

## 6. On the Inequalities of Erdős-Turán and Berry-Esseen. II

By Petko D. PROINOV

Department of Mathematics, University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1989)

This is continued from [1].

5. The ideas of the proofs of the results given in Sections 3 and 4 are similar. Here we shall prove only Theorem 1. The proof is based on some ideas of Sendov [3] and the author [2]. We begin with a well known lemma of Sendov, which he used in the approximation theory.

**Lemma 1** ([3], [4]). *Let  $f$  be a periodic function with period 1, and let  $\mu$  be its modulus of nonmonotonicity (on  $\mathbf{R}$ ). Suppose also that  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ . Then:*

(a) *The inequality  $f(t) \leq f(x) + \mu(2\delta)$  holds either for all  $t \in [x, x + \delta]$ , or for all  $t \in [x - \delta, x]$ .*

(b) *The inequality  $f(t) \geq f(x) - \mu(2\delta)$  holds either for all  $t \in [x, x + \delta]$ , or for all  $t \in [x - \delta, x]$ .*

In what follows, a periodic function  $K$  with period 1 is said to be a *kernel* if it is nonnegative, even and  $\int_0^1 K(t)dt = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f$  be as in Theorem 1, and let  $\mu$  be its modulus of nonmonotonicity. Suppose also that  $K$  is a kernel, and set*

$$\mathcal{K}(f; x) = \int_0^1 f(t)K(t-x)dt \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

*Then:*

(i) *For every  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ ,*

$$\|f\| \leq \mu(4\delta) + \|\mathcal{K}(f, \cdot)\| + 2(2\|f\| - \mu(4\delta)) \int_{\delta}^{1/2} K(t)dt.$$

(ii) *For every  $\delta \geq 1/2$ ,*

$$\|f\| \leq \mu(4\delta) + \|\mathcal{K}(f; \cdot)\|.$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . First we shall prove that

$$(1) \quad |f(x)| \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K(t)dt \leq \mu(4\delta) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K(t)dt + 2\|f\| \int_{\delta}^{1/2} K(t)dt + \|\mathcal{K}(f; \cdot)\|.$$

According to Lemma 1-(a) the inequality

$$(2) \quad f(t) \leq f(x) + \mu(4\delta)$$

holds either for all  $t \in [x, x + 2\delta]$ , or for all  $t \in [x - 2\delta, x]$ .

Suppose first that (2) holds for all  $t \in [x, x + 2\delta]$ . In this case we shall obtain an upper bound for the value of  $\mathcal{K}(f; x + \delta)$ . We have

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{K}(f; x + \delta) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(t + x + \delta)K(t)dt$$

since  $f$  is a periodic function with period 1. Now we write  $\mathcal{K}(f; x + \delta)$  in the form

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{K}(f; x + \delta) = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} f(t + x + \delta)K(t)dt + \left( \int_{-1/2}^{-\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{1/2} \right) f(t + x + \delta)K(t)dt \\ = I_1 + I_2,$$

where the meanings of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are clear.

Note that if  $t \in [-\delta, \delta]$ , then

$$x \leq t + x + \delta \leq x + 2\delta.$$

Hence, from (2) we conclude that

$$(5) \quad f(t + x + \delta) \leq f(x) + \mu(4\delta)$$

for these values of  $t$ . From the last inequality, we get

$$(6) \quad I_1 \leq (f(x) + \mu(4\delta)) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K(t)dt.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$(7) \quad I_2 \leq 2\|f\| \int_{\delta}^{1/2} K(t)dt$$

since  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ . Combining (4), (6) and (7), we obtain

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{K}(f; x + \delta) \leq (f(x) + \mu(4\delta)) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K(t)dt + 2\|f\| \int_{\delta}^{1/2} K(t)dt,$$

which implies

$$(9) \quad -f(x) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K(t)dt \leq \mu(4\delta) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K(t)dt + 2\|f\| \int_{\delta}^{1/2} K(t)dt + \|\mathcal{K}(f; \cdot)\|.$$

Now suppose that (5) holds for all  $t \in [x - 2\delta, x]$ . Then using the same method as in the first alternative we can show the validity of (8) but with  $\mathcal{K}(f; x - \delta)$  in place of  $\mathcal{K}(f; x + \delta)$ , from which we again arrive at (9).

Further, using Lemma 1-(b) and repeating all the above arguments we can obtain (9) but with  $f(x) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K(t)dt$  in the left-hand side. Thus the inequality (1) is proved.

Since  $x$  is an arbitrary real number, we can replace  $f(x)$  in (1) with  $\|f\|$ . Then the new inequality can be written in the form

$$\left(1 - 2 \int_{\delta}^{1/2} K(t)dt\right) \|f\| \leq \left(1 - 2 \int_{\delta}^{1/2} K(t)dt\right) \mu(4\delta) + 2\|f\| \int_{\delta}^{1/2} K(t)dt + \|\mathcal{K}(f; \cdot)\|,$$

which implies the desired inequality in case of  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ .

(ii) Now let  $\delta \geq 1/2$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . To prove the desired inequality it is sufficient to show that

$$(10) \quad |f(x)| \leq \mu(4\delta) + \|\mathcal{K}(f; \cdot)\|.$$

Let us consider again the inequality (2). Suppose first that it holds for all  $t \in [x, x + 2\delta]$ . Now note that if  $t \in [-1/2, 1/2]$  then  $t \in [-\delta, \delta]$ , and so (5) holds for  $t \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ . From (5) and (3), we deduce

$$\mathcal{K}(f; x + \delta) \leq (f(x) + \mu(4\delta)) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} K(t)dt = f(x) + \mu(4\delta),$$

which implies the inequality

$$(11) \quad -f(x) \leq \mu(4\delta) + \|\mathcal{K}(f; \cdot)\|.$$

If (2) holds for all  $t \in [x - \delta, x]$ , then we estimate  $\mathcal{K}(f; x - \delta)$  and again arrive at (11).

Analogously, we can prove (11) with  $f(x)$  in place of  $-f(x)$ , and so (10) is proved. Q.E.D.

In what follows, for an integrable function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  and a positive integer  $m$ , we denote by  $\sigma_m(f)$  the  $m$ th Fejér integral of  $f$ , i.e.,

$$\sigma_m(f; x) = \int_0^1 f(t)F_m(t-x)dt \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R},$$

where

$$F_m(t) = \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{\sin \pi m t}{\sin \pi t} \right)^2$$

is the  $m$ th Fejér kernel<sup>\*)</sup>. We note that for every  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ ,

$$(12) \quad \int_{\delta}^{1/2} F_m(t)dt \leq \frac{1}{m} \int_{\delta}^{1/2} \frac{dt}{\sin^2 \pi t} = (\cot \pi \delta) / (\pi m) < 1 / (\pi^2 m \delta).$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f$  be as in Theorem 1, and let  $\mu$  be its modulus of non-monotonicity. Then for every positive integer  $m$  and every real  $a > 1$ , we have*

$$(13) \quad \|f\| \leq \frac{a+1}{2} \mu \left( \frac{16a}{\pi^2(a-1)m} \right) + a \|\sigma_m(f; \cdot)\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $a > 1$ . We can suppose that

$$(14) \quad \|f\| > \frac{a+1}{2} \mu \left( \frac{16a}{\pi^2(a-1)m} \right)$$

since otherwise there is nothing to prove. Now set

$$(15) \quad \delta = \frac{4a}{\pi^2(a-1)m}.$$

From (14) and (15), we conclude that

$$(16) \quad 2\|f\| - \mu(4\delta) > a\mu(4\delta) \geq 0.$$

Suppose first that  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ . Applying Lemma 2-(i) to the  $m$ th Fejér kernel we obtain

$$\|f\| \leq \mu(4\delta) + \|\sigma_m(f; \cdot)\| + 2(2\|f\| - \mu(4\delta)) \int_{\delta}^{1/2} F_m(t)dt.$$

From this, (12) and (16), we get

$$\|f\| \leq \mu(4\delta) + \|\sigma_m(f; \cdot)\| + 2(2\|f\| - \mu(4\delta)) / (\pi^2 m \delta).$$

The last inequality can be written in the form

$$(1 - 4/(\pi^2 m \delta)) \|f\| \leq (1 - 2/(\pi^2 m \delta)) \mu(4\delta) + \|\sigma_m(f; \cdot)\|,$$

which according to (15) coincides with

$$\frac{1}{a} \|f\| \leq \frac{a+1}{2a} \mu(4\delta) + \|\sigma_m(f; \cdot)\|,$$

and so (13) is proved in case of  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ .

Now suppose that  $\delta \geq 1/2$ . Applying Lemma 2-(ii) to the  $m$ th Fejér kernel we get

$$\|f\| \leq \mu(4\delta) + \|\delta_m(f; \cdot)\| \leq \frac{a+1}{2} \mu(4\delta) + a \|\sigma_m(f; \cdot)\|,$$

which coincides with (13). Q.E.D.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f$  be as in Theorem 1, and let  $\mu$  be its modulus of non-monotonicity. Suppose also that  $\int_0^1 f(t)dt = 0$ . Then for every positive*

---

<sup>\*)</sup> As usual the  $m$ th Fejér kernel equals  $m$  if  $t$  is an integer.

integer  $m$  and every real  $a > 1$ , we have

$$\|f\| \leq \frac{a+1}{2} \mu\left(\frac{16a}{\pi^2(a-1)m}\right) + \frac{a}{\pi} \sum_{h=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{h} - \frac{1}{m}\right) |\hat{f}(h)|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $a > 1$ . According to Lemma 3 it is sufficient to show that

$$\|\sigma_m(f; \cdot)\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{h=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{h} - \frac{1}{m}\right) |\hat{f}(h)|.$$

A proof of the last inequality is given in [2].

Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem.* Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $a > 1$ . It is easy to see that the function  $\varphi$  defined on  $\mathbf{R}$  by

$$\varphi(x) = f(x) - \int_0^1 f(t) dt$$

satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4, i.e.,  $\varphi$  is periodic with period 1, Riemann-integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , and  $\int_0^1 \varphi(t) dt = 0$ . Therefore, from Lemma 4 we have

$$\|\varphi\| \leq \frac{a+1}{2} \mu\left(\varphi; \frac{16a}{\pi^2(a-1)m}\right) + \frac{a}{\pi} \sum_{h=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{h} - \frac{1}{m}\right) |\hat{f}(h)|.$$

Now taking into account that  $[f] = [\varphi] \leq 2\|\varphi\|$ ,  $\mu(f; \delta) \equiv \mu(\varphi; \delta)$  and  $\hat{f}(h) = \hat{\varphi}(h)$ , we get the desired inequality for the oscillation of  $f$ . Q.E.D.

### References

- [1] P. D. Proinov: On the inequalities of Erdős-Turán and Berry-Esseen. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **64A**, 381–384 (1988).
- [2] —: On the Erdős-Turán inequality on uniform distribution. I, II. Proc. Japan Acad., **64A**, 27–28, 49–52 (1988).
- [3] Bl. Sendov: On some linear methods for approximation of periodic functions in Hausdorff metric. Annuaire Univ. Sofia Fac. Math., **58**, 107–140 (1965) (in Bulgarian).
- [4] —: Some problems of approximation theory of functions and sets in Hausdorff metric. Uspehi Mat. Nauk, **24**, 147–178 (1969) (in Russian).