

## 17. The Vanishing Viscosity Method and a Two-phase Stefan Problem with Nonlinear Flux Condition of Signorini Type

By Nobuyuki KENMOCHI\*) and Irena PAWLOW\*\*)

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., March 12, 1987)

**1. Introduction.** This paper is concerned with a two-phase Stefan problem with nonlinear flux condition of the so-called Signorini type. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $R^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ) whose boundary consists of two smooth disjoint surfaces  $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1$ , and let  $T$  be a fixed positive number,  $Q = (0, T) \times \Omega$ ,  $\Sigma_0 = (0, T) \times \Gamma_0$ , and  $\Sigma_1 = (0, T) \times \Gamma_1$ . The problem, denoted by (P), is to find a function  $u = u(t, x)$  on  $Q$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta \beta(u) &= 0 && \text{in } Q, \\ u(0, \cdot) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \beta(u) &= g_0 && \text{on } \Sigma_0, \\ -\frac{\partial \beta(u)}{\partial n} &\in \gamma(\beta(u) - g_1) && \text{on } \Sigma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\beta: R \rightarrow R$  is a given function which vanishes on  $[0, 1]$ , is non-decreasing on  $R$  and bi-Lipschitz continuous both on  $(-\infty, 0]$  and  $[1, +\infty)$ ;  $\gamma$  is a multivalued function from  $R$  into  $R$  given by  $\gamma(r) = 0$  for  $r > 0$ ,  $\gamma(0) = (-\infty, 0]$  and  $\gamma(r) = \emptyset$  for  $r < 0$ ;  $u_0$  is a given initial datum;  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  are given functions on  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_1$ , respectively;  $(\partial/\partial n)$  denotes the outward normal derivative. For the data we postulate that

(A1)  $g_i$  ( $i=0, 1$ ) is the trace of a function, denoted by  $g_i$  again, on  $Q$  such that  $g_i \in W^{1,2}(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ ,  $m_0 \leq g_0 \leq m'_0$ ,  $m_1 \geq g_1 \geq m'_1$  a.e. on  $Q$ , where  $m_0 \leq m'_0 < 0$ ,  $m_1 \geq m'_1 > 0$  are constants.

(A2) (i)  $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $\text{meas. } \{x \in \Omega; 0 \leq u_0(x) \leq 1\} = 0$ ,  $v_0 = \beta(u_0) \in H^1(\Omega)$ ; (ii)  $v_0 = g_0(0, \cdot)$  a.e. on  $\Gamma_0$ ,  $v_0 \geq g_1(0, \cdot)$  a.e. on  $\Gamma_1$ ; (iii) there are constants  $\delta > 0$ ,  $k_0 < 0$ ,  $k_1 > 0$  such that  $v_0 \leq k_0$  a.e. on  $\Omega_{0,\delta}$  and  $v_0 \geq k_1$  a.e. on  $\Omega_{1,\delta}$ , where

$$\Omega_{i,\delta} = \{x \in \Omega; \text{dist.}(x, \Gamma_i) < \delta\}, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

In particular, when  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  are independent of time  $t$ , problem (P) was treated by Magenes-Verdi-Visintin [6] in the framework of nonlinear contraction semigroups in  $L^1(\Omega)$  (cf. Bénéilan [1], Crandall [3]), and the solution is unique in the sense of Crandall-Liggett [4]. Also, in case the flux condition is of the form  $-(\partial/\partial n)\beta(u) = \gamma(t, x, \beta(u))$ , with smooth function  $\gamma(t, x, r)$  on  $\Sigma_1 \times R$ , the problem was uniquely solved in variational sense by Niezgodka-Pawlow [7], Visintin [9] and Niezgodka-Pawlow-Visintin [8].

\*) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan.

\*\*\*) Polish Academy of Sciences, Systems Research Institute, Newelska 6, 01-447 Warsaw, Poland.

However, when the boundary flux is governed by a general time-dependent maximal monotone graph  $\gamma(t, x, \cdot)$ , we have not noticed any results, in particular on the uniqueness of solution. The purpose of the present note is to construct a solution of (P) by the vanishing viscosity method and to show the uniqueness of the solution constructed in such a way.

We use the following notations :  $H = L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $X = H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $X_0 = \{z \in X ; z = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma_0\}$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality pairing between  $X'_0$  and  $X_0$ ,  $dS$  denotes the usual surface element on  $\Gamma_0$ ,  $\Gamma_1$ , and

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} uv dx, \quad a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx.$$

**2. Main results.** We give a notion of solution to (P) in the variational sense.

**Definition 1.** A function  $u : [0, T] \rightarrow H$  is called a weak solution of (P), if it satisfies the following (V1)–(V4) :

(V1)  $u \in W^{1,2}(0, T ; X'_0) \cap L^\infty(Q)$ ,  $\beta(u) \in W^{1,2}(0, T ; H) \cap L^2(0, T ; X)$  ;

(V2)  $u(0) = u_0$  (in the space  $H$ ) ;

(V3)  $\beta(u) = g_0$  a.e. on  $\Sigma_0$  ;

(V4) there is  $f \in L^2(\Sigma_1)$  such that  $f \in \gamma(\beta(u) - g_1)$  a.e. on  $\Sigma_1$ , and  $\langle u'(t), \zeta \rangle + a(\beta(u(t)), \zeta) + \int_{\Gamma_1} f(t, \cdot) \zeta dS = 0$  for any  $\zeta \in X_0$  and a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ .

It should be remarked that if  $\beta(u(t)) \in H^2(\Omega_{1,\delta})$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $f(t, \cdot) = -(\partial/\partial n)\beta(u(t, \cdot))$  on  $\Gamma_1$  in (V4).

Now, consider approximations  $\beta^\nu$  of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  of  $\gamma$ , defined by

$$\beta^\nu(r) = \beta(r) + \nu r, \quad \nu \in (0, 1], \quad \gamma_\varepsilon(r) = -(-r)^+ / \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1].$$

Then we denote by  $(P)_\varepsilon$  the problem (P) with  $\gamma$  replaced by  $\gamma_\varepsilon$ , and by  $(P)^\nu$  the problem (P) with  $\beta$  and  $u_0$  replaced by  $\beta^\nu$  and  $u_0^\nu = (\beta^\nu)^{-1}(u_0)$ . The problems  $(P)_\varepsilon$ ,  $(P)^\nu$  represent standard approximations to (P) and their weak solutions are defined correspondingly.

By virtue of the results in [7] we know that (i) for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,  $(P)_\varepsilon$  has one and only one weak solution, denoted by  $u_\varepsilon$ ; (ii) if  $0 < \varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon} \leq 1$ , then  $u_{\bar{\varepsilon}} \leq u_\varepsilon$  a.e. on  $Q$ . Also, by the results in [5], for each  $\nu \in (0, 1]$ ,  $(P)^\nu$  has one and only one weak solution in  $W^{1,2}(0, T ; H) \cap L^\infty(0, T ; X)$ , which is denoted by  $u^\nu$ .

**Definition 2.** A function  $u : [0, T] \rightarrow H$  is called a solution of (P) in the vanishing viscosity sense (in short, a  $V$ -solution of (P)), if it is a weak solution of (P) and if there is a sequence  $u^{\nu_n} \in W^{1,2}(0, T ; H) \cap L^\infty(0, T ; X)$  of weak solutions of  $(P)^{\nu_n}$  such that  $u^{\nu_n} \rightarrow u$  in the weak\* topology of  $L^\infty(Q)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Our main results are stated as follows.

**Theorem.** *Suppose (A1) and (A2) hold. Then we have the following statements :*

(a) (P) has at least one  $V$ -solution ;

(b) any  $V$ -solution of (P) has the property that  $u \in W^{1,2}(0, T ; L^2(\Omega'))$ ,  $\beta(u) \in L^2(0, T ; H^2(\Omega'))$ , where  $\Omega' = \Omega_{0,\delta} \cup \Omega_{1,\delta}$  for some  $\delta > 0$  ;

(c) any  $V$ -solution of (P) coincides with the limit  $u^*$  of the weak solutions  $u_\varepsilon$  of  $(P)_\varepsilon$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ .

From this theorem it immediately follows that (P) has one and only one  $V$ -solution, and the weak solutions  $u^\nu$  of  $(P)^\nu$  converge to the  $V$ -solution  $u$  of (P) as  $\nu \downarrow 0$  in such a way that  $u^\nu \rightarrow u$  weakly\* in  $L^\infty(Q)$ ,  $\beta^\nu(u^\nu) \rightarrow \beta(u)$  strongly in  $L^2(Q)$  and weakly in  $L^2(0, T; X)$ ,  $(\partial/\partial n)\beta^\nu(u^\nu) \rightarrow (\partial/\partial n)\beta(u)$  weakly in  $L^2(\Sigma_1)$  and  $\beta^\nu(u^\nu)_t \rightarrow \beta(u)_t$  weakly in  $L^2(Q)$ .

3. Sketch of the proof. In order to obtain some bounds for  $V$ -solutions of (P) we consider the approximate problem  $(P)_\varepsilon^\nu$  which is the problem (P) with  $\beta, \gamma, u_0$  replaced by  $\beta^\nu, \gamma_\varepsilon, u_0^\nu$ . We denote by  $u_\varepsilon^\nu$  the weak solution of  $(P)_\varepsilon^\nu$  for each  $\nu \in (0, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ . We have the following estimates independent of  $\nu$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

(1)  $|u_\varepsilon^\nu|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq M$ , where  $M$  is any constant satisfying  $|u_0|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq M$ ,  $\beta(-M) \leq m_0$  and  $\beta(M) \geq m_1$ .

(2)  $\beta^\nu(u_\varepsilon^\nu) \leq -c$  a.e. on  $Q_{0,\delta} = (0, T) \times \Omega_{0,\delta}$  and  $\beta^\nu(u_\varepsilon^\nu) \geq c$  a.e. on  $Q_{1,\delta} = (0, T) \times \Omega_{1,\delta}$  for some constants  $c > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ .

(3)  $\{\beta^\nu(u_\varepsilon^\nu); 0 < \nu \leq 1, 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1\}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,2}(0, T; H) \cap L^\infty(0, T; X)$  and in  $L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega'))$ , with  $\Omega' = \Omega_{0,\delta} \cup \Omega_{1,\delta}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , and hence  $\{u_\varepsilon^\nu; 0 < \nu \leq 1, 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1\}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega'))$ .

In fact, estimates (1) and (2) are obtained from assumptions (A1), (A2) and the usual comparison results, and (3) is shown by making use of regularity results in Brézis [2; Chapter 1]. Next, by the monotonicity of solutions  $u_\varepsilon^\nu$  with respect to  $\varepsilon$  we have:

(4) For each  $\nu \in (0, 1]$ ,  $u_\varepsilon^\nu \uparrow u^\nu$  strongly in  $L^2(Q)$  and weakly in  $W^{1,2}(0, T; H)$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ , and  $\{u^\nu; 0 < \nu \leq 1\}$  has the same bounds as (1)–(3).

Besides, by the uniqueness of solution to  $(P)_\varepsilon$  and estimates (1)–(3) we see:

(5) For each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,  $u_\varepsilon^\nu \rightarrow u_\varepsilon$  weakly\* in  $L^\infty(Q)$ ,  $\beta^\nu(u_\varepsilon^\nu) \rightarrow \beta(u_\varepsilon)$  strongly in  $L^2(Q)$  and weakly in  $L^2(0, T; X)$ ,  $(\partial/\partial n)\beta^\nu(u_\varepsilon^\nu) \rightarrow (\partial/\partial n)\beta(u_\varepsilon)$  strongly in  $L^2(\Sigma_1)$ , and  $\beta^\nu(u_\varepsilon^\nu)_t \rightarrow \beta(u_\varepsilon)_t$  weakly in  $L^2(Q)$  as  $\nu \downarrow 0$ , and moreover  $\{u_\varepsilon; 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1\}$  has the same bounds as (1)–(3).

Using the facts (1)–(5), we can prove the theorem as follows. Let  $u^*$  be the limit of  $u_\varepsilon$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Note that there exists a sequence  $\{\nu_n\}$  with  $\nu_n \downarrow 0$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) such that  $u^{\nu_n} \rightarrow u$  weakly\* in  $L^\infty(Q)$ ,  $\beta^{\nu_n}(u^{\nu_n}) \rightarrow \beta(u)$  strongly in  $L^2(Q)$  and weakly in  $L^2(0, T; X)$ ,  $(\partial/\partial n)\beta^{\nu_n}(u^{\nu_n}) \rightarrow (\partial/\partial n)\beta(u)$  weakly in  $L^2(\Sigma_1)$ , and  $\beta^{\nu_n}(u^{\nu_n})_t \rightarrow \beta(u)_t$  weakly in  $L^2(Q)$  for some function  $u \in L^\infty(Q)$ . Then both  $u^*$  and  $u$  are weak solutions of (P), and by definition  $u$  is a  $V$ -solution of (P). Moreover,  $u^* \leq u$  a.e. on  $Q$ , since  $u_\varepsilon^{\nu_n} \leq u^{\nu_n}$  a.e. on  $Q$ . Besides,  $\beta(u^*), \beta(u) \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega'))$ . Hence by monotonicity arguments  $(\partial/\partial n)\beta(u) \leq (\partial/\partial n)\beta(u^*)$  a.e. on  $\Sigma_1$ , and for the solution  $\zeta$  of  $-\Delta\zeta = 0$  in  $\Omega$  with  $\zeta = 0$  on  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\zeta = 1$  on  $\Gamma_1$ , we observe from (V4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u'(t) - u^{*'}(t), \zeta \rangle - (\beta(u(t)) - \beta(u^*(t)), \Delta\zeta) \\ & + \int_{\Gamma_1} (\beta(u(t, \cdot)) - \beta(u^*(t, \cdot))) \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial n} dS - \int_{\Gamma_1} \left( \frac{\partial\beta(u(t, \cdot))}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial\beta(u^*(t, \cdot))}{\partial n} \right) dS = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ . Noting  $(\partial/\partial n)\zeta \geq 0$  on  $\Gamma_1$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t) - u^*(t), \zeta) = \langle u'(t) - u^{*'}(t), \zeta \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Since  $\zeta > 0$  and  $u(t, \cdot) \geq u^*(t, \cdot)$  in  $\Omega$ , this implies  $u = u^*$  on  $Q$ .

### References

- [1] Ph. Bénilan: Equations d'évolution dans un espace de Banach quelconque et applications. Thèse, Publications Math. Orsay, Univ. Paris-Sud, Orsay, vol. 25 (1972).
- [2] H. Brézis: Problèmes unilatéraux. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **51**, 1-168 (1972).
- [3] M. G. Crandall: Dependence on the data for degenerate parabolic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions (from the lecture presented in the "Seminar on Nonlinear Evolution Equations", Waseda Univ., 1986).
- [4] M. G. Crandall and T. Liggett: Generation of semigroups of nonlinear transformations on general Banach spaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, **93**, 265-298 (1971).
- [5] N. Kenmochi: On the quasilinear heat equation with time-dependent obstacles. *Nonlinear Anal.*, **5**, 71-80 (1981).
- [6] E. Magenes, C. Verdi, and A. Visintin: Semigroup approach to the Stefan problem with nonlinear flux. *Atti Acc. Lincei Rend. fis.*, **75**, 24-33 (1983).
- [7] M. Niezgodka and I. Pawlow: A generalized Stefan problem in several space variables. *Applied Math. Opt.*, **9**, 193-224 (1983).
- [8] M. Niezgodka, I. Pawlow, and A. Visintin: Remarks on the paper by A. Visintin "Sur le problème de Stefan avec flux non linéaire". *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, **18C**, 87-88 (1981).
- [9] A. Visintin: Sur le problème de Stefan avec flux non linéaire. *ibid.*, **18C**, 63-86 (1981).