

### 93. On the Darboux Transformation of Second Order Ordinary Differential Operator

By Mayumi OHMIYA

Department of Mathematics, Tokushima University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Nov. 14, 1988)

**1. Introduction.** The main purpose of the present paper is to study the relation between the *Darboux transformation* of 2-nd order ordinary differential operator and the recursion formula called the *Lenard relation*. The Darboux transformation is studied in [1], [3], [4], [5] and [6] for the 1-dimensional Schrödinger operator and the ordinary differential operator of Fuchsian type. In this paper we supplement them with the description of more general aspect of the theory.

**2. Darboux transformation.** Consider the 2-nd order ordinary differential operator  $L(u) = \partial^2 - u(x)$ ,  $\partial = d/dx$ , where  $u(x)$  is a complex analytic function defined in a region  $\Omega \subset P_1$ . Suppose that  $u(x)$  is holomorphic at  $x = a \in \Omega$  and let  $y_j(x)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) be the fundamental system of solutions of the differential equation

$$L(u)y = y'' - u(x)y = 0, \quad ' = d/dx$$

such that  $W(y_1(a), y_2(a)) = E$ , where  $W(f, g) = \begin{pmatrix} f & g \\ f' & g' \end{pmatrix}$  is the Wronskian matrix and  $E$  is the unit matrix of size 2. For  $\zeta = [\xi_1 : \xi_2] \in P_1$ , put  $v(x, \zeta) = (\partial/\partial x) \log(\xi_1 y_1(x) + \xi_2 y_2(x))$  and  $A_{\pm}(\zeta) = \partial \pm v(x, \zeta)$ . Then the factorization  $L(u) = A_+(\zeta)A_-(\zeta)$  follows. On the other hand, put

$$L^*(u; \zeta) = A_-(\zeta)A_+(\zeta).$$

$L^*(u; \zeta)$  is the 2-nd order ordinary differential operator parametrized by  $\zeta \in P_1$ . We call  $L^*(u; \zeta)$  the Darboux transformation of  $L(u)$ . Put  $u^*(x, \zeta) = u(x) - 2(\partial/\partial x)v(x, \zeta)$ , which is analytic in  $\Omega^* = \Omega \setminus \{\text{zeros of } \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_j y_j(x)\}$ , then  $L^*(u; \zeta) = \partial^2 - u^*(x, \zeta)$  follows.

**3. Lenard relation.** Define the function  $Q_n(x)$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) by the recursion formula

$$2Q_{n+1}'(x) = u'(x)Q_n(x) + 2u(x)Q_n'(x) - 2^{-1}Q_n'''(x)$$

with  $Q_0(x) = 1$ . It is known that  $Q_n(x)$  are polynomials of  $u, u', \dots, u^{(2n-2)}$  with constant coefficients (cf. [7]). Of course, while an arbitrary constant appears when we integrate  $Q_n'(x)$  to obtain  $Q_n(x)$  itself, we can define uniquely  $Q_n(x)$  by putting them zero. Hence we can define the nonlinear differential operators  $Z_n(u)$  and  $X_n(u)$  by  $Z_n(u(x)) = 2Q_n(x)$  and  $X_n(u(x)) = 2Q_n'(x) = \partial Z_n(u(x))$ . Then we can rewrite the above recursion formula as

$$X_n(u) = (2^{-1}u' + u\partial - 4^{-1}\partial^3)Z_{n-1}(u),$$

which is called the Lenard relation.  $Z_n(u)$  turns out to be the  $(2n-2)$ -th order differential polynomial. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0(u) &= 2, & Z_1(u) &= u, & Z_2(u) &= 4^{-1}(3u^2 - u''), \\ X_0(u) &= 0, & X_1(u) &= u', & X_2(u) &= 4^{-1}(6uu' - u'''). \end{aligned}$$

4. **Main results.** Put  $B_{\pm}(\zeta) = \pm \partial - (\pm v_x(x, \zeta) / v(x, \zeta) - 2v(x, \zeta))$  and  $C_{\pm}(\zeta) = \pm \partial + 2v(x, \zeta)$ . Then we have

**Theorem 1.** *The equalities*

$$(1) \quad B_+(\zeta)X_n(u^*(x; \zeta)) = B_-(\zeta)X_n(u(x))$$

and

$$(2) \quad C_+(\zeta)Z_n(u^*(x; \zeta)) = C_-(\zeta)Z_n(u(x))$$

are valid for all  $n \in N$ .

While the proof of this theorem, which is given in [6], is elementary, the formula (1) and (2) yield many interesting results in the transformation theory of the higher order KdV equations.

5. **Application.** For  $\tau_{\mu} \in C$  ( $\mu = 0, \infty$ ), define the solutions  $f_{\mu}(x, \tau_{\mu})$  ( $\mu = 0, \infty$ ) of the equation  $L(u)y = 0$  by  $f_0(x, \tau_0) = y_1(x) + \tau_0 y_2(x)$  and  $f_{\infty}(x, \tau_{\infty}) = \tau_{\infty} y_1(x) + y_2(x)$  and put

$$u_{\mu}^* = u_{\mu}^*(x, \tau_{\mu}) = u(x) - 2(\partial/\partial x)^2 \log f_{\mu}(x, \tau_{\mu}), \quad \mu = 0, \infty.$$

Then we have the following (cf. [6]).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $u(x)$  be the solution of the  $(2n-2)$ -th order algebraic differential equation*

$$Z_n(u) + \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} c_{\nu} Z_{\nu}(u) = 0, \quad c_{\nu} \in C, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then the functions

$$-2^{-1} f_{\mu}(x, \tau_{\mu})^2 \left\{ Z_n(u_{\mu}^*) + \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} c_{\nu} Z_{\nu}(u_{\mu}^*) \right\}$$

are independent of  $x$  and analytic in  $\tau_{\mu}$ ; we denote it by  $\phi_{\mu}(\tau_{\mu})$  ( $\mu = 0, \infty$ ) respectively. Moreover  $u_{\mu}^*$  solve the  $n$ -th KdV equation

$$\pm \phi_{\mu} \partial u_{\mu}^* / \partial \tau_{\mu} - X_n(u_{\mu}^*) - \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} c_{\nu} X_{\nu}(u_{\mu}^*) = 0$$

and the  $(2n+1)$ -th order algebraic differential equation

$$X_{n+1}(u_{\mu}^*) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} c_{\nu} X_{\nu+1}(u_{\mu}^*) = 0.$$

On the other hand, suppose that the coefficient  $u = u(x, t)$  of  $L(u)$  depends analytically also on the another complex parameter  $t \in D \subset P_1$  and solves the  $n$ -th KdV equation

$$\partial u / \partial t - X_n(u) - \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} c_{\nu} X_{\nu}(u) = 0, \quad c_{\nu} \in C, \nu = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Assume that  $u(x, t)$  is holomorphic at  $x = a$  for all  $t \in D$  and let  $y_j(x, t)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) be the fundamental system of solutions of  $L(u(x, t))y = 0$  such that  $W(y_1(a, t), y_2(a, t)) = E$ . Put

$$u^*(x, t; \zeta) = u(x, t) - 2(\partial/\partial x)^2 \log (\xi_1 y_1(x, t) + \xi_2 y_2(x, t))$$

for  $\zeta = [\xi_1 : \xi_2] \in P_1$ , then, by direct calculation, we have

**Theorem 3.** *The function  $u^* = u^*(x, t; \zeta)$  solves the equation*

$$B_+(\zeta) \left( \partial u^* / \partial t - X_n(u^*) - \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} c_{\nu} X_{\nu}(u^*) \right) = 0.$$

6. **Examples.** The method of Darboux transformation has been used

to construct the exact solutions of the  $n$ -th KdV equation by many authors ; see e.g. [3] for the multi soliton solutions and see [1], [4] and [6] for the rational solutions. Here we investigate the elliptic solutions. Let

$$\mathcal{P}(x) = x^{-2} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} (x - \omega)^{-2} - \omega^{-2}, \quad \omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

be the Weierstrass  $\mathcal{P}$  function with the period  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ . Put  $u = u(x; \alpha, \beta) = 2\alpha^2 \mathcal{P}(\alpha x) + \beta$ .  $\mathcal{P}(x)$  solves the algebraic differential equation  $\mathcal{P}'' - 6\mathcal{P}^2 + g = 0$ , where  $g = 30 \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \omega^{-4}$  (see e.g. [2]). Hence, by direct calculation, one verifies

$$Z_2(u) + c_1 Z_1(u) + c_2 Z_0(u) = 0,$$

where  $c_1 = -3\beta/2$  and  $c_2 = (3\beta^2 - 2\alpha^2 g)/8$ . Let  $\lambda_j(x; \alpha, \beta)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) be the fundamental system of solutions of the equation

$$(3) \quad \lambda'' - u(x; \alpha, \beta)\lambda = 0$$

such that  $W(\lambda_1(a; \alpha, \beta), \lambda_2(a; \alpha, \beta)) = E$  for some  $a \notin \{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2 \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and put  $f_0(x, \tau_0; \alpha, \beta) = \lambda_1(x; \alpha, \beta) + \tau_0 \lambda_2(x; \alpha, \beta)$  and  $f_\infty(x, \tau_\infty; \alpha, \beta) = \tau_\infty \lambda_1(x; \alpha, \beta) + \lambda_2(x; \alpha, \beta)$ . Then, by Theorem 2,

$u_\mu^* = u_\mu^*(x, \tau_\mu; \alpha, \beta) = u(x; \alpha, \beta) - 2(\partial/\partial x)^2 \log f_\mu(x, \tau_\mu; \alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\mu = 0, \infty$  turn out to solve the KdV equation

$$\pm \phi_\mu(\tau_\mu) \partial u_\mu^* / \partial \tau_\mu - X_2(u_\mu^*) - c_1 X_1(u_\mu^*) = 0, \quad \mu = 0, \infty$$

and the 5-th order algebraic differential equation

$$X_3(u_\mu^*) + c_1 X_2(u_\mu^*) = 0, \quad \mu = 0, \infty.$$

Let  $A(x)$  be the non-trivial solution of the Lamé's differential equation

$$A'' - (2\mathcal{P}(x) + \alpha^{-2}\beta)A = 0,$$

then  $\lambda(x) = A(\alpha x)$  solves (3). Hence  $u_\mu^*(x, \tau_\mu; \alpha, \beta)$  are described by Lamé function if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are appropriately chosen. Moreover let

$$\sigma(x) = x \prod_{\omega \neq 0} (1 - \omega^{-1}x) \exp(\omega^{-1}x + 2^{-1}\omega^{-2}x^2)$$

be the Weierstrass sigma function. Since  $\mathcal{P}(x) = (\partial/\partial x)^2 \log \sigma(x)^{-1}$  is valid (cf. e.g. [2]),

$$u(x; \alpha, \beta) = 2(\partial/\partial x)^2 \log \theta(x; \alpha, \beta)$$

follows, where  $\theta(x; \alpha, \beta) = \sigma(\alpha x)^{-1} \exp(4^{-1}\beta x^2)$ . Hence if we put

$$(4) \quad \theta_\mu^*(x, \tau_\mu; \alpha, \beta) = \theta(x; \alpha, \beta) / f_\mu(x, \tau_\mu; \alpha, \beta)$$

then we have

$$u_\mu^*(x, \tau_\mu; \alpha, \beta) = 2(\partial/\partial x)^2 \log \theta_\mu^*(x, \tau_\mu; \alpha, \beta).$$

Thus, if we employ the  $\tau$ -functions  $\theta$  and  $\theta_\mu^*$  then the Darboux transformation can be represented as the division by the solution  $f_\mu(x, \tau_\mu; \alpha, \beta)$  by (4).

### References

[1] M. Adler and J. Moser: On a class of polynomials connected with the Korteweg-de Vries equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **61**, 1-30 (1978).  
 [2] T. M. Apostol: *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*. Springer, New York (1976).  
 [3] P. Deift and E. Trubowitz: Inverse scattering on the line. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **32**, 121-251 (1979).

- [4] J. J. Duistermaat and F. A. Grünbaum: Differential equations in the spectral parameter. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **103**, 177-240 (1986).
- [5] M. Ohmiya: On the Darboux transformation of the 1-dimensional Schrödinger operator and Levinson's theorem. *J. Math. Tokushima Univ.*, **21**, 13-26 (1987).
- [6] —: On the Darboux transformation of the second order differential operator of Fuchsian type on the Riemann sphere (to appear in *Osaka J. Math.*).
- [7] S. Tanaka and E. Date: *KdV Equation*. Kinokuniya, Tokyo (1979) (in Japanese).