

104. On the Derived Categories of Mixed Hodge Modules

By Morihiko SAITO

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1986)

Let X be a nonsingular (separated) algebraic variety over C , and $MHM(X, \mathbb{Q})$ the abelian category of mixed Hodge Modules [5]. For simplicity, $MHM(X, \mathbb{Q})$ will be denoted by $MHM(X)$. Let $D^b MHM(X)$ be the derived category of bounded complexes of $MHM(X)$. Then $D^b MHM(X)$ are stable by the functors: f_* , $f_!$, f^* , $f^!$, ψ_g , $\varphi_{g,1}$, ξ_g (cf. 1.1), D and \boxtimes . I would like to thank Prof. Kashiwara for useful and stimulating discussions.

§ 1. Vanishing cycle functors.

1.1. Let g be a function on X . By definition (cf. [5]) we have the exact functors

$$\psi_g : MHM(X) \longrightarrow MHM(X), \quad \varphi_{g,1} : MHM(X) \longrightarrow MHM(X).$$

We define a functor $\xi_g : MHM(X) \rightarrow MHM(X)$ as follows :

Let $j_g : \{g \neq t\} \rightarrow X \times C$ be the open immersion, and $p : X \times C \rightarrow X$ the projection, where t is the coordinate of C . Then we define

$$\xi_g = \psi_{t,1} j_{g,1}^* j_g^* p^*[1].$$

Note that the functors $j_{g,1}$ and $p^*[1]$ exist by definition [5].

1.2. Proposition. We have the functorial exact sequences :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \psi_{g,1} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \xi_g \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow j_{g,1}^* \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \xi_g \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \varphi_{g,1} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathcal{M} \in MHM(X)$, where $j : X \setminus g^{-1}(0) \rightarrow X$.

1.3. Remark. Beilinson's functor \mathcal{E}_g used in [1] should correspond to $\xi_g j_*$.

1.4. Corollary. Let Z be a closed (reduced) subvariety of X , and $MHM_Z(X)$ (resp. $D_Z^b MHM(X)$) the full subcategory of $MHM(X)$ (resp. $D^b MHM(X)$) of the objects with supports (resp. cohomological supports) in Z . Then

$$D^b MHM_Z(X) \longrightarrow D_Z^b MHM(X)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

This follows from 1.2. by the same argument as in [1], because the adjunction $\text{Hom}(j^* \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, j_* \mathcal{N})$ for an affine open immersion j follows from the existence of the natural morphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{M}$.

§ 2. Duals.

2.1. Proposition. $MHM(X)$ (hence $D^b MHM(X)$) is stable by the dual functor D .

This follows from the compatibility of the algebraic and topological dualities with respect to the functors ψ , φ .

§ 3. Direct images.

3.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth (separated) algebraic varieties. If X is affine, $\mathcal{H}^0 f_*$ (cf. [5]) is right exact and we can derive this by the same argument as in [1], because $f_*(M, F)$ is strict for $(M, F) \in MF(\mathcal{D}_X)$ underlying a mixed Hodge Module, if f is proper [4, 5]. In general, we define

$$f_* : D^b MHM(X) \longrightarrow D^b MHM(Y)$$

using an affine Čech covering, cf. [1]. Set $f_! = Df_* D$.

3.2. Lemma. For $\mathcal{M} \in D^b MHM(X)$ such that $(\mathcal{H}^i f_*) \mathcal{M}^j = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, $f_* \mathcal{M}$ is represented by $(\mathcal{H}^0 f_*) \mathcal{M}$.

This follows from the definition (using a result in [1]), because $(\mathcal{H}^i f_*) \mathcal{M} = H^i(f_* \mathcal{M})$ for $\mathcal{M} \in MHM(X)$.

3.3. Corollary. $f_* \simeq f_!$ if f is proper.

This follows from the compatibility of the algebraic and topological dualities with respect to the proper direct images.

§ 4. Pull-backs.

4.1. Let f be as in § 3. We define f^* by the left adjoint functor of f_* and $f^!$ by $Df^* D$, then $f^!$ is the right adjoint of $f_!$. For $g : Y \rightarrow Z$, we have $(gf)_* \simeq g_* f_*$, hence $(gf)^*$ exists and is represented by $f^* g^*$, if f^* and g^* exist. Note that j^* is represented by the usual restriction, if j is an open immersion.

4.2. Proposition. Let $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion, and $j : Y \setminus X \rightarrow Y$ the open immersion, then i^* exists and we have the canonical triangle :

$$\longrightarrow j_! j^* \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow i_* i^* \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{+1} \longrightarrow.$$

This follows from 1.4.

4.3. Proposition. Let $p : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projection, then p^* exists and is represented by the functor $\boxtimes a_x^* \mathbf{Q}^H$, where $a_x : X \rightarrow pt$ and $\mathbf{Q}^H \in MHM(pt)$ is the object in [5, Theorem 1.8].

We can construct $p^* p_* \rightarrow id$ and $id \rightarrow p_* p^*$, and verify the compatibility condition (the construction of $p^* p_* \rightarrow id$ is due to Kashiwara).

4.4. Remark. The condition : $Gr_i^p H^j \mathcal{M} = 0$ for $i > j$ (resp. $i < j$) is stable by the functors : $f_!$, f^* (resp. f_* , $f^!$). If \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are pure of weight m and n , $Ext^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 0$ for $m < n + i$.

4.5. Remark. We can extend these construction to a singular variety Z in X . In particular we have $a_Z^* \mathbf{Q}^H \in D^b MHM(Z) (= D^b MHM_Z(X)$, cf. 1.4) and $a_{Z*} a_Z^* \mathbf{Q}^H \in D^b MHM(pt)$. Note that $MHM(pt)$ coincides with the category of graded polarizable \mathbf{Q} -mixed Hodge structures, cf. [2]. We can also eliminate the condition of embedability, using a covering with local embeddings, cf. [4].

§ 5. Extensions.

5.1. Let X and g be as in § 1. Set $Z = g^{-1}(0)$ and $U = X \setminus Z$. Then we have an analogue to Deligne-MacPherson-Verdier's theory on extension of perverse sheaves :

5.2. Proposition. *MHM(X) is equivalent to the category of the objects: $\{M' \in \text{MHM}(U), M'' \in \text{MHM}_Z(X), u \in \text{Hom}(\psi_{g,i} j_* M', M''), v \in \text{Hom}(M'', \psi_{g,i} j_* M'(-1)) : vu = N\}$ where $j : U \rightarrow X$.*

This follows from 1.2. (An explicit construction of the inverse functor is obtained by Kashiwara.) We have also MacPherson's version because of the following :

5.3. Lemma. *Let p be as in 4.3, then a mixed Hodge Module on $X \times Y$ is a pull-back of an object on Y, iff the underlying perverse sheaf is.*

5.4. Remark. By 5.2, the proof of the stability by \boxtimes is reduced to the case of local systems. Then the assertion follows from Kashiwara's theory on admissible variation of mixed Hodge structures [3] and the coincidence of the two categories (this coincidence implies the conjecture in the introduction of [4]).

§ 6. Cycle classes.

6.1. Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed irreducible subvariety of dimension d_Z . Put $Q_X^H = a_X^* Q^H \in D^b \text{MHM}(X)$ and $Q_Z^H = a_Z^* Q^H \in D^b \text{MHM}_Z(X)$. Because $\text{Gr}_i^W H^j Q_Z^H = 0$ for $j > d_Z$ or $i > j$, and $\text{Gr}_{d_Z}^W H^{d_Z} Q_Z^H$ is the intermediate direct image $IC_Z Q^H$, we have the morphism: $Q_Z^H \rightarrow IC_Z Q^H[-d_Z]$. Because we have $Q_X^H \rightarrow Q_Z^H$ by adjunction, we get :

$$Q_X^H \rightarrow IC_Z Q^H[-d_Z] \quad \text{and} \quad IC_Z Q^H[-d_Z] \rightarrow Q_X^H(p)[2p]$$

by duality, where p is the codimension. Their composition

$$cl_Z^H \in \text{Hom}(Q_X^H, Q_X^H(p)[2p]) \simeq \text{Hom}(Q^H, a_{X*} Q_X^H(p)[2p])$$

is called the cycle class of Z . This element in the second group coincides with the composition of $Q^H \rightarrow a_{Z*} Q_Z^H$ and the direct image by a_{X*} of $Q_Z^H \rightarrow IC_Z Q^H[-d_Z] \rightarrow Q_X^H(p)[2p]$. Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a resolution of singularity. Then we have $Q_X^H \rightarrow \pi_* Q_Y^H$ and $\pi_* Q_Y^H \rightarrow Q_X^H(p)[2p]$ (by duality), and their composition coincides with cl_Z^H in the first group. By Beilinson [6], $\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = 0$ for $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{MHM}(pt)$ if $i > 1$, hence $\text{Hom}(Q^H, a_{X*} Q_X^H(p)[2p])$ is isomorphic to Deligne's cohomology if X smooth proper. (It seems cl_Z^H coincides with the usual cycle map; i.e. it induces the Abel-Jacobi map.) If X is singular, we replace $Q_X^H(p)[2p]$ by $(DQ_X^H)(-d_Z)[-2d_Z]$. Then the Q -part cl_Z^Q of cl_Z^H belongs to $H^{-2d_Z}(X, DQ_X(-d_Z))$, that is $H^{2p}(X, Q_X(p))$ if X smooth, and $H_{2d_Z}(X, Q)(-d_Z)$ if X proper.

References

[1] A. A. Beilinson: On the derived category of perverse sheaves (preprint).
 [2] P. Deligne: Théorie de Hodge II, III. Publ. Math. IHES, **40**, 5-57 (1971); **44**, 5-77 (1974).
 [3] M. Kashiwara: Variation of mixed Hodge structure (RIMS, preprint).
 [4] M. Saito: Modules of Hodge polarisables (preprint).
 [5] —: Mixed Hodge Modules. Proc. Japan Acad., **62A**, 360-363 (1986).
 [6] A. A. Beilinson: Notes on absolute Hodge cohomology. Contemporary Mathematics, **55**, 35-68 (1986).