

90. Graphs with Given Countable Infinite Group

By Mitsuo YOSHIZAWA

Department of Mathematics, Josai University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1987)

§ 1. Introduction. In this note we shall prove the following

Theorem. *Let Δ be any graph which is a constant link of a finite graph and which has at least one isolated vertex and at least three vertices. Then for any countable group G there are infinitely many connected graphs Γ with constant link Δ and $\text{Aut } \Gamma \cong G$.*

Since nK_1 is the constant link of $K_{n,n}$, we have the following

Corollary. *For any countable group G and any integer $n \geq 3$ there are infinitely many connected n -regular graphs Γ with $\text{Aut } \Gamma \cong G$.*

The case $n=3$ and with finite group G of this corollary was proved by Frucht [1] and this result was extended to general $n \geq 3$ by Sabidussi [2]. The case with finite group G of our theorem was proved by Vogler [4]. Our proof is an extension of [4]. We shall use the same notations as in [4].

§ 2. Proof of Theorem. First we refer to the following lemma without proof, whose proof is similar to that in [4; Theorem 1].

Lemma 1. *Let G be a countable group, Δ a constant link of a finite graph with at least three vertices and at least one isolated vertex. If for each $k=3, 4, 5$ there are infinitely many connected k -regular prime graphs Π_k with $\text{Aut } \Pi_k \cong G$ and a stable k -coloring, then there are infinitely many connected graphs Γ with constant link Δ and $\text{Aut } \Gamma \cong G$.*

Thus it is sufficient to prove the next lemma to prove our theorem.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a countable group. Then for each $k=3, 4, 5$ there are infinitely many connected k -regular prime graphs Π_k with $\text{Aut } \Pi_k \cong G$ and a stable k -coloring.*

Proof. First we show that for each $k=3, 4, 5$ there is a connected k -regular prime graph Γ_k with $\text{Aut } \Gamma_k \cong G$ and a stable k -coloring. If G is generated by a finite number of its elements, we see the existence of such a graph Γ_k for each $k=3, 4, 5$ by graphs similarly constructed to those in [1; Theorem 4.1], [2; Theorem 3.7] and [4; Lemma 5]. So we assume for a while that G is not generated by any finite subset. Let $S = \{x_i : i \in N\}$ be an infinite subset of G satisfying $S \ni 1$ and $\langle S \rangle = G$. Let us set $G_i = \langle x_i, x_2, \dots, x_i \rangle$. Now for every integer $i \geq 2$ if x_i is contained in G_{i-1} , we remove x_i from S . Consequently, $G = \langle S \rangle$ holds and there is no finite subset $\{s_i : i=1, 2, \dots, t\}$ of S satisfying $s_1^{\varepsilon_1} s_2^{\varepsilon_2} \dots s_t^{\varepsilon_t} = 1$ with $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$. Hereafter we set

$S = \{y_i : i \in N\}$. Let us define graphs Γ_3, Γ_4 and Γ_5 as follows :

$$V(\Gamma_3) = V(\Gamma_4) = V(\Gamma_5) = \{(j, g) : j \in N, g \in G\},$$

$$E(\Gamma_3) = \{(1, g), (2, g)\}, [(1, g), (3, g)], [(1, g), (4, g)], [(2, g), (5, g)],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(2, g), (6, g)], [(3, g), (6, g)], [(3, g), (7, g)], [(4, g), (7, g)], \\
 & [(4, g), (10, g)], [(5, g), (6, g)], [(5, g), (8, g)], [(7, g), (8, g)], \\
 & [(8, g), (9, g)]: g \in G \cup \{(m+8, g), (m+10, g)\}, \\
 & [(2m+7, g), (2m+8, y_m g)]: m \in N, g \in G, \\
 E(\Gamma_4) = & \{[(1, g), (2, g)], [(1, g), (3, g)], [(1, g), (4, g)], [(1, g), (6, g)], \\
 & [(2, g), (3, g)], [(2, g), (7, g)], [(2, g), (10, g)], [(3, g), (4, g)], \\
 & [(3, g), (5, g)], [(4, g), (5, g)], [(4, g), (8, g)], [(5, g), (6, g)], \\
 & [(5, g), (11, g)], [(6, g), (9, g)], [(6, g), (12, g)]: g \in G \cup \\
 & \{(m+6, g), (m+12, g)\}, [(3m+4, g), (3m+5, y_{3m-2}g)], \\
 & [(3m+4, g), (3m+6, y_{3m-1}g)], [(3m+5, g), (3m+6, y_{3m}g)]: \\
 & m \in N, g \in G\}, \\
 E(\Gamma_5) = & \{[(1, g), (2, g)], [(1, g), (3, g)], [(1, g), (4, g)], [(1, g), (5, g)], \\
 & [(1, g), (7, g)], [(2, g), (3, g)], [(2, g), (8, g)], [(2, g), (10, g)], \\
 & [(3, g), (4, g)], [(3, g), (6, g)], [(3, g), (9, g)], [(4, g), (5, g)], \\
 & [(4, g), (9, g)], [(5, g), (6, g)], [(5, g), (9, g)], [(6, g), (7, g)], \\
 & [(6, g), (10, g)], [(7, g), (10, g)], [(8, g), (9, g)], [(2, g), (11, g)], \\
 & [(4, g), (12, g)], [(8, g), (13, g)], [(9, g), (14, g)], [(5, g), (15, g)], \\
 & [(7, g), (16, g)], [(8, g), (17, g)], [(10, g), (18, g)], [(6, g), (19, g)], \\
 & [(7, g), (20, g)], [(8, g), (21, g)], [(10, g), (22, g)]: g \in G \cup \\
 & \{(m+10, g), (m+22, g)\}, [(4m+7, g), (4m+8, y_{6m-3}g)], \\
 & [(4m+7, g), (4m+9, y_{6m-4}g)], [(4m+7, g), (4m+10, y_{6m-3}g)], \\
 & [(4m+8, g), (4m+9, y_{6m-2}g)], [(4m+8, g), (4m+10, y_{6m-1}g)], \\
 & [(4m+9, g), (4m+10, y_{6m}g)]: m \in N, g \in G\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then Γ_k is a connected k -regular prime graph with a stable k -coloring ($k=3, 4, 5$). For each $h \in G$ if we define a bijection $\sigma_h: V(\Gamma_k) \rightarrow V(\Gamma_k)$ by $\sigma_h(j, g) = (j, gh)$, we can find $\text{Aut } \Gamma_k = \{\sigma_h: h \in G\} \cong G$ for each $k=3, 4, 5$ (see [1; Theorem 4.1]). Thus we complete the first paragraph of the proof.

Next we show that there are infinitely many (non-isomorphic) such graphs. We construct Γ'_3 from Γ_3 by replacing each vertex by a triangle. Then we see that Γ'_3 is a connected 3-regular prime graph with $\text{Aut } \Gamma'_3 \cong G$ and a stable 3-coloring (see [4; Lemma 4]). Of course Γ_3 and Γ'_3 are not isomorphic to each other, because respective minimum circuits of Γ_3 and Γ'_3 whose lengths are not divisible by three have different lengths. Hence we get infinitely many graphs Π_3 as desired by repeating the above construction. Similarly if we construct Γ'_4 from Γ_4 by replacing each vertex by a wheel with five vertices (i.e., $K_1 + C_5$) and Γ'_5 from Γ_5 by replacing each vertex by a K_5 and if we repeat these constructions, then we get infinitely many graphs Π_4 and infinitely many graphs Π_5 as desired.

References

[1] R. Frucht: Graphs of degree three with a given abstract group. *Canad. J. Math.*, **1**, 365-378 (1949).

- [2] G. Sabidussi: Graphs with given group and given graph-theoretical properties. *Canad. J. Math.*, **9**, 515–525 (1957).
- [3] —: Graph multiplication. *Math. Z.*, **72**, 446–457 (1960).
- [4] W. Vogler: Graphs with given group and given constant link. *J. Graph Theory*, **8**, 111–115 (1984).