

73. Indistinguishability of Conjugacy Classes of the Pro- l Mapping Class Group

By Mamoru ASADA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1988)

Introduction. Let l be a fixed prime number and $\pi^{(g)}$ denote the pro- l completion of the topological fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. So, we have

$$\pi^{(g)} = F/N,$$

where F is the free pro- l group of rank $2g$ generated by x_1, \dots, x_{2g} and N is the closed normal subgroup of F which is normally generated by $[x_1, x_{g+1}] \cdots [x_g, x_{2g}]$, $[,]$ being the commutator; $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ ($x, y \in F$). We denote by Γ_g the outer automorphism group of $\pi^{(g)}$ and call it the pro- l mapping class group. Let

$$\lambda: \Gamma_g \longrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbf{Z}_l)$$

be the canonical homomorphism induced by the action of Γ_g on $\pi^{(g)}/[\pi^{(g)}, \pi^{(g)}]$ (cf. Asada-Kaneko [2, §2]). We treat the case $g=2$. Then, our result is the following

Theorem. *Assume that $l \geq 5$. Then, there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ such that the following statement holds:*

If $A \in \mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{Z}_l)$ satisfies the condition $A \equiv 1_4 \pmod{l^N}$, $\lambda^{-1}(C_A)$ contains more than one Γ_2 -conjugacy class. Here, C_A denotes the $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{Z}_l)$ -conjugacy class containing A .

In our previous paper [2, §6], we have proved this “indistinguishability of conjugacy class” under the assumption that $g \geq 3$. The method adopted there is the “calculations modulo $\pi^{(g)}(3)$ ”, which does not seem to work in case $g=2$. ($\{\pi^{(g)}(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$ denotes, as usual, the descending central series of $\pi^{(g)}$.) So, to prove the above theorem, we use the method “calculations modulo $\pi^{(g)}(4)$ ”. Although this requires rather complicated calculations, it is carried out by using the “Lie algebra” of the nilpotent pro- l group $\pi^{(g)}/\pi^{(g)}(4)$.

For those results on the indistinguishability of conjugacy class of the pro- l braid group and the motivation of these studies, see Ihara [3], [4], Kaneko [5].

§ 1. Preliminaries for proving theorem. To prove Theorem, we need some preliminaries. As before, let π ($=\pi^{(2)}$) denote the pro- l completion of the topological fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface of genus 2 and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ denote the automorphism group of π . For an automorphism ρ of π , we put

$$s_i(\rho) = x_i^{\rho} x_i^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq 4).$$

Lemma 1. *There exists an automorphism ρ_0 of π such that*

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} s_1(\rho_0) \equiv s_2(\rho_0) \equiv 1 & \text{mod } \pi(4), \\ s_3(\rho_0) \equiv [[x_1, x_2], x_2] & \text{mod } \pi(4), \\ s_4(\rho_0) \equiv [x_1, [x_1, x_2]] & \text{mod } \pi(4). \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from our previous result [2, Theorem 1]. We use the same notations as in [2]. Put $s = (s_i \text{ mod } \pi(4))_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$ with $s_1 = s_2 = 1$, $s_3 = [[x_1, x_2], x_2]$ and $s_4 = [x_1, [x_1, x_2]]$. Then, s belongs to $\text{Ker } \tilde{f}_2$ (Jacobi's identity). An element ρ_0 of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ corresponding to s via \tilde{h}_2 satisfies the above condition.

In the rest of this section, we assume that $l \geq 5$. We use the terminologies and notations in Asada [1, § 2]. Let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie algebra of the nilpotent pro- l group $\pi/\pi(4)$. Then, there exists a canonical isomorphism $d : \text{Aut } (\pi/\pi(4)) \longrightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$.

An inner automorphism of π induces that of $\pi/\pi(4)$, hence it acts naturally on \mathfrak{g} . Our next task is to study this action. For that purpose, we briefly recall the definition of \mathfrak{g} . Let L denote the free Lie algebra on $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ over \mathbb{Z}_l and $L^{(j)}$ denote its homogeneous of degree j component ($j \geq 1$); $L = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} L^{(j)}$. Then, the set $\prod_{j \geq 1} L^{(j)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$ has a natural structure of Lie algebra over \mathbb{Q}_l . We define the Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} over \mathbb{Z}_l by

$$\mathfrak{L} = \{a = (a_j)_{j \geq 1} \in \prod_{j \geq 1} L^{(j)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l \mid a_j \in L^{(j)} \ (1 \leq j \leq 3)\}.$$

Furthermore, the ideals \mathfrak{L}_k ($2 \leq k \leq 4$) of \mathfrak{L} are defined by

$$\mathfrak{L}_k = \{a \in \mathfrak{L} \mid a_j = 0 \ (1 \leq j \leq k-1)\}.$$

Then, we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{R},$$

where \mathfrak{R} is the ideal of \mathfrak{L} containing \mathfrak{L}_4 such that $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{L}_4$ is, as an ideal of $\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_4$, generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \{[\exp X_1, \exp X_3] [\exp X_2, \exp X_4]\} \\ &= [X_1, X_3] + [X_2, X_4] + \frac{1}{2}[X_1, [X_1, X_3]] + \frac{1}{2}[X_3, [X_1, X_3]] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}[X_2, [X_2, X_4]] + \frac{1}{2}[X_4, [X_2, X_4]] + (\text{higher terms}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \mathfrak{g} is (canonically) identified with the quotient of $L/L(4)$ by the ideal generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & [X_1, X_3] + \frac{1}{2}[X_1, [X_1, X_3]] + \frac{1}{2}[X_3, [X_1, X_3]] \\ &+ [X_2, X_4] + \frac{1}{2}[X_2, [X_2, X_4]] + \frac{1}{2}[X_4, [X_2, X_4]]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is easy to give a \mathbb{Z}_l -basis of \mathfrak{g} . We use the following basis $\mathfrak{B} = \bigcup_{k=1}^3 \mathfrak{B}_k$ (disjoint union), where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_1 &= \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}, \\ \mathfrak{B}_2 &= \{V_1 = [X_1, X_2], V_2 = [X_1, X_3], V_3 = [X_1, X_4], V_4 = [X_2, X_3], V_5 = [X_3, X_4]\}, \\ \mathfrak{B}_3 &= \{[X_1, V_i] \ (1 \leq i \leq 3), [X_2, V_i] \ (1 \leq i \leq 4), [X_3, V_i] \ (1 \leq i \leq 5), \\ & \quad [X_4, V_i] \ (2 \leq i \leq 5)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The canonical image of \mathfrak{B}_k in $\mathfrak{g}(k)/\mathfrak{g}(k+1)$ gives a Z_i -basis of $\mathfrak{g}(k)/\mathfrak{g}(k+1)$.

Let T be any element of \mathfrak{g} and $\text{Int}(t)$ be the inner automorphism of $\pi/\pi(4)$ induced by $t = \exp T$ and $\text{Int}(t)_*$ be the automorphism of \mathfrak{g} induced by $\text{Int}(t)$. By a well-known formula

$$(\exp z_1)(\exp z_2)(\exp z_1)^{-1} = \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\text{ad } z_1)^n(z_2) \right\}$$

(an identity in $\mathbf{Q}[[z_1, z_2]]_{\text{non-commutative}}$), we have

$$\text{Int}(t)_*(X) = X + [T, X] + \frac{1}{2}[T, [T, X]] \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Put

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i X_i + \sum_{j=1}^5 q_j V_j + W$$

with $p_i, q_j \in Z_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq 5$) and $W \in \mathfrak{g}(3)$. By easy calculations, we have

Lemma 2. For $X = X_4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(t)_*(X_4) &= X_4 - p_2 V_2 + p_1 V_3 + p_3 V_5 - \left(\frac{1}{2} p_2 + q_1 + \frac{1}{2} p_1 p_2 \right) [X_1, V_2] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 [X_1, V_3] + \left(\frac{1}{2} p_2 - \frac{1}{2} p_2^2 \right) [X_2, V_2] + \left(\frac{1}{2} p_1 p_2 - q_1 \right) [X_2, V_3] \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{2} p_2 + p_2 p_3 \right) [X_3, V_2] + p_1 p_3 [X_3, V_3] + \frac{1}{2} p_3^2 [X_3, V_5] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} p_2 - q_2 - \frac{1}{2} p_1 p_3 - \frac{1}{2} p_2 p_4 \right) [X_4, V_2] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} p_1 p_4 - q_3 \right) [X_4, V_3] - \left(\frac{1}{2} p_2 p_3 + q_4 \right) [X_4, V_4] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} p_3 p_4 - q_5 \right) [X_4, V_5]. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\tilde{\lambda}: \tilde{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \text{GSp}(4, Z_i)$$

be the canonical homomorphism induced by the action of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ on $\pi/[\pi, \pi]$ (cf. [2, § 1]). Let f be the composition of the two homomorphisms

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi/\pi(4)) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}.$$

Furthermore, let \bar{f} denote the composition of f with the canonical homomorphism

$$\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{Z_i} F_i).$$

Lemma 3. There exists an integer $N \geq 1$ such that the following statement holds:

(*) If $A \in \text{GSp}(4, Z_i)$ satisfies the condition $A \equiv 1_4 \pmod{l^N}$, there exists an element σ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\lambda}(\sigma) = A, \\ \bar{f}(\sigma) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Put $\Delta = \text{Ker } \bar{f}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}(1) = \text{Ker } \tilde{\lambda}$. As $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{Z_i} F_i)$ is a finite

group, Δ is of finite index in $\tilde{\Gamma}$. So, $\Delta\tilde{\Gamma}(1)$ is an index finite normal subgroup of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ containing $\tilde{\Gamma}(1)$. Thus, $\Delta\tilde{\Gamma}(1)$ contains a subgroup

$$\tilde{\lambda}^{-1}(\{A \in \mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{Z}_l) \mid A \equiv 1, \text{ mod } l^N\})$$

for some $N \geq 1$. From this, the lemma follows immediately.

§ 2. Proof of Theorem. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer such that (*) in Lemma 3 holds and assume that $A \in \mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbf{Z}_l)$ satisfy $A \equiv 1, \text{ mod } l^N$. Let ρ_0 and σ be elements of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ satisfying (1) and (2) respectively. Then, $\tilde{\lambda}(\sigma) = \tilde{\lambda}(\sigma\rho_0) = A$. It suffices to show that

$$(**) \quad \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} \neq \sigma\rho_0 \text{ Int}(\tilde{t}) \quad \text{for any } \tau \in \tilde{\Gamma} \text{ and any } \tilde{t} \in \pi.$$

To see this, we use the homomorphism \tilde{f} . By (2), we have $\tilde{f}(\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}) = 1$ for any $\tau \in \tilde{\Gamma}$. On the other hand, $\tilde{f}(\rho_0)(X_i) = X_i + [X_i, V_i]$ holds by (1). Then, by Lemma 2, it follows immediately that $\tilde{f}(\sigma\rho_0 \text{ Int}(\tilde{t})) \neq 1$ for any $\tilde{t} \in \pi$. Thus, (**) is verified and the proof is completed.

§ 3. Remarks. 1. In our theorem, the assumption that $l \geq 5$ seems to be unnecessary and the integer N could be determined explicitly. But to remove the assumption and to determine N would require rather complicated calculations. We have not carried out these, as they do not seem to be so important at present.

2. If we replace π by the free pro- l group of rank 2, our theorem holds. (In this case, the image of “ λ ” is $\mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbf{Z}_l)$.) The proof goes similarly (and more simply).

References

- [1] M. Asada: An analogue of the Levi decomposition of the automorphism group of certain nilpotent pro- l group (1988) (preprint).
- [2] M. Asada and M. Kaneko: On the automorphism group of some pro- l fundamental groups. *Advanced Studies in Pure Math.*, vol. 12, pp. 137–159 (1987).
- [3] Y. Ihara: Profinite braid groups, Galois representations and complex multiplications. *Ann. of Math.*, **123**, 43–106 (1986).
- [4] —: Some problems on three point ramifications and associated large Galois representations. *Advanced Studies in Pure Math.*, vol. 12, pp. 173–188 (1987).
- [5] M. Kaneko: On conjugacy classes of the pro- l braid group of degree 2. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **62A**, 274–277 (1986).