

68. A Remark on $B(P, \alpha)$ -refinability

By R. H. PRICE^{*)} and J. C. SMITH^{**)}

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1989)

Introduction. Recently a number of general topological properties have been introduced in order to obtain covering characterizations of generalized normal and paracompact spaces. In particular see [1, 2, 7, 10] for such characterizations of subparacompact, θ -refinable, collectionwise normal and collectionwise subnormal spaces. In this paper we consider the general property of $B(P, \alpha)$ -refinable and show how this notion is used to generalize known results for normal and collectionwise normal spaces.

The union of any family \mathcal{U} will be denoted by \mathcal{U}^* . The properties P considered in this paper will be discrete (D), locally finite (LF) and closed (C). Countable ordinals will be denoted by λ and α will be any ordinal.

Definition 1. A space X is $B(P, \alpha)$ -refinable provided every open cover \mathcal{U} of X has a refinement $\mathcal{E} = \cup\{\mathcal{E}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ which satisfies i) $\{\cup\mathcal{E}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ partitions X , ii) for every $\beta < \alpha$, \mathcal{E}_β is a relatively P collection of closed subsets of the subspace $X - \cup\{\cup\mathcal{E}_\mu : \mu < \beta\}$, and iii) for every $\beta < \alpha$, $\cup\{\cup\mathcal{E}_\mu : \mu < \beta\}$ is a closed set. For the case $P=C$, we require \mathcal{E}_β to be a one-to-one partial refinement of \mathcal{U} for each $\beta < \alpha$.

The collection \mathcal{E} is often called a $B(P, \alpha)$ -refinement of \mathcal{U} .

In [6, 7] the author has used the property of weakly $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable to obtain several open cover characterizations for normal and collectionwise normal spaces. The following are modifications of this idea.

Definition 2. An open cover $\mathcal{G} = \cup\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}$ of a space X is a (k^-) *bded-weak $\bar{\theta}$ -cover* if (i) the collection $\{\mathcal{G}_n^* : n \in N\}$ is point finite and (ii) for each n , there exist an integer $k(n)$ ($\leq k$) such that $X = \{x : 0 < \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_n) \leq k(n), n \in N\}$. Spaces for which each open cover has a refinement with the above property are called (k^-) -*bded-weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable*.

Remark. A k -*bded weak $\bar{\theta}$ -cover* is equivalent to a boundly weak $\bar{\theta}$ -cover, as defined in [10].

Main results.

Theorem 1. A space X is *bded-weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable* iff X is *1-bded weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable*.

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}$ be a *bded-weak $\bar{\theta}$ -cover* of X with $k(n)$ defined as above.

For each $x \in X$ and every $n, j \in N$, define $W(n, x) = \cap\{G \in \mathcal{G}_n : x \in G\}$, and $\mathcal{W}(n, j) = \{W(n, x) : \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_n) = j\}$ so that if $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_n) = j$, then $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{W}(n, j)) = 1$. Define $\mathcal{W} = \cup\{\mathcal{W}(n, j) : 0 < j \leq k(n), n \in N\}$. It should

^{*)} Department of Mathematics, Judson College, Marion, Alabama 36756, USA.

^{**)} Department of Mathematics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061 USA.

be clear that \mathcal{W} is an open refinement of \mathcal{G} , and $X = \{x : \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{W}(n, j)) = 1, 0 < j \leq k(n), n \in N\}$. Furthermore, for each $x \in X$, there exists an integer M such that $x \notin \{\cup \mathcal{G}_n : n > M\}$ so that $x \notin \{\cup \mathcal{W}(n, j) : n > M\}$. Therefore, $\{\cup \mathcal{W}(n, j) : 0 < j \leq k(n), n \in N\}$ is point finite and the proof is complete.

Theorem 2. *A space X is $B(D, \omega)$ -refinable iff X is bded-weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable.*

Proof. (i) Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X with $B(D, \omega)$ -refinement $\mathcal{E} = \cup \{\mathcal{E}_n = \{E(\alpha, n) : \alpha \in A\} : n \in N\}$. For each $\alpha \in A$ and $n \in N$, choose $U(\alpha, n) \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $E(\alpha, n) \subset U(\alpha, n)$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} G(\alpha, n) &= U(\alpha, n) - \cup \{E(\beta, n) : \beta \neq \alpha\} - \cup \{U \mathcal{E}_k : k < n\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_n &= \{G(\alpha, n) : \alpha \in A\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{G} &= \cup \{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{G} is a 1-bded-weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinement of \mathcal{U} .

(ii) Let $\mathcal{G} = \cup \{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}$ be a 1-bded-weak $\bar{\theta}$ -cover of X . We construct a $B(D, \omega)$ -refinement of \mathcal{G} . Now

- (1) Let $\mathcal{G}^* = \{\cup \mathcal{G}_n : n \in N\}$, a point finite collection.
- (2) For each $n \in N$, define $C_n = \{x : \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}^*) = n\}$.
- (3) For each $n \in N$, define $F_n = \{f : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow N, f(1) < f(2) < \dots < f(n)\}$.
- (4) For each $n \in N$ and $x \in C_n$, let f_x represent the unique member of F_n such that $x \in W(x)$, where $W(x) = \cap \{\cup \mathcal{G}_{f_x(r)} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

I. By induction, for each $n \in N$ we construct a family $\mathcal{H}_n = \cup \{\mathcal{H}(n, m) : 1 \leq m \leq n\}$ of collections of sets such that

- (a₁) $\mathcal{H}(n, m)$ is a partial refinement of \mathcal{G} for $1 \leq m \leq n$,
- (a₂) $C_n = \cup \{\cup \mathcal{H}(n, m) : 1 \leq m \leq n\}$ for each $n \in N$,
- (a₃) for $1 \leq m \leq n$, $(\cup \mathcal{H}(n, m)) \cap E(n, m) = \emptyset$, where $E(n, m) = \cup \{C_k : k < n\} \cup (\cup \mathcal{H}(n, r) : 1 \leq r < m)$, and
- (a₄) $\mathcal{H}(n, m)$ is a relatively discrete collection of closed subsets of the subspace $X - E(n, m)$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$.

For $n=1$, define $\mathcal{H}(1, 1) = \{C_1 \cap G : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Now $E(1, 1) = \emptyset$. It should be clear that $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$ satisfies conditions (a₁)–(a₃) above. We assert that $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$ is a discrete collection of closed subsets of X and hence satisfies (a₄). Indeed, let $x \in X$. If $x \in C_k$ for some $k > 1$, then there exist two members of \mathcal{G}^* which contain x and whose intersection is a neighborhood of x that misses C_1 and hence misses $\cup \mathcal{H}(1, 1)$. If $x \in C_1$, then $x \in C_1 \cap G$ for some $G \in \mathcal{G}$. It is easy to check that G is a neighborhood of x that misses every member of $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$ except $C_1 \cap G$.

Now let n be fixed and assume that \mathcal{H}_k has been constructed such that \mathcal{H}_k satisfies (a₁)–(a₄) above for each $k, 1 \leq k < n$. We construct \mathcal{H}_n . For each $k \in N$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$, define $C(n, m, k) = \{x \in C_n : m = \min\{r : \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{G}_{f_x(r)}) = 1\}\}$, and $f_x(m) = k$,

$\mathcal{H}(n, m, k) = \{C(n, m, k) \cap G : G \in \mathcal{G}_k\}$, $\mathcal{H}(n, m) = \cup \{\mathcal{H}(n, m, k) : k \in N\}$, and $\mathcal{H} = \cup \{\mathcal{H}(n, m) : 1 \leq m \leq n\}$.

The following properties are easy to verify.

- (i) $C(n, m, k) = \cup \mathcal{H}(n, m, k)$ for each $k \in N$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$.

(ii) If $(n, m, k) \neq (r, s, t)$, then $C(n, m, k) \cap C(r, s, t) = \emptyset$. In particular, $[\cup \mathcal{A}(n, m, k)] \cap [\cup \mathcal{A}(r, s, t)] = \emptyset$.

(iii) If $j \neq k$ and $x \in C(n, m, k)$, then $W(x)$ is a neighborhood of x such that $W(x) \cap C(n, m, j) = \emptyset$. In particular, $W(x) \cap (\cup \mathcal{A}(n, m, j)) = \emptyset$. Indeed if $y \in C(n, m, j)$, then $f_y(m) = j \neq k = f_x(m)$; hence, $\{\mathcal{G}_{f_y(i)} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \neq \{\mathcal{G}_{f_x(i)} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Since $ord(y, \mathcal{G}^*)j = n$, it thus follows that $y \notin W(x)$.

The fact that $\mathcal{A}_n(a_1)-(a_n)$ above is straightforward and left for the reader.

II. Define a well-order " $<$ " on the set $S = \{(n, m) : 1 \leq m \leq n, n \in N\}$ such that for every $(n, m), (k, r) \in S$,

$$(n, m) < (k, r) \quad \text{iff} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n < k \text{ or} \\ n = k \text{ and } m < n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let $g : S \rightarrow N$ be the unique bijection which preserves this order.

For each $n \in N$, define $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{A}(k, r)$ such that $g(k, r) = n$, and $\mathcal{F} = \cup \{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in N\}$.

From the fact that $X = \cup \{C_n : n \in N\}$ and that $\mathcal{A}(n, m)$ satisfies conditions (a₁)–(a₄) above for every $n \in N$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$, it is easy to see that \mathcal{F} is a $B(D, \omega)$ -refinement of \mathcal{G} .

Remark. (1) It has been shown [8] that every θ -refinable space is $B(D, \omega)$ -refinable.

(2) It is stated in [10] that Long Bing [4] has independently obtained the sufficiency of Theorem 2 above.

In [6], the author showed that normality is equivalent to every weak $\bar{\theta}$ -cover having a closed shrink. We now have a generalization of this result.

Theorem 3. *A space X is normal iff every open cover of X which has a $B(C, \lambda)$ -refinement also has a closed shrink.*

Proof. The sufficiency is clear so let X be normal and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ an open cover of X which has a $B(C, \lambda)$ -refinement $\mathcal{E} = \cup \{\mathcal{E}_\gamma = \{E(\gamma, \alpha) : \alpha \in A\} : \gamma < \lambda\}$. By transfinite induction we construct for every $\gamma < \lambda$, a collection $\mathcal{H}_\gamma = \{H(\gamma, \alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ of cozero subsets of X satisfying

- (i) $H_\gamma^* = \cup \mathcal{H}_\gamma$ is a cozero set, and
- (ii) $F(\gamma, \alpha) = (E(\gamma, \alpha) - \cup \{H_\beta^* : \beta < \gamma\}) \subset H(\gamma, \alpha) \subset cl(H(\gamma, \alpha)) \subset U_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in A$.

For fixed $\gamma < \lambda$ assume that the collections \mathcal{H}_β with the above properties have been constructed for all $\beta < \gamma$. Now $\cup \{H_\beta^* : \beta < \gamma\}$ is an open set which by condition (ii) above contains $\cup \{U_{\mathcal{E}_\beta} : \beta < \gamma\}$; hence, $\{F(\gamma, \alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ is a collection of closed subsets of X such that $F^* = \cup \{F(\gamma, \alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ is a closed set. Also, $F(\gamma, \alpha) \subset U_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$. Since X is normal there exists a cozero set $H(\gamma, \alpha)$ such that $F(\gamma, \alpha) \subset H(\gamma, \alpha) \subset cl(H(\gamma, \alpha)) \subset U_\alpha$ where H^* is a cozero set, and the construction is complete. Now by Theorem 4.3 of [8] it follows that \mathcal{U} has a closed shrink.

Corollary. *Let X be a normal space.*

- (i) *If X is $B(C, \lambda)$ -refnable, then every open cover of X has a closed shrink.*
- (ii) *If X is countably $B(C, \lambda)$ -refnable, then every countable open cover of X has a closed shrink.*
- (iii) *X is countably paracompact iff X is countably $B(C, \lambda)$ -refnable.*

References

- [1] Chaber, J.: On subparacompactness and related properties. *Gen. Topology and Appl.*, **101**, 13–17 (1979).
- [2] Junnila, H. J. K.: Three covering properties. *Surveys in General Topology*. Academic Press, pp.195–245 (1980).
- [3] Liu Ying-ming: A class of topological spaces which containing the classes of weakly paracompact space and subparacompact spaces. *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, **3**, 212–214 (1977) (in Chinese).
- [4] Long Bing: Some separating or covering properties (to appear).
- [5] R. H. Price: The property $B(P, \alpha)$ -refinability and its relation to generalized paracompact topological spaces. Ph.D.-dissertation, May 1987, Va. Tech.
- [6] Smith, J. C.: Properties of weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **53**, 511–512 (1975).
- [7] —: On collectionwise normality. *Colloq Math.*, **40**, 69–76 (1978).
- [8] —: Irreducible spaces and property b_1 . *Topology Proc.*, **5**, 187–200 (1980).
- [9] Zhu, Jun: Some properties of quasi-paracompact and strong quasi-paracompact spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Research Exposition*, **1**, 9–31 (1984) (in Chinese).
- [10] —: On collectionwise subnormal spaces. *Chinese Ann. of Math.*, **913**(2) (1988).