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1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the oscillatory behavior of the solutions of the second order nonlinear differential equation with damping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) x^{\prime}+q(t) f(x)=0, \quad t \in[0, \infty) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r, p, q \in \mathcal{C}[0, \infty), r(t)>0$, and $p, q$ are allowed to take on negative values for arbitrarily large $t, f \in \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{R}), x f(x)>0$ for $x \neq 0$. We restrict our attention to solutions of (1) which exist on some interval $\left[\tau_{0}, \infty\right)$.

For the second order linear differential equation:
(*) $\quad x^{\prime \prime}+q(t) x=0$,
the well-known theorem of Wintner [3] for the equation (*) to be oscillatory. Later more general theorems were established by considering weighted averages of the integral of $q$.

Recently, by the use of completing square and averaging technique, Yan [2] gave the following oscillation theorem for the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) x^{\prime}+q(t) x=0, \quad t \in[0, \infty) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem. If there exist $\alpha \in(1, \infty)$ and $\beta \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} \tau^{\beta} q(\tau) d \tau=\infty,  \tag{3}\\
\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}[(t-\tau) p(\tau) \tau+\alpha \tau-\beta(t-\tau)]^{2}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-2} \tau^{\beta-2} d t<\infty, \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

then (1) is oscillatory.
Moreover Yan [1] established two theorems as criteria for the oscillation of (2) when (3) or (4) is not satisfied.

We extend his results for (2) in [1] to the equation (1).
2. Main results. We consider the equation (1) under the following assumption.

Assumption. (a) $r, p$, and $q$ are continuous on $[0, \infty)$, and $r(t)>0$.
(b) $f: \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ is continuously differentiable such that $x f(x)>0(x \neq 0)$, and $f^{\prime}(x) \geq k>0$ for some constant $k$. Our results are as follows:

Theorem 1. Suppose that there exist a positive continuously differentiable function $h(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ and a constant $\alpha \in(1, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} H_{k}(t, \tau) d \tau=\infty, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{k}(t, \tau)=(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) q(\tau)$

$$
-\frac{1}{4 k}\left[(t-\tau) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-(t-\tau) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right]^{2}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-2} \frac{r(\tau)}{h(\tau)},
$$

then the equation (1) is oscillatory.
Theorem 2. Suppose that there exist a positive continuously differentiable function $h(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ and an $\alpha \in(1, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t} H_{k}(t, \tau) d \tau<\infty, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists a continuous function $\varphi(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varliminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t} H_{k}(t, \tau) d \tau \geq \varphi(s) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\varphi^{+}(\tau)^{2}}{h(\tau) r(\tau)} d \tau=\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi^{+}(t)=\max (\varphi(t), 0)$, then the equation (1) is oscillatory.
Remark. Let $f(x) \equiv 1$ and $k=1$ in (1), the above Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 imply Yan's Theorems in [1].
3. Proofs. Proof of Theorem 1. Assume the contrary, then there exists a solution $x(t)$ which may be assumed to be positive on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{0} \geq 0$.

Let $\omega(t)=r(t) x^{\prime}(t) / f(x(t))$, for $t \geq t_{0}$, then it follows from (1) that (9) $\quad \omega^{\prime}(t)+\left(f^{\prime}(x(t)) / r(t)\right) \omega(t)^{2}+(p(t) / r(t)) \omega(t)+q(t)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}$,

Hence, for all $t \geq s \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) \omega^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau+\int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} \frac{h(\tau) f^{\prime}(x(\tau))}{r(\tau)} \omega(\tau)^{2} d \tau \\
& \quad+\int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)} \omega(\tau) d \tau+\int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) q(\tau) d \tau=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) \omega^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau=\alpha \int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} h(\tau) \omega(\tau) d \tau \\
-\int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h^{\prime}(\tau) \omega(\tau) d \tau-\omega(s)(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(s),
\end{gathered}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) q(\tau) d \tau=(t-s)^{\alpha} h(s) \omega(s)-\int_{s}^{t} \frac{(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) f^{\prime}(x(\tau))}{r(\tau)} \omega(\tau)^{2} d \tau  \tag{10}\\
-\int_{s}^{t}\left[(t-\tau) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-(t-\tau) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right](t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \omega(\tau) d \tau
\end{gather*}
$$

From the assumption (b) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) q(\tau) d \tau \leq(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(s) \omega(s)-k \int_{s}^{t} \frac{(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau)}{r(\tau)} \omega(\tau)^{2} d \tau \\
& \quad-\int_{s}^{t}\left[(t-\tau) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-(t-\tau) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right](t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \omega(\tau) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence
$\int_{s}^{t}\left\{(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) q(\tau)-\frac{1}{4 k}\left[(t-\tau) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-(t-\tau) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right]^{2}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-2} \frac{h(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right\} d \tau$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & (t-s)^{\alpha} h(s) \omega(s)-\int_{s}^{t}\left\{\sqrt{k}(t-\tau)^{\alpha / 2}\left(\frac{h(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1 / 2} \omega(t)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{k}}\left[(t-\tau) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-(t-\tau) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right](t-\tau)^{(\alpha-2) / 2}\left(\frac{r(\tau)}{h(\tau)}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}^{2} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq(t-s)^{\alpha} h(s) \omega(s)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s}^{t} H_{k}(t, \tau) d \tau \leq(t-s)^{\alpha} h(s) \omega(s), \quad s \geq t_{0} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Divide (11) by $t^{\alpha}$ and take the upper limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts the assumption (b). This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (1). Without loss of generality, we may assume $x(t) \neq 0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{0} \geq 0$. Define

$$
\omega(t)=r(t) x^{\prime}(t) / f(x(t)), \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s}^{t} H_{k}(t, \tau) d \tau \leq(t-s)^{\alpha} h(s) \omega(s), \quad s \geq t_{0} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Divide (11) by $t^{\alpha}$ and take the lower limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\varphi(s) \leq h(s) \omega(s), \quad s \geq t_{0}
$$

and hence we obtain
(12)

$$
\varphi^{+}(s)^{2} \leq h(s)^{2} \omega(s)^{2}, \quad s \geq t_{0}
$$

Now we define $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t)=t^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t}\left[(t-\tau) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-(t-\tau) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right](t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \omega(\tau) d \tau \\
& v(t)=t^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) \frac{f^{\prime}(x(\tau))}{r(\tau)} \omega(\tau)^{2} d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (10)

$$
u(t)+v(t)=h(s) \omega(s)\left(1-\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\alpha}-t^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) q(\tau) d \tau .
$$

According to (7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varliminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) q(\tau) d \tau \geq \varphi(s), \quad s \geq t_{0} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) q(\tau) d \tau  \tag{14}\\
&- \varliminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^{-\alpha}}{4 k} \int_{s}^{t}\left[(t-\tau) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-(t-\tau) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right]^{2} \\
& \times(t-\tau)^{\alpha-2} \frac{r(\tau)}{h(\tau)} d \tau \geq \varphi(s), \quad s \geq t_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (6) and (13),

$$
\varliminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^{-\alpha}}{4 k} \int_{s}^{t}\left[(t-\tau) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-(t-\tau) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right]^{2}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-2} \frac{r(\tau)}{h(\tau)} d \tau<\infty .
$$

This implies that there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
t_{n} \geq t_{0}, \quad \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\infty \quad \text { and }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}}{4 k} \int_{s}^{t_{n}}\left[\left(t_{n}-\tau\right) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-\left(t_{n}-\tau\right) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right]^{2}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)^{\alpha-2} \frac{r(\tau)}{h(\tau)} d \tau<\infty . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the upper limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (14) and using (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty}\{u(t)+v(t)\} & =h(s) \omega(s)-\varliminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} h(\tau) q(\tau) d \tau \\
& \leq h(s) \omega(s)-\varphi(s)=a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence there exists a sufficiently large $N$ such that for any $n \geq N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(t_{n}\right)+v\left(t_{n}\right)<a \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the assumption (b), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t)=\int_{s}^{t}\left(1-\frac{\tau}{t}\right)^{\alpha} h(\tau) \frac{f^{\prime}(x(\tau))}{r(\tau)} \omega(\tau)^{2} d \tau \geq k \int_{s}^{t}\left(1-\frac{\tau}{t}\right)^{a} h(\tau) \frac{\omega(\tau)}{r(\tau)} d \tau>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we observe easily that $v(t)$ is strictly increasing in $t \geq s$. Now suppose that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)=\infty$ and by (16),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u\left(t_{n}\right)=-\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(16) and (18) imply that for an arbitrarily positive constant $\eta(0<\eta<1)$, there exists a sufficiently large number $N^{\prime}$ such that for any $n \geq N^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(t_{n}\right) / v\left(t_{n}\right)<\eta-1<0 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the Schwartz inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & t_{n}^{-2 \alpha}\left\{\int_{s}^{t_{n}}\left[\left(t_{n}-\tau\right) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-\left(t_{n}-\tau\right) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right]^{2}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{r(\tau)}{h(\tau)} d \tau\right\} \\
\leq & \left\{t_{n}^{-a} \int_{s}^{t_{n}}\left[\left(t_{n}-\tau\right) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-\left(t_{n}-\tau\right) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right]^{2}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)^{\alpha-2} \frac{r(\tau)}{h(\tau)} d \tau\right\} \\
& \times\left\{t_{n}^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t_{n}}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)^{\alpha} \frac{h(\tau)}{r(\tau)} \omega(\tau)^{2} d \tau\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence noting (17), for any $n \geq N^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq u\left(t_{n}\right)^{2} / v\left(t_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}}{k} \int_{s}^{t_{n}}\left[\left(t_{n}-\tau\right) \frac{h(\tau) p(\tau)}{r(\tau)}+\alpha h(\tau)-\left(t_{n}-\tau\right) h^{\prime}(\tau)\right]^{2}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)^{\alpha-2} \frac{r(\tau)}{h(\tau)} d \tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (15) we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(u\left(t_{n}\right)^{2} / v\left(t_{n}\right)\right)<\infty,
$$

which contradicts (18) and (19). Therefore we obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)=c<\infty$. From (12) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} \frac{\varphi^{+}(\tau)^{2}}{h(\tau) r(\tau)} d \tau \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{k} \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha} \int_{s}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha} \frac{h(\tau) f^{\prime}(x(\tau))}{r(\tau)} \omega(\tau)^{2} d \tau=\frac{1}{k} \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)=\frac{c}{k}<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (8). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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