

74. Some Generalizations of Chebyshev's Conjecture

By Akio FUJII

Department of Mathematics, Rikkyo University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1988)

§ 1. Statement of the results and the conjecture. Chebyshev [2] asserted, in 1853, that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \sum_{p > 2} (-1)^{(p-1)/2} e^{-xp} = -\infty,$$

where p runs over the odd prime numbers, although the proof has never been published. In fact, in 1917, Hardy-Littlewood [3] and Landau [5] have shown that the above statement is equivalent to the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (G.R.H.) for the Dirichlet L -function $L(s, \chi)$ with the non-principal character $\chi \pmod{4}$. Later, Knapowski and Turan [4] have extensively studied this subject, and proved among others that the following statement is equivalent to G.R.H. for $L(s, \chi)$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \sum_{p > 2} (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \log p e^{-\log^2(px)} = -\infty.$$

The purpose of the present article is to give a generalization of Chebyshev's conjecture and prove its equivalence to G.R.H. for $L(s, \chi)$ for some special cases.

To state our theorem we define the function $\xi(x, k)$ by

$$\Gamma^k(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} \xi(x, k) dx,$$

where $\Gamma(s)$ is the Γ -function and k is an integer ≥ 1 . $\xi(x, 1) = e^{-x}$ and $\xi(x, 2) = 2K_0(2\sqrt{x})$ with the Bessel function $K_0(x)$. We shall prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$, where α_0 may be > 4 . Then the statement that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \sum_{p > 2} (-1)^{(p-1)/2} e^{-(xp)^\alpha} = -\infty$$

is equivalent to G.R.H. for $L(s, \chi)$.

Theorem 2. *The statement that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \sum_{p > 2} (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \cdot \log p \cdot \xi(xp, 2) = -\infty$$

is equivalent to G.R.H. for $L(s, \chi)$.

We may state our generalization of Chebyshev's conjecture as follows.

Conjecture. (i) *For any positive α ,*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \sum_{p > 2} (-1)^{(p-1)/2} e^{-(xp)^\alpha} = -\infty.$$

(ii) *For any integer $k \geq 1$,*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \sum_{p > 2} (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \xi(xp, k) = -\infty.$$

§ 2. Proof of Theorem 2. We denote $\xi(x, 2)$ by $f(x)$ and $\Gamma^2(s)$ by $F(s)$, for simplicity. We use the well known properties of $L(s, \chi)$, $f(x)$ and

$F(s)$ without mentioning the references.

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} F(s) \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) x^{-s} ds &= \sum_{p,m} \chi(p^m) \log p \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} F(s) (p^m x)^{-s} ds \\
 &= \sum_{p,m} (-1)^{m(p-1)/2} \cdot \log p \cdot f(xp^m),
 \end{aligned}$$

where m runs over the integers ≥ 1 . Moving the line of the integration to $\text{Re}(s) = -1/2$, we get for $0 < x < x_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &\equiv \sum_p (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \log p \cdot f(xp) = -\sum_p \log p \cdot f(xp^2) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{p,m \geq 3} (-1)^{m(p-1)/2} \log p \cdot f(xp^m) - \sum_\rho F(\rho) x^{-\rho} \\
 &\quad + \left(-\log x \frac{L'}{L}(0, \chi) + 2\Gamma'(1) \frac{L'}{L}(0, \chi) + \left(\frac{L'}{L} \right)'(0, \chi) \right) + O(x^{1/2}) \\
 &= S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + O(x^{1/2}),
 \end{aligned}$$

say, where ρ runs over the non-trivial zeros of $L(s, \chi)$. We put $T = 1/x$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_2 &\ll \sum_{p^m \leq T, m \geq 3} \log p \left(-\log \frac{p^m}{T} + O(1) \right) + \sum_{T < p^m \leq T^{6/5}, m \geq 3} \log p \cdot e^{-2\sqrt{p^m/T}} (p^m/T)^{-1/4} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{p^m \geq T^{6/5}, m \geq 3} \log p \cdot e^{-2\sqrt{p^m/T}} (p^m/T)^{-1/4} \\
 &\ll \log^2 T \sum_{p \leq T^{2/5}} \cdot 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \log n \cdot e^{-2n^{1/12}} \\
 &\ll T^{2/5} \log T.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$S_4 \ll \log T.$$

$$-S_1 = \left(\sum_{p \leq \sqrt{T}} + \sum_{p > \sqrt{T}} \right) \log p \cdot f(xp^2) = S_5 + S_6, \text{ say.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_5 &= \int_1^{\sqrt{T}} f(v^2/T) d(v + R(v)) = \int_1^{\sqrt{T}} f(v^2/T) dv - 2 \int_1^{\sqrt{T}} f'(v^2/T) \frac{v}{T} R(v) dv \\
 &\quad + O(\sqrt{T} \exp(-C\sqrt{\log T})),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\sum_{2 < p < v} \log p = v + R(v)$ and C is some positive absolute constant. The last integral is

$$= \int_1^{\sqrt{T}} (-1 + O(v/T)) R(v)/v dv \ll \sqrt{T} \exp(-C\sqrt{\log T}).$$

We remark that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_1^{\sqrt{T}} f(v^2/T) dv &= \int_{1/\sqrt{T}}^1 \left(-2 \log u - 2C_0 - 2 \log u \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{2k}}{(k!)^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{2k}}{(k!)^2} \psi(k+1) \right) du \\
 &= 2 - 2C_0 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k!)^2 (2k+1)} \left(\frac{1}{2k+1} + \psi(k+1) \right) \\
 &\quad + O(\log T/\sqrt{T}) > 1.3
 \end{aligned}$$

for $T > T_0$, where we put $\psi(x) = \Gamma'/\Gamma(x)$ and C_0 is the Euler constant.

$$S_6 = \int_{\sqrt{T}}^{\infty} f(v^2/T) dv - \int_{\sqrt{T}}^{\infty} f'(v^2/T) 2v \cdot R(v)/T \cdot dv.$$

The last integral is $\ll \sqrt{T} \exp(-C\sqrt{\log T})$. We now assume G.R.H. for $L(s, \chi)$ and write $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$. Then we get

$$|S_3| \leq x^{-1/2} \sum_\rho |F(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)| = x^{-1/2} \sum_{\gamma > 0} \frac{2\pi}{\cosh(\gamma\pi)}$$

$$< 0.001x^{-1/2} \sum_{\gamma>0} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2} < 0.0002x^{-1/2},$$

where the first γ is known to be >6 and we have used the estimate $e^{-\pi\gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2)} < 0.001$ for $\gamma > 6$ and $\sum_{\gamma>0} 1/(\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2) < 1/5$. Combining all the above estimate, we get as $x \rightarrow +0$

$$S < -1.2x^{-1/2}.$$

This proves that G.R.H. for $L(s, \chi)$ implies the equality in Theorem 2.

For the proof of the converse, we notice that for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$,

$$F(s) \sum_p \frac{\chi(p) \log p}{p^s} = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} \left(\sum_p \chi(p) \cdot \log p \cdot f(xp) \right) dx.$$

Then we have only to use Hilfsatz of p. 2 and the same argument as in the Section 3 of Landau [5-I].

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let α be a positive number. We denote αe^{-x^α} by $f(x)$ and $\Gamma(s/\alpha)$ by $F(s)$. Using the same notations as in the previous section, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \int_{\sqrt{x}}^\infty f(u^2) du + 0(\sqrt{T} \exp(-C\sqrt{\log T})) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(1/2\alpha) + 0(\sqrt{T} \exp(-C\sqrt{\log T})). \end{aligned}$$

$$S_2 + S_4 \ll \sqrt{T} \exp(-C\sqrt{\log T}).$$

For S_3 , we notice that under G.R.H.

$$|\Gamma(\rho/\alpha)| = \sqrt{2\pi} (|\gamma/\alpha|)^{(1/2\alpha) - (1/2)} e^{-\pi|\gamma|/2\alpha} |e^{A(\gamma, \alpha)}|,$$

where

$$|A(\gamma, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{8|\rho|} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^2 + (x/|\rho|) + 1)} \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2 \cdot 8\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2}} \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{8\sqrt{145}}.$$

Suppose that $0 < \alpha \leq 5.9$. Then $(\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2)\gamma^{(1/2\alpha) - (1/2)} e^{-\pi\gamma/2\alpha}$ is strictly decreasing for $\gamma \geq 6$ and get

$$\left| \frac{1}{\alpha} S_3 \right| \leq \frac{A(\alpha)}{\sqrt{x}} \sum_{\gamma>0} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2} < \frac{A(\alpha)}{5\sqrt{x}},$$

where we put $A(\alpha) = 145 \cdot \sqrt{\pi/2} (1/\alpha)^{(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)} e^{\alpha\pi/8\sqrt{145}} 6^{(1/2\alpha) - (1/2)} e^{-3\pi/\alpha}$. On the other hand by Binet's formula we get

$$(1/2\alpha)\Gamma(1/2\alpha) \geq (1/2\alpha)^{(1/2\alpha) + (1/2)} e^{-1/2\alpha\sqrt{2\pi}} > A(\alpha)/5$$

provided that $0 < \alpha \leq 4.19$. Thus for $0 < \alpha \leq 4$, we get

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} S < -\frac{C}{\sqrt{x}}.$$

By p. 147 of [3] or p. 215 of [5-II], we get

$$S' \equiv \sum_{p>2} (-1)^{(p-1)/2} e^{-(xp)^\alpha} < -\frac{C}{\sqrt{x} \log(1/x)}.$$

This proves the half of Theorem 1. The rest is the same as the last part of the previous section.

§ 4. Concluding remarks. As is seen obviously, we have obtained, in fact, a theorem for a more general function which is suitable for the

argument above. As consequences, we may replace $\xi(xp, 2)$ in Theorem 2 by $4K_0^2(2\sqrt{xp})$ or $e^{-xp/2}K_0(xp/2)$. Knapowski-Turan's function $\exp(-\log^2(xp))$ belongs to the same category. We remark only that the Mellin transform of $4K_0^2(2\sqrt{x})$ (or $e^{-x/2}K_0(x/2)$ or $\exp(-\log^2 x)$) is $\Gamma^4(s)/\Gamma(2s)$ (or $\Gamma^2(s)\sqrt{\pi}/\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2})$ or $2e^{s^2}$, respectively). We remark finally that the condition on α in Theorem 1 may be relaxed a little if we get a numerical data of a few zeros of $L(s, \chi)$. We have in fact used only the fact that the first γ is >6 .

References

- [1] H. J. Bentz and J. Pintz: Quadratic residues and the distribution of prime numbers. *Monatsh. Math.*, **90**, 91–100 (1980).
- [2] P. L. Chebyshev: *Oeuvres de P. L. Tchebychef I*. Chelsea Publ. (1962).
- [3] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood: Contribution to the theory of the Riemann zeta function and the theory of the distribution of primes. *Acta Math.*, **41**, 119–196 (1917).
- [4] S. Knapowski and P. Turan: Ueber einige Fragen der vergleichenden Primzahltheorie. *Abh. aus Zahlentheorie und Analysis. Zur Erinnerung an E. Landau (1877–1938)*, 159–171 (1968).
- [5] E. Landau: Über einige ältere Vermutungen und Behauptungen in der Primzahltheorie. I, II. *Math. Z.*, **1**, 1–24, 213–219 (1918).
- [6] G. Voronoi: Sur une fonction transcendante et ses applications à la sommation de quelques séries. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, **21** 207–267, 459–533 (1904).

