

## 19. On the Representation of the Scattering Kernel for the Elastic Wave Equation

By Hideo SOGA

Faculty of Education, Ibaraki University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., March 14, 1988)

**Introduction.** In Yamamoto [7] and Shibata and Soga [4] we have known that we can construct the scattering theory for the elastic wave equation corresponding to the theory for the scalar-valued wave equation formulated by Lax and Phillips [1, 2]. On Lax and Phillips' formulation Majda [3] obtained a representation of the scattering kernel (operator), which is very useful for consideration on the inverse scattering problems (cf. Majda [3], Soga [5, 6], etc.). In the present note we shall give the similar representation of the scattering kernel for the elastic wave equation considered in Shibata and Soga [4].

**§ 1. Main results.** Let  $\Omega$  be an exterior domain in  $\mathbf{R}_x^n$  ( $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ) whose boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is a compact  $C^\infty$  hypersurface. Throughout this note we assume that the dimension  $n$  is odd and  $\geq 3$ . Let us consider the elastic wave equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \left( \partial_t^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \right) u(t, x) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R} \times \Omega, \\ Bu(t, x) = 0 & \text{on } \mathbf{R} \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, x) = f_1(x), \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = f_2(x) & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here,  $a_{ij}$  are constant  $n \times n$  matrices whose  $(p, q)$ -component  $a_{ipjq}$  satisfies

$$(A.1) \quad a_{ipjq} = a_{pijq} = a_{jqip}, \quad i, j, p, q = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$(A.2) \quad \sum_{i,p,j,q=1}^n a_{ipjq} \varepsilon_j \bar{\varepsilon}_i \geq \delta \sum_{i,p=1}^n |\varepsilon_{ip}|^2 \quad \text{for Hermitian matrices } (\varepsilon_{ij}),$$

$$(A.3) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \text{ has characteristic roots of constant multiplicity} \\ \text{for } \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n - \{0\},$$

and the boundary operator  $B$  is of the form

$$Bu = u|_{\partial\Omega} \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \nu_i(x) a_{ij} \partial_{x_j} u|_{\partial\Omega},$$

where  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$  is the unite outer vector normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . We denote by  $U(t)$  the mapping:  $f = (f_1, f_2) \rightarrow (u(t, \cdot), \partial_t u(t, \cdot))$  associated with (1.1), and by  $U_0(t)$  the one associated with the equation in the free space ( $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n$ ).

Under the assumptions (A.1)–(A.3) it has been proved in Shibata and Soga [4] that the wave operators  $W_\pm = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} U(-t)U_0(t)$  are well defined and complete (cf. § 3 of [4]). Let  $\{\lambda_j(\xi)\}_{j=1, \dots, a}$  ( $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_a$ ) be the eigenvalues of  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j$ , and let  $P_j(\xi)$  be the projection into the eigenspace of  $\lambda_j(\xi)$ . For the data  $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{S}$  in the free space, let us set

$$T_0 f(s, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^a \lambda_j(\omega)^{1/4} P_j(\omega) (-\lambda_j(\omega))^{1/2} \partial_s^{(n+1)/2} \tilde{f}_1 + \partial_s^{(n-2)/2} \tilde{f}_2 (\lambda_j(\omega))^{1/2} s, \omega,$$

where  $\tilde{f}_i(s, \omega) = \int_{x \cdot \omega = s} f_i(x) dS_x$ ,  $(s, \omega) \in \mathbf{R} \times S^{n-1}$ . Then  $T_0$  becomes the translation representation for the equation in the free space (cf. § 2 in Shibata and Soga [4]). We define the scattering operator  $S$  by  $S = T_0 W_+^{-1} W_- T_0^{-1}$ , as Lax and Phillips [1, 2] did.  $S$  is a unitary operator from  $L^2(\mathbf{R} \times S^{n-1})$  to itself.

The main purpose of this note is to give a representation of  $S$  similar to Majda's in [3]. Derivation of this representation is based on the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let (A.1)–(A.3) be satisfied, and assume that (A.4) every slowness hypersurface  $\Sigma_j = \{\xi : \lambda_j(\xi) = 1\}$  is strictly convex. Then, for any  $f$  with  $T_0 f \in S(\mathbf{R} \times S^{n-1})$  we have*

$$T_0 f(s, \theta) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (\pi t)^{(n-1)/2} \sum_{j=1}^d K_j(\theta)^{1/2} |\partial_\xi \lambda_j(\theta)|^{(n+1)/2} \lambda_j(\theta)^{-(2n+1)/4} \cdot (U_0(t)f)_2(2^{-1} \lambda_j(\theta)^{-1/2} t \partial_\xi \lambda_j(\theta) + s \lambda_j(\theta)^{1/2} \theta),$$

where  $K_j(\theta)$  denotes the Gaussian curvature of  $\Sigma_j$  at  $\lambda_j(\theta)^{-1/2} \theta$ .

Let  $v_i(t, x; \omega)$  be the solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R} \times \Omega, \\ Bv = -2^{-1} (-2\pi i)^{1-n} \lambda_i(\omega)^{-n/4} B\{\delta(t - \lambda_i(\omega)^{-1/2} \omega \cdot x) P_i(\omega)\} & \text{on } \mathbf{R} \times \partial\Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{if } t \text{ is small enough.} \end{cases}$$

$v_i(t, x; \omega)$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix of  $C^\infty$  functions of  $x$  and  $\omega$  with the value of the distribution in  $t$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let us assume (A.1)–(A.4), and set*

$$S_0(s, \theta, \omega) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda_i(\theta)^{-n/4} \{P_i(\theta)(\partial_t^{n-2} N v_j)(\lambda_i(\theta)^{-1/2} \theta \cdot x - s, x; \omega) - \lambda_i(\theta)^{-1/2} N(\theta \cdot x) P_i(\theta)(\partial_t^{n-1} v_j)(\lambda_i(\theta)^{-1/2} \theta \cdot x - s, x; \omega)\} dS_x,$$

where  $N = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \nu_i(x) a_{ij} \partial_{x_j}$ . Then we have

$$(Sk)(s, \theta) = \iint_{\mathbf{R} \times S^{n-1}} S_0(s-t, \theta, \omega) k(t, \omega) dt d\omega + k(s, \theta), \quad k(s, \omega) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \times S^{n-1}).$$

**§ 2. Proof of Theorem 1.** For the scalar-valued wave equation Lax and Phillips [1] obtained a theorem similar to Theorem 1 (see Theorem 2.4 in Chapter IV of [1]), but for the proof we need more precise analysis. A key lemma is the following

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$  be any elements in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $\eta \neq 0$ . Then, for any  $k(s, \omega) \in S(\mathbf{R} \times S^{n-1})$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^{n-1}} \partial_s^{(n-1)/2} k(t \lambda_j(\omega)^{-1/2} \omega \cdot \eta + \lambda_j(\omega)^{-1/2} \omega \cdot \zeta - t, \omega) d\omega \\ &= 2(2\pi/|\eta|t)^{(n-1)/2} \{k(t \lambda_j(\omega_j^+)^{-1/2} \omega_j^+ \cdot \eta + \lambda_j(\omega_j^+)^{-1/2} \omega_j^+ \cdot \zeta - t, \omega_j^+) \\ & \quad \cdot K_j(\omega_j^+)^{-1/2} |\partial_\xi \lambda_j(\omega_j^+)|^{-1} \lambda_j(\omega_j^+)^{(n+1)/2} \\ & \quad + 2(-2\pi/|\eta|t)^{(n-1)/2} k(t \lambda_j(\omega_j^-)^{-1/2} \omega_j^- \cdot \eta + \lambda_j(\omega_j^-)^{-1/2} \omega_j^- \cdot \zeta - t, \omega_j^-) \\ & \quad \cdot K_j(\omega_j^-)^{-1/2} |\partial_\xi \lambda_j(\omega_j^-)|^{-1} \lambda_j(\omega_j^-)^{(n+1)/2}\} + 0(t^{-n/2}) \quad \text{as } |t| \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_j^+$  (resp.  $\omega_j^-$ ) denotes the point in  $S^{n-1}$  at which  $\lambda_j(\omega)^{-1/2} \omega \cdot \eta$  is maximum (resp. minimum).

In view of Theorem 2.1 in Shibata and Soga [4], we see that the limit in Theorem 1 is equal to the limit of

(1.2)  $2^{-n}\pi^{(1-n)/2}t^{(n-1)/2} \sum_{j,l=1}^d K_j(\theta)^{1/2} |\partial_\xi \lambda_j(\theta)|^{(n+1)/2} \lambda_j(\theta)^{-(2n+1)/4} \int_{S^{n-1}} \lambda_l(\omega)^{-n/4} P_l(\omega) \cdot \partial_s^{(n-1)/2} T_0 f(\lambda_l(\omega)^{-1/2} \omega \cdot 2^{-1} \lambda_j(\theta)^{-1/2} t \partial_\xi \lambda_j(\theta) + \lambda_l(\omega)^{-1/2} \omega \cdot \lambda_j(\theta)^{1/2} s \theta - t, \omega) d\omega$   
 (as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ ). Applying Lemma 1 to each integral in (1.2) yields that (1.2) converges to  $T_0 f(s, \theta)$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus Theorem 1 is obtained.

§3. Proof of Theorem 2. The methods of the proof are improvements of those in Soga [6]. Originally, the idea is due to Majda [3].

Lemma 2. Let the data  $f$  in (1.1) satisfy  $T_0 W^{-1} f(s, \omega) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \times S^{n-1})$ , and set  $k = T_0 W^{-1} f$ . Then we have

$$(U(t)f)_2(x) = 2^{-1}(2\pi)^{1-n} \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{S^{n-1}} \lambda_j(\omega)^{-n/4} P_j(\omega) \partial_s^{(n-1)/2} k(\lambda_j(\omega)^{-1/2} x \cdot \omega - t, \omega) d\omega + \sum_{j=1}^d \iint_{\mathbf{R} \times S^{n-1}} \partial_t^{(n-1)/2} v_j(t+s, x; \omega) k(s, \omega) ds d\omega.$$

Lemma 3. Let  $v(t, x)$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix of  $C^\infty$  functions of  $x$  with the value of the distribution in  $t$  and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R} \times \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{if } t < r_1 \end{cases}$$

(for some constant  $r_1$ ). Set  $N = \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i(x) a_{ij} \partial_{x_j}$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} (\pi\tau)^{(n-1)/2} K_j(\theta)^{1/2} |\partial_\xi \lambda_j(\theta)|^{(n+1)/2} \lambda_j(\theta)^{-(n+1)/4} \cdot \partial_t^{(n-1)/2} v(t+\tau, 2^{-1} \lambda_j(\theta)^{-1/2} \partial_\xi \lambda_j(\theta_j) \tau + s \lambda_j(\theta)^{1/2} \theta) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \{P_j(\theta) N \partial_t^{n-2} v(\lambda_j(\theta)^{-1/2} \theta \cdot x - s + t, x) - \lambda_j(\theta)^{-1/2} N(\theta \cdot x) P_j(\theta) \partial_t^{n-1} v(\lambda_j(\theta)^{-1/2} \theta \cdot x - s + t, x)\} dS_x.$$

Lemmas 2 and 3 are extensions of Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 in Soga [6] respectively. The proof of Lemma 2 is similar to that of Lemma 1.3 in [6], but Lemma 3 cannot be obtained in the same way as Lemma 1.4 in [6], the reason of which is that the forms of the fundamental solutions for the corresponding wave equations are fairly different.

Theorem 2 is derived from Theorem 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 by the same procedures as Theorem 1 in [6] was derived from Proposition 1.2, Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4 in [6].

### References

[1] P. D. Lax and R. S. Phillips: Scattering Theory. Academic Press, New York (1967).  
 [2] —: Scattering theory for the acoustic equation in an even number of the space dimensions. Indiana Univ. Math. J., **22**, 101–134 (1972).  
 [3] A. Majda: A representation formula for the scattering operator and the inverse problem for arbitrary bodies. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **30**, 165–194 (1977).  
 [4] Y. Shibata and H. Soga: Scattering theory for the elastic wave equation (to appear).  
 [5] H. Soga: Singularities of the scattering kernel for convex obstacles. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **22**, 729–765 (1983).  
 [6] —: Singular support of the scattering kernel for the acoustic equation in inhomogeneous media. Comm. in P.D.E., **9**, 467–502 (1984).  
 [7] K. Yamamoto: Exponential energy decays of solutions of elastic wave equations with Dirichlet condition (to appear).