

105. *Kato's Inequality and Essential Selfadjointness for the Weyl Quantized Relativistic Hamiltonian*^{†)}

By Takashi ICHINOSE

Department of Mathematics, Kanazawa University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1988)

1. Introduction. For the nonrelativistic quantum Hamiltonian of a spinless particle of mass m , i.e. the nonrelativistic Schrödinger operator $(1/2m)(-i\partial - A(x))^2$, with magnetic fields, Kato [3] discovered a distributional inequality, which is now called *Kato's inequality*, to attack the problem of essential selfadjointness. The aim of this note is to establish an analogous distributional inequality for the Weyl quantized relativistic Hamiltonian H_A^m with magnetic fields to show the essential selfadjointness of the general Weyl quantized relativistic Hamiltonian

$$(1.1) \quad H^m = H_A^m + \Phi,$$

which corresponds to the classical relativistic Hamiltonian (e.g. [4])

$$(1.2) \quad h^m(p, x) = h_A^m(p, x) + \Phi(x) \equiv \sqrt{(p - A(x))^2 + m^2} + \Phi(x), \quad p \in \mathbf{R}^d, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

Here m is a nonnegative constant. The vector and scalar potentials $A(x)$ and $\Phi(x)$ are respectively \mathbf{R}^d -valued and \mathbf{R} -valued measurable functions in \mathbf{R}^d . It is assumed that they satisfy:

$$(1.3) \quad A(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{0 < |y| < 1} |A(x - y/2) - A(x)| |y|^{-a} dy \quad \text{are locally bounded,}$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi(x) \quad \text{is in} \quad L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

For instance, (1.3) is fulfilled by a locally Hölder-continuous $A(x)$.

2. Statement of results. We begin with defining the Weyl quantized relativistic Hamiltonian H_A^m with magnetic fields when $A(x)$ satisfies (1.3). If $A(x)$ is sufficiently smooth and for instance, satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad |\partial^\alpha A(x)| \leq C_\alpha, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d, \quad 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N,$$

for N sufficiently large, with a constant C_α , then it may be defined as the Weyl pseudo-differential operator $H_A^{m,w}$:

$$(2.2) \quad (H_A^{m,w}u)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d} e^{i(x-y)p} h_A^m\left(p, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) u(y) dy dp, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

The integral on the right is an oscillatory integral. Note the condition (2.1) allows the case of constant magnetic fields. The definition of H_A^m for the general $A(x)$ satisfying (1.3) is based on the *Lévy-Khinchin formula* for the conditionally negative definite function $\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$:

$$(2.3) \quad \sqrt{p^2 + m^2} = m - \int_{|y| > 0} [e^{ipy} - 1 - ipy I_{\{|y| < 1\}}] n^m(dy).$$

Here $I_{\{|y| < 1\}}$ is the indicator function of the set $\{|y| < 1\}$, and $n^m(dy)$ is the *Lévy*

^{†)} Dedicated to Professor Shozo KOSHI on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday.

measure which is a σ -finite measure on $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ with $\int_{|y|>0} y^2 / (1+y^2) n^m(dy) < \infty$.

It is given by

$$(2.4a) \quad n^m(dy) = 2(2\pi)^{-(d+1)/2} m^{(d+1)/2} |y|^{-(d+1)/2} K_{(d+1)/2}(m|y|) dy, \quad m > 0,$$

$$(2.4b) \quad n^0(dy) = \pi^{-(d+1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right) |y|^{-(d+1)} dy, \quad m = 0,$$

where $K_\nu(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the third kind of order ν and $\Gamma(z)$ the gamma function.

Definition. The Weyl quantized relativistic Hamiltonian H_A^m corresponding to the symbol $h_A^m(p, x)$ in (1.2) is defined to be the integral operator :

$$(2.5) \quad (H_A^m u)(x) = m u(x) - \int_{|y|>0} [e^{-iyA(x+y/2)} u(x+y) - u(x) - I_{\{|y|<1\}} y(\partial_x - iA(x))u(x)] n^m(dy), \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

Of course, H_A^m in (2.5) coincides, on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, with $H_A^{m,w}$ in (2.2), if $A(x)$ satisfies (2.1). It is seen that H_A^m defines a linear operator in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with domain $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and by the rotational invariance of the Lévy measure $n^m(dy)$ that H_A^m is symmetric, i.e. $(H_A^m \varphi, \psi) = (\varphi, H_A^m \psi)$, $\varphi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$. For $u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ the distribution $H_A^m u$ is defined by $(H_A^m u, \varphi) = (u, H_A^m \varphi)$, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$. It can be shown ([6], [2]) that $H_A^{m,w}$ is essentially selfadjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, when both $A(x)$ and its derivatives $\partial^\alpha A(x)$ up to sufficiently higher order are continuous and bounded. It has recently been shown by Nagase-Umeda [5] when $A(x)$ satisfies (2.1). The condition (1.3) is suggested by the path integral representation for the semigroup $\exp[-t(H_A^{m,w} - m)]$ obtained in [2] (cf. [1]) which is still valid in this case.

The results of the present note are the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. Assume that $A(x)$ and $\Phi(x)$ satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). Then :

- (i) $H^m = H_A^m + \Phi$, and, in particular, H_A^m , is essentially selfadjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$.
- (ii) The unique selfadjoint extension of H_A^m , denoted again by the same H_A^m , is bounded from below by m : $H_A^m \geq m$.

The proof of Theorem 1-(i) will be done just in the same way as in Kato [3], if such a distributional inequality between H_A^m and $\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2}$ as in Theorem 2 below is established. It may be regarded as Kato's inequality for H_A^m . Theorem 1-(ii) will also follow from the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. Assume $A(x)$ satisfies (1.3). If v is in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with $H_A^m v$ in $L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$(2.6) \quad \operatorname{Re}[(\operatorname{sgn} v) H_A^m v] \geq \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} |v|,$$

in the sense of distributions. Here $\operatorname{sgn} v$ is a bounded function in \mathbf{R}^d defined by

$$(\operatorname{sgn} v)(x) = \begin{cases} \overline{v(x)} / |v(x)|, & \text{if } v(x) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } v(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

3. Sketch of proof of Theorem 2. Suppose first that v is C^∞ and L^2 . Then $H_A^m v$ is L_{loc}^2 and hence L_{loc}^1 . Using the expression (2.3) of H_A^m we can show

$$(3.1) \quad \operatorname{Re}[(\overline{v(x)} / v_\varepsilon(x)) [H_A - m] v] \geq [\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} - m] v_\varepsilon,$$

where $v_\varepsilon(x) = \sqrt{|v(x)|^2 + \varepsilon^2}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Next, in the general case, let $v^\delta = \rho_\delta * v$ where $\rho_\delta(x) = \delta^{-a} \rho(x/\delta)$, $\delta > 0$, and $\rho(x)$ is a nonnegative C_0^∞ function with support in the sphere of radius one about the origin in R^d and with $\int \rho(x) dx = 1$. Then v^δ is C^∞ and L^2 , so that (3.1) holds for v^δ in place of v . Then we tend $\delta \downarrow 0$ first and then $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ to get (2.6). To prove this part, we need to know that $H_A^m v^\delta \rightarrow H_A^m v$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$. To this end we must give a kind of integral representation for the function $v \in L^2$ with $H_A^m v \in L_{loc}^1$ to show its regularity.

A full account of the present note will be published elsewhere.

References

- [1] T. Ichinose: The nonrelativistic limit problem for a relativistic spinless particle in an electromagnetic field. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **73**, 233–257 (1987).
- [2] T. Ichinose and H. Tamura: Imaginary-time path integral for a relativistic spinless particle in an electromagnetic field. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **105**, 239–257 (1986); Path integral for the Weyl quantized relativistic Hamiltonian. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **62A**, 91–93 (1986).
- [3] T. Kato: Schrödinger operators with singular potentials. *Israel J. Math.*, **13**, 135–148 (1972).
- [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz: *Course of Theoretical Physics. vol. 2, The Classical Theory of Fields.* 4th revised English ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford (1975).
- [5] M. Nagase and T. Umeda: On the essential self-adjointness of quantum Hamiltonians of relativistic particles in magnetic fields. *Sci. Rep., Col. Gen. Educ. Osaka Univ.*, **36**, 1–6 (1987).
- [6] M. A. Shubin: *Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory.* Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg (1987).