

90. Differential Inequalities and Carathéodory Functions

By Mamoru NUNOKAWA

Department of Mathematics, Gunma University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1989)

Let P be the class of functions $p(z)$ which are analytic in the unit disk $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$, with $p(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ in E .

If $p(z) \in P$, we say $p(z)$ a Carathéodory function. It is well known that if $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic in E and $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > 0$ in E , then $f(z)$ is univalent in E [2, 7].

Ozaki [6, Theorem 2] extended the above result to the following:

If $f(z)$ is analytic in a convex domain D and

$$\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} f^{(p)}(z)) > 0 \quad \text{in } D$$

where α is a real constant, then $f(z)$ is at most p -valent in D .

This shows that if $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic in E and

$$\operatorname{Re} f^{(p)}(z) > 0 \quad \text{in } E,$$

then $f(z)$ is p -valent in E .

Nunokawa [3] improved the above result to the following:

Let $p \geq 2$. If $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic in E and

$$|\arg f^{(p)}(z)| < \frac{3}{4}\pi \quad \text{in } E,$$

then $f(z)$ is p -valent in E .

Definition. Let $F(z)$ be analytic and univalent in E and suppose that $F(E) = R$. If $f(z)$ is analytic in E , $f(0) = F(0)$, and $f(E) \subset R$, then we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $F(z)$ in E , and we write

$$f(z) \prec F(z).$$

In this paper, we need the following lemmata.

Lemma 1. If $p(z)$ is analytic in E , with $p(0) = 1$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z) + zp'(z)) > \beta \quad \text{in } E,$$

where $\beta < 1$, then we have

$$(1) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(z) > (1 - \beta) \log \frac{4}{e} + \beta \quad \text{in } E.$$

Proof. Let us put

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \frac{1}{1-\beta}(p(z) + zp'(z) - \beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\beta}((zp(z))' - \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$g(z) \in P.$$

This shows that

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1-\beta} ((zp(z))' - \beta) < \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

and it follows that

$$(2) \quad (zp(z))' < (1-\beta) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \beta.$$

Then we have

$$zp(z) = \int_0^z (tp(t))' dt,$$

and therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z (tp(t))' dt \\ &= \frac{1}{re^{i\theta}} \int_0^r (tp(t))' e^{i\theta} d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r (tp(t))' d\rho \end{aligned}$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < 1$, $t = \rho e^{i\theta}$ and $0 \leq \rho \leq r$.

From [1, Theorem 7, p. 84], (2) and applying the same method as in the proof of [4, Main theorem], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} p(z) &= \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \operatorname{Re} (tp(t))' d\rho \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \left[(1-\beta) \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} + \beta \right] d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{r} [(1-\beta)(-r+2\log(1+r)) + \beta r] \\ &= (1-\beta) [2\log(1+r)^{1/r} - 1] + \beta \\ &\geq (1-\beta) [2\log 2 - 1] + \beta \\ &= (1-\beta) \log \frac{4}{e} + \beta \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < |z| = r < 1$. This completes our proof.

From Lemma 1, we easily have the following result:

Lemma 2. *If $p(z)$ is analytic in E , with $p(0) = 1$ and*

$$\operatorname{Re} (p(z) + zp'(z)) > -\frac{\log(4/e)}{2 \log(e/2)} \quad \text{in } E,$$

then $p(z) \in P$ or $p(z)$ is a Carathéodory function.

Proof. Putting the right-hand side of (1) be zero, then we have the equation

$$(1-\beta) \log \frac{4}{e} + \beta = 0$$

and that

$$\beta = -\frac{\log(4/e)}{2 \log(e/2)}.$$

This shows that

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z) + zp'(z)) > -\frac{\log(4/e)}{2 \log(e/2)} \quad \text{in } E$$

implies $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ in E .

Lemma 3. Let $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be analytic in E and if there exists a $(p-k+1)$ -valent starlike function $g(z) = z^{p-k+1} + \sum_{n=p-k+2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ that satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf^{(k)}(z)}{g(z)} > 0 \quad \text{in } E,$$

then $f(z)$ is p -valent in E .

We own this lemma to [5, Theorem 8].

Main theorem. Let $p \geq 2$. If $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic in E and

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{Re} f^{(p)}(z) > -\frac{\log(4/e)}{2 \log(e/2)} p! \quad \text{in } E,$$

then $f(z)$ is p -valent in E .

Proof. Let us put

$$p(z) = f^{(p-1)}(z)/(p! z).$$

Then, from the assumption (3) and by an easy calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(p(z) + zp'(z)) &= \operatorname{Re}(f^{(p)}(z)/p!) \\ &> -\frac{\log(4/e)}{2 \log(e/2)} \quad \text{in } E, \end{aligned}$$

and $p(0) = 1$. Then, from Lemma 2, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) = \frac{1}{p!} \operatorname{Re} \frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z} > 0 \quad \text{in } E.$$

This shows that

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf^{(p-1)}(z)}{z^2} > 0 \quad \text{in } E.$$

It is trivial that $g(z) = z^2$ is 2-valently starlike in E . Therefore, from Lemma 3 and (4), we have that $f(z)$ is p -valent in E .

This completes our proof.

References

- [1] A. W. Goodman: Univalent Functions. vol.1, Mariner Publishing Company, Tampa, Florida (1983).
- [2] K. Noshiro: On the theory of schlicht functions. J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., (1) **2**, 129-155 (1934-1935).
- [3] M. Nunokawa: A note on multivalent functions. Tsukuba J. Math., vol. 13, no. 2 (1989) (to appear).
- [4] —: On an estimate of the real part of $f(z)/z$ for the subclass of univalent functions. Math. Japonica, vol. 35, no. 3 (1990) (to appear).
- [5] —: On the theory of multivalent functions. Tsukuba J. Math., vol. 11, no. 2, 273-286 (1987).
- [6] S. Ozaki: On the theory of multivalent functions. Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Dai-gaku, Sec. A, **40**, 167-188 (1935).
- [7] S. Warschawski: On the higher derivatives of the boundary in conformal mapping. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **38**, 310-340 (1935).