# 88. Boundly Factorizable S-indecomposable Semigroups Generated by Two Elements 

By Morio Sasaki and Takayuki Tamura<br>Iwate University and University of California, Davis<br>(Communicated by Shokichi Iyanaga, m. J. A., Oct. 12, 1987)

1. Introduction. A semigroup $S$ is called $\mathcal{S}$-indecomposxble if it has no semilattice homomorphic image except the trivial image. In particular $S$ is called boundly factorizable if $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} S^{n}=\varnothing$, equivalently, for every $x \in S$ there is a positive integer $n$ such that $x=x_{1} \cdots x_{m}, x_{i} \in S(i=1, \cdots, m)$ implies $m \leq n$. Examples of such a semigroup are idempotent-free commutative archimedean semigroups [4], the semilattice components of a free semigroup [3], and so on. To study a boundly factorizable $\mathcal{S}$-indecomposable semigroup $S$ generated by two elements, we consider $S$ as a homomorphic image of the free semigroup of rank 2. Thus our study is reduced to the study of generating relations. In this paper we will investigate basic relations which produce $S$. See the commutative case in [2].
2. Preliminaries. A semigroup $S$ is called finitely factorizable if every element of $S$ is factorized into the product of elements of $S$ in a finitely many ways. By an irreducible basis of $S$ we mean a non-empty subset $B$ of $S$ such that (i) $S$ is generated by $B$, (ii) if $x \in B$ then $x \neq y z$ for all $y, z \in S$. It is known [5] that if $S \backslash S^{2}$ generates $S$ then $S \backslash S^{2}$ is an irreducible basis.

Lemma 1 (Theorem 2.2 in [1]). If a semigroup $S$ is boundly factorizable, then $S$ has an irreducible basis $R$.

From the definition we easily have
Lemma 2. If $S$ is boundly factorizable, then $x \neq y x, x \neq x z$ and $x \neq y x z$ for all $x, y, z \in S$. Hence $S$ has no idempotent and $S$ has no minimal ideal.

Assume a semigroup $S$ is generated by two elements $p, q$ i.e. $R=\{p, q\}$. Let $C(p)$ and $C(q)$ denote the cyclic subsemigroups of $S$ generated by $p$ and $q$ respectively, and $C(p, q)$ the subsemigroup of $S$ consisting of all elements of $S$ which can be expressed as the product of both $p$ and $q$. In [3] $C(p)$, $C(q)$ and $C(p, q)$ were called contents.

Lemma 3. [3] Every content in a semigroup is S-indecomposable.
Lemma 4. $S$ is $\mathcal{S}$-indecomposable if and only if $C(p) \cap C(p, q) \neq \varnothing$ and $C(q) \cap C(p, q) \neq \varnothing$.

Let $o_{p}(v)$ denote the highest degree of the powers of $p$ in $v$, for example, if $v=p^{3} q p^{2} q^{2}$, then $o_{p}(v)=3$ and $o_{q}(v)=2$.

Lemma 5. If $S$ is a boundly factorizable S-indecomposable semigroup generated by $p$ and $q(p \neq q)$, then
(5.1) there are positive integers $m, n$ and elements $v, w$ in $C(p, q)$ such that $p^{m}=v$ and $q^{n}=w$ where $m>\max \left\{o_{p}(v), o_{p}(w)\right\}$ and $n>\max \left\{o_{q}(v)\right.$, $\left.o_{q}(w)\right\}$.
(5.2) $S$ is finitely factorizable.

Proof. (5.1) By Lemma 4, there are positive integers $m, n$ and $v$, $w \in C(p, q)$ such that $p^{m}=v$ and $q^{n}=w$. Clearly $m>o_{p}(v)$. If $m \leq o_{p}(w)$ and $n \leq o_{q}(v)$, replace $q^{n}$ in $v$ by $w$; then it contradicts Lemma 2, therefore $m>o_{p}(w)$ or $n>o_{q}(v)$. Consider the case $m \leq o_{p}(w)$ and $n>o_{q}(v)$. Replacing $p^{k}(k \geq m)$ in $w$ by $p^{k-m} v$ successively, it follows that $q^{n}=w^{\prime}, m>o_{p}\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ for some $w^{\prime} \in C(p, q)$. In the case where $o_{q}(v) \geq n$ and $o_{p}(w)<m$, we have similarly that $p^{m}=v^{\prime}, n>o_{q}\left(v^{\prime}\right), v^{\prime} \in C(p, q)$. (5.2) Let $x \in S$ and assume that $x=x_{1} \cdots x_{m}, x_{i} \in S(i=1, \cdots, m)$. Since $S$ is generated by $p$ and $q$, $x=y_{1} \cdots y_{k}$ where $y_{i}$ is either $p$ or $q(i=1, \cdots, k)$. By the assumption there is a positive integer $n$ determined by $x$ such that $m \leq k \leq n$. We can assume $n>1$. By elementary computation we see that the number of factorizations of $x$ into the product of elements of $S$ does not exceed

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n} 2^{i}\left(2^{i-1}-1\right)
$$

3. Basic relations. A relation $p^{m}=v$ is called satisfactory if $m>o_{p}(v)$. A relation system $\left\{p^{m}=v, q^{n}=w\right\}$ is called satisfactory if both $p^{m}=v$ and $q^{n}=w$ are satisfactory and if $m>o_{p}(w)$ or $n>o_{q}(v)$. If "or" is replaced by "and", we say $\left\{p^{m}=v, q^{n}=w\right\}$ is very satisfactory. A satisfactory relation $p^{m}=v$ is called a basic relation if the following holds: If $p^{m^{\prime}}=v^{\prime}$ is a satisfactory relation and if $p^{m^{\prime}}=v^{\prime}$ implies $p^{m}=v$, then $m^{\prime}=m$ and $v^{\prime}=v$. A satisfactory relation system $\left\{p^{m}=v, q^{n}=w\right\}$ is called a basic relation system if the following holds: If $\left\{p^{m^{\prime}}=v^{\prime}, q^{n^{\prime}}=w^{\prime}\right\}$ is a satisfactory relation system and if $\left\{p^{m^{\prime}}=v^{\prime}, q^{n^{\prime}}=w^{\prime}\right\}$ implies $\left\{p^{m}=v, q^{n}=w\right\}$, then $m^{\prime}=m$, $v^{\prime}=v, n^{\prime}=n$ and $w^{\prime}=w$.

Lemma 6. Assume $\left\{p^{m}=v, q^{n}=w\right\}$ is very satisfastory. This is a basic relation system if and only if both $p^{m}=v$ and $q^{n}=w$ are basic relations.

Proof. The "only if" part. Assume that $p^{m^{\prime}}=v^{\prime}$ is satisfactory and $p^{m^{\prime}}=v^{\prime}$ implies $p^{m}=v$. Then $\left\{p^{m^{\prime}}=v^{\prime}, q^{n}=w\right\}$ is satisfactory and $\left\{p^{m^{\prime}}=v^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.q^{n}=w\right\}$ implies $\left\{p^{m}=v, q^{n}=w\right\}$. Hence $m^{\prime}=m, v^{\prime}=v$, so $p^{m}=v$ is a basic relation. Similarly $q^{n}=w$ is basic. The "if" part. This is immediate from the definitions.

By Lemmas 5 and 6 our study is reduced to that of basic relations.
Theorem 7. Assume $p^{m}=p^{k} v p^{l}$ is a satisfactory relation where $v$ has the form $q v_{1} q$. This is a basic relation if and only if one of the following (1), (2), (3) holds.
(1) $k=l=0$.
(2) If $k>0$ and $l>0$, then $m=o_{p}(v)+1$ or $m=k+1=l+1$.
(3) If $k=0$ and $l>0$ or if $l=0$ and $k>0$, then $m=o_{p}(v)+1$.

Proof. Case (1). Nothing to say. Case (2) $k>0$ and $l>0$. Suppose $p^{m}=p^{k} v p^{l}$ is a basic relation. Then, for any $i(>k), p^{m-i}=p^{k-i} v p^{l}$ is not satisfactory, hence $m-i \leq o_{p}(v)$ or $m-i \leq l$ for all $i(>k)$, equivalently,
$m \leq o_{p}(v)+1<m+1$ or $m \leq l+1<m+1$, so $m=o_{p}(v)+1$ or $m=l+1$. Since $p^{m-j}=p^{k} v p^{l-j}(j<l)$ is not satisfactory, we have similarly that $m=o_{p}(v)+1$ or $m=k+1$. Thus we have the conclusion. Conversely, assume $m=o_{p}(v)$ +1 and let $v^{\prime}=q v_{1}^{\prime} q$. If $p^{m^{\prime}}=p^{k^{\prime}} v^{\prime} p^{l^{\prime}}$ is satisfactory and if $p^{m^{\prime}}=p^{k^{\prime}} v^{\prime} p^{l^{\prime}}$ implies $p^{m}=p^{k} v p^{l}$, then $m-m^{\prime}=k-k^{\prime}+l-l^{\prime}, k-k^{\prime} \geq 0, l-l^{\prime} \geq 0, v^{\prime}=v$. On the other hand $m^{\prime}>o_{p}\left(p^{k^{\prime}} v p^{l^{\prime}}\right)=\max \left\{k^{\prime}, o_{p}(v), l^{\prime}\right\}=m-1$, whence $0 \leq m-$ $m^{\prime}<1$, so $m=m^{\prime}, k=k^{\prime}, l=l^{\prime}$. Hence $p^{m}=p^{k} v p^{l}$ is basic. Assume that $m=k+1=l+1$ and that $p^{m^{\prime}}=p^{k^{\prime}} v^{\prime} p^{l^{\prime}}$ is satisfactory and if $p^{m^{\prime}}=p^{k^{\prime}} v^{\prime} p^{l^{\prime}}$ implies $p^{m}=p^{k} v p^{l}$. For the same reason as above it holds that $m^{\prime}>k^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}>l^{\prime}$. This implies that $l^{\prime}=\left(k-k^{\prime}\right)+m^{\prime}-1<m^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime}=\left(l-l^{\prime}\right)+m^{\prime}-1<m^{\prime}$, and hence $0 \leq k-k^{\prime}<1$ and $0 \leq l-l^{\prime}<1$; then we have $k=k^{\prime}, l=l^{\prime}, m=m^{\prime}$, thus $p^{m}=p^{k} v p^{l}$ is basic. Case (3). $k=0$ and $l>0$. Suppose $p^{m}=v p^{l}$ is a basic relation. Since $p^{m}=v p^{l}$ is satisfactory, it follows that $m>o_{p}\left(v p^{l}\right)$ $=\max \left\{o_{p}(v), l\right\}$ hence $m>o_{p}(v)$ and $m>l$. If $m>o_{p}(v)+1$, then $m-1$ $>\max \left\{o_{p}(v), l-1\right\}=o_{p}\left(v p^{l-1}\right)$. It follows that $p^{m-1}=v p^{l-1}$ is satisfactory. However this contradicts the assumption that $p^{m}=v p^{l}$ is basic. Therefore $m \leq o_{p}(v)+1$, so $m=o_{p}(v)+1$. Similarly we have the same result in case $l=0$ and $k>0$. Conversely, let $m=o_{p}(v)+1$. If $p^{m^{\prime}}=v^{\prime} p^{l^{\prime}}$ is satisfactory and if $p^{m^{\prime}}=v^{\prime} p^{l^{\prime}}$ implies $p^{m}=v p^{l}$, then $m-m^{\prime}=l-l^{\prime} \geq 0, v^{\prime}=v$ and $m^{\prime}>$ $\max \left\{o_{p}\left(v^{\prime}\right), l^{\prime}\right\}=m-1$. Hence $m=m^{\prime}, l=l^{\prime}$ and $p^{m}=v p^{l}$ is basic. The case $l=0$ and $k>0$ is similarly treated.

A boundly factorizable $\mathcal{S}$-indecomposable semigroup generated by two elements $p, q$ is a homomorphic image of the free semigroup $F(p, q)$ relative to a basic relation system $\left\{p^{m}=u, q^{n}=w\right\}$ where $p^{m}=u$ and $q^{n}=w$ satisfy the condition given by Theorem 7 .
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