . Then, 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A$  with infinite multiplicity. 0 is an isolated point of  $\sigma(A)$  if it is a simple zero of  $m(\lambda)$ , and is a cluster point of  $\sigma(A)$  if it is a multiple zero of  $m(\lambda)$ .

*Outline of the proof.* With the aid of the Riesz-Schauder theory and Lemma 1 it is not difficult to verify that

$$\rho(A) = \{\lambda : m(\lambda) \neq 0, \lambda/m(\lambda) \in \rho(A_0)\}.$$

Suppose  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$  is a zero of  $m(\lambda)$  of the  $k$ -th order. Then, there exists a function  $h(\lambda)$  which is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of  $\lambda_0$  such that  $m(\lambda)/\lambda = (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k h(\lambda)^k$ . Applying the inverse function theorem to  $(\lambda - \lambda_0)h(\lambda)$  and noting  $\mu_j \rightarrow \infty$  we see that for sufficiently large  $j$  there exists a complex number  $\lambda_j$  such that  $(\lambda_j - \lambda_0)h(\lambda_j) = \mu_j^{-1/k}$  and  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda_0$ . Then,  $\lambda_j/m(\lambda_j) = \mu_j \in \sigma(A_0)$ , and hence  $\lambda_0$  is a cluster point of  $\sigma(A)$ .

Next, suppose that  $m(\lambda_0) \neq 0, \lambda_0/m(\lambda_0) \in \sigma(A_0)$ . If there exists a sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}$  such that  $\lambda_0 \neq \lambda_j \in \sigma(A), m(\lambda_j) \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda_0$ , then  $\lambda_j/m(\lambda_j) \rightarrow \lambda_0/m(\lambda_0), \lambda_j/m(\lambda_j) \in \sigma(A_0)$ . Since  $\sigma(A_0)$  consists only of isolated points, we have  $\lambda_j/m(\lambda_j) = \lambda_0/m(\lambda_0)$  for sufficiently large  $j$ . In view of the theorem of identity we have  $\lambda/m(\lambda) \equiv \lambda_0/m(\lambda_0)$  which contradicts (5).

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $m(0) \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0$  and the generalized eigenvectors of  $A_0$  are complete in  $H$ . Then, the generalized eigenvectors of  $A$  are complete in  $M$ .

*Outline of the proof.* Let  $P_n$  be the spectral projection to the generalized eigenspace of  $A_0$  associated with  $\mu_n \in \sigma(A_0)$ . Set  $H_n = P_n H$  and  $A_{0n} = A_0|_{H_n}$ . Then, clearly  $P_n V = H_n$ . If we denote the solution semigroup of the equation (3) with  $A_{0n}$  in place of  $A_0$  by  $S_n(t) = \exp(tA_n)$ , then the commutativity of  $A_0$  and  $P_n$  yields

$$S_n(t) = S(t)|_{M_n} \quad \text{and} \quad A_n = A|_{D(A_n)},$$

where  $M_n = H_n \times L^2(-h, 0; H_n)$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that for  $\lambda$  with

$\lambda/m(\lambda)=\mu_n$ ,  $(\lambda-A)^i\phi=0$  if and only if  $(\lambda-A_n)^i\phi=0$ . Thus, the assertion of the theorem follows from the corresponding result of A. Manitius ([1]: Theorems 5.1 and 5.4(ii)) in the case of a finite dimensional space.

As an application we consider the identification problems for the equation (3) (cf. Theorem 3.1 of S. Nakagiri and M. Yamamoto [3]).

We denote by  $(\mathfrak{Z}^m)$  the equation (3) with  $A_0, \gamma, a$  replaced by  $A_0^m, \gamma^m, a^m$  respectively. The mild solution of  $(\mathfrak{Z}^m)$  satisfying the initial conditions (2) is denoted by  $u^m(t; g)$ , and the solution semigroup for  $(\mathfrak{Z}^m)$  by  $S^m(t)=\exp(tA^m)$ . We assume that  $A_0^m$  and  $a^m$  satisfy the same type of assumptions as  $A_0$  and  $a$ . Hence, the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds also for  $A^m$ .

Let  $g^i=(g_i^0, g_i^1) \in M, i=1, \dots, q$ , be a finite set of initial values. We say that  $A_0, \gamma, a$  are identifiable if  $A_0=A_0^m, \gamma=\gamma^m, a(s)\equiv a^m(s)$  follows from

$$u(t; g^i)\equiv u^m(t; g^i), \quad i=1, \dots, q.$$

Let  $\{\mu_n^m: n=1, 2, \dots\}$  be the set of eigenvalues of  $A_0^m$ , and by  $\{\psi_{n1}^0, \dots, \psi_{nd_n}^0\}$  a base of  $\text{Ker}(\overline{\mu_n^m}-(A_0^m)^*)$ , where  $d_n=\dim \text{Ker}(\mu_n^m-A_0^m)$ . Let  $\{\lambda_{nj}^m: j=1, 2, \dots\}$  be the totality of the complex numbers  $\lambda$  satisfying  $\lambda/m^m(\lambda)=\mu_n^m$ . If we set  $\psi_{nj}^k=(\psi_{nk}^0, \exp(\overline{\lambda_{nj}^m}s)\psi_{nk}^0)$ , then  $\{\psi_{nj}^k: k=1, \dots, d_n\}$  is a base of  $\text{Ker}(\overline{\lambda_{nj}^m}-A_T^m)$ , where  $A_T^m$  is the infinitesimal generator of the solution semigroup associated with the equation  $(\mathfrak{Z}^m)$  with  $A_0^m$  replaced by its adjoint  $(A_0^m)^*$ .

By  $F^m$  we denote the structural operator for  $(\mathfrak{Z}^m)$  and by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_M$  the duality between  $M^*$  and  $M$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $\gamma^m \neq 0, m^m(0) \neq 0$  and the generalized eigenvectors of  $A_0^m$  are complete in  $H$ . If the set of initial values  $\{g^1, \dots, g^q\}$  satisfies*

$$\text{rank}\left(\begin{matrix} (F^m g^i, \psi_{nj}^k)_M : & i \rightarrow 1, \dots, q \\ & k \downarrow 1, \dots, d_n \end{matrix}\right) = d_n$$

for each  $n, j$ , then  $A_0, \gamma, a$  are identifiable.

One can prove this theorem following the proof of Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.1 of [3] and taking Remark 3.2 of the same paper into consideration. The only difference is to show  $\sigma_e(A^m) \subset \sigma_e(A)$  and  $\sigma_p(A^m) \subset \sigma_p(A)$  instead of  $\sigma(A^m) \subset \sigma(A)$  to start with.

The author wishes to express his deepest appreciation to Prof. S. Nakagiri of Kobe University for his valuable remarks and suggestions and also for his constant encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

### References

- [1] A. Manitius: Completeness and  $F$ -completeness of eigenfunctions associated with retarded functional differential equations. *J. Differential Equations*, **35**, 1-29 (1980).
- [2] S. Nakagiri: Structural properties of functional differential equations in Banach spaces. *Osaka J. Math.*, **25**, 353-398 (1988).

- [ 3 ] S. Nakagiri and M. Yamamoto: Identifiability of linear retarded systems in Banach spaces. *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **31**, 315–329 (1988).
- [ 4 ] H. Tanabe: Structural operators for linear delay-differential equations in Hilbert space. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **64A**, 265–266 (1988).