

69. Fluctuation of Spectra in Random Media

By Shin OZAWA

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1986)

§ 1. Introduction. The Lenz shift phenomena were studied by various authors by various methods. See Kac [5] Huruslov-Marchenko [4], Rauch-Taylor [13], Papanicolaou-Varadhan [14], Ozawa [8], [9], [10], [12], Chavel-Feldman [1]. In [3], Figari-Orlandi-Teta gave fluctuation result for the Lenz shift phenomena by developing the method of [8]. In [8], perturbative calculus using the Green function was offered. It turned out to be strong enough to consider fluctuation of spectra.

In the present note we give Theorem 1 on the Lenz shift.

We consider a bounded domain Ω in R^3 with smooth boundary γ . We put $B(\varepsilon; w) = \{x \in R^3; |x - w| < \varepsilon\}$. Fix $\beta \geq 1$. Let

$$0 < \mu_1(\varepsilon; w(m)) \leq \mu_2(\varepsilon; w(m)) \leq \dots$$

be the eigenvalues of $-\Delta (= -\text{div grad})$ in the set $\Omega_{\varepsilon, w(m)} = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} B(\varepsilon; w_i^{(m)})$ under the Dirichlet condition on its boundary. Here \tilde{m} denotes the largest integer which does not exceed m^β and $w(m)$ denotes the set of \tilde{m} -points $\{w_i^{(m)}\}_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} \in \Omega^{\tilde{m}}$. Let $V(x) > 0$ be C^0 -class function on Ω satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} V(x) dx = 1.$$

We consider Ω as the probability space with a probability density $V(x)$. Let $\Omega^{\tilde{m}} = \prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} \Omega$ be the probability space with the product measure. Fix $\alpha > 0$. Then, $\mu_j(\alpha/m; w(m))$ is a random variable on $\Omega^{\tilde{m}}$. Our aim is to know a precise asymptotic behaviour of $\mu_j(\alpha/m; w(m))$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Main result is the following :

Theorem 1. Fix j . Assume $\beta \in [1, 12/11)$, $V(x) = 1/|\Omega|$ (const.). Assume that the j -th eigenvalue μ_j of the Laplacian in Ω under the Dirichlet condition on γ is simple. Then, the random variable

$$(1) \quad m^{1-(\beta/2)}((\mu_j(\alpha/m; w(m)) - (\mu_j + 4\pi\alpha m^{\beta-1}|\Omega|^{-1})))$$

tends in distribution to Gaussian random variable Π_j of mean $E(\Pi_j) = 0$ and variance

$$E(\Pi_j^2) = 4\pi\alpha \left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi_j(x)^4 |\Omega|^{-1} dx - \left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi_j(x)^2 |\Omega|^{-1} dx \right)^2 \right)$$

as m tends to ∞ . Here φ_j is the normalized eigenfunction associated with μ_j .

Remark. Figari-Orlandi-Teta's result (see [3]) is the case $\beta = 1$. As a corollary of Theorem 1, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P(w(m) \in \Omega^{\tilde{m}}; (1) \leq c) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v}} \int_{-\infty}^c e^{-t^2/2v} dt,$$

where $v = E(\Pi_j^2)$.

We make a comment on the Lenz shift. The Lenz shift can be stated as the following: In this case, $\beta=1$.

(2) $\mu_j(\alpha/m; w(m)) - (\mu_j + 4\pi\alpha|\Omega|^{-1}) \rightarrow 0$
 in probability. The formula (2) corresponds to a law of large numbers and Theorem 1 corresponds to the central limit theorem.

For other related topics, the readers may be referred to Cioranescu-Murat [2], Ozawa [6], [7], [11].

§ 2. Sketch of our proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{O}_1(m)$ be a subset of $w(m) \in \Omega^{\tilde{m}}$ satisfying the following:

$\mathcal{O}_1(m)$: Take an arbitrary open ball K of radius $m^{-\beta/3}$ in \mathbf{R}^3 . Then, the number of balls such that $\text{ball} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ is at most $(\log m)^2$. We have

(3) $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P(w(m) \in \Omega^{\tilde{m}}; \mathcal{O}_1(m) \text{ holds}) = 1.$

Owing to (3), we can restrict ourselves to $\mathcal{O}_1(m)$ to prove Theorem 1. By simple consideration on configuration $w(m)$ of the centers of balls, we see that there exists exactly one large connected component ω of $\Omega_{\alpha/m, w(m)}$ and we see that components other than ω are negligible to consider $\mu_j(\alpha/m; w(m))$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Put $\lambda = Tm^{\beta-1}$ ($T = \text{constant}$). Let $G(x, y)$ be the Green function of $-\Delta + \lambda$ in Ω under the Dirichlet condition on γ . Let $G(x, y; w(m))$ be the Green function of $-\Delta + \lambda$ in ω under the Dirichlet condition on $\partial\omega$.

We introduce the following integral kernel $h(x, y; w(m))$: We abbreviate $w_i^{(m)}$ as w_i , and $G(w_i, w_j)$ as G_{ij} . Put $\lambda^{1/2}m^{-1} = \tau$, and $m^* = (\log m)^2$.

$$h(x, y; w(m)) = G(x, y) + (-4\pi\alpha/m)e^\tau \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} G(x, w_i)G(w_i, y) + \sum_{s=1}^{m^*} (-4\pi\alpha/m)^s e^{s\tau} \sum_{(s)} G(x, w_{i_1})G_{i_1 i_2} \cdots G_{i_{s-1} i_s} G(w_{i_s}, y).$$

Here the indices in $\Sigma_{(s)}$ run over all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_s \leq \tilde{m}$ such that $i_\nu \neq i_\mu$ when $\nu \neq \mu$. The sum $\Sigma_{(s)}$ is called self-avoiding sum.

Put

$$(H_{w(m)}f)(x) = \int_{\omega} h(x, y; w(m))f(y)dy, \quad x \in \omega$$

and

$$(\tilde{H}_{w(m)}g)(x) = \int_{\Omega} h(x, y; w(m))g(y)dy, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Let $G_{w(m)}$ be the bounded linear operator on $L^2(\omega)$ defined by

$$(G_{w(m)}g)(x) = \int_{\omega} G(x, y; w(m))g(y)dy.$$

Let A denote the Green operator of $-\Delta + 4\pi\alpha|\Omega|^{-1}m^{\beta-1} + \lambda$ in Ω under the Dirichlet condition on γ .

Main line of our proof of Theorem 1 is comparison of $G_{w(m)}$ with A .

Let $\mathcal{O}_2(m)$ be a set of $w(m) \in \Omega^{\tilde{m}}$ satisfying the following:

$\mathcal{O}_2(m)$: There exists a constant C independent of m such that

$$\sum_{(s+1)} |w_{i_1} - w_{i_2}|^{-2+\sigma} \exp(-\lambda^{1/2}|w_{i_1} - w_{i_2}|) G_{i_2 i_3} \cdots G_{i_s i_{s+1}} \leq C^{s+1} \lambda^{-s+(1/2)(1-\sigma)} m^{\beta(s+1)} (\log m)^3$$

holds for any $1 \leq s \leq (\log m)^2$, $\sigma = 0, 1$.

We have

$$(4) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(w(m) \in \Omega^m; \mathcal{O}_2(m) \text{ holds}) = 1.$$

We have the following Propositions.

Proposition 1. Assume that $w(m)$ satisfy $\mathcal{O}_1(m)$ and $\mathcal{O}_2(m)$. Fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Then,

$$\|\mathbf{G}_{w(m)} - \mathbf{H}_{w(m)}\|_{L^2(\omega)} \leq Cm^{\beta-2+\varepsilon}$$

holds for a constant C independent of m .

Proposition 2. Assume that $w(m)$ satisfy $\mathcal{O}_1(m)$ and $\mathcal{O}_2(m)$. Fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Then,

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{w(m)} - \chi_\omega \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{w(m)} \chi_\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq CD(m)m^\varepsilon,$$

where χ_ω is the characteristic function of ω . Here

$$D(m) = m^{(\beta-3)/3} + m^{(3\beta-5)/2} + m^{2(\beta-3)/3} + m^{\beta-2} + m^{(\beta-9)/6}.$$

Proposition 3. Fix an arbitrary positive $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, the measure of the set $w(m)$ satisfying

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{w(m)} - \mathbf{A}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq m^{\varepsilon - (\beta/2)} \mathbf{F}(m)$$

tends to 1 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Here $\mathbf{F}(m) = m^{1 - (3/2)\beta} + m^{(1-3\beta)/4} + m^{-1/2} + m^{-(\beta-1)/4}$.

We know that $\mathbf{G}_{w(m)} - \mathbf{H}_{w(m)}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{w(m)} - \chi_\omega \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{w(m)} \chi_\omega$ is negligible to consider fluctuation of spectra and that fluctuation arises from $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{w(m)} - \mathbf{A}$, if $\beta \in [1, 12/11)$.

Details of this paper will be given in [12].

References

- [1] I. Chavel and E. A. Feldman: The Riemannian Wiener sausage (preprint).
- [2] D. Cioranescu and F. Murat: Un terme étrange venu d'ailleurs. Research Notes in Math. Pitman (1982).
- [3] R. Figari, E. Orlandi, and S. Teta: J. Statistical Phys., **41**, 465-487 (1985).
- [4] E. Ja. Huruslov and V. A. Marchenko: Boundary Value Problems in Regions with Fine Grained Boundaries. Kiev (1974) (in Russian).
- [5] M. Kac: Rocky Mountain J. Math., **4**, 511-538 (1974).
- [6] S. Ozawa: J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA, **30**, 53-62 (1983).
- [7] —: ibid., **30**, 259-277 (1983).
- [8] —: Commun. Math. Phys., **91**, 473-487 (1983).
- [9] —: ibid., **94**, 421-437 (1984).
- [10] —: Proc. Japan Acad., **60A**, 343-344 (1984).
- [11] —: Osaka J. Math., **22**, 639-655 (1985).
- [12] —: Fluctuation of spectra in random media. Proceedings of the Taniguchi International Symposium, Probabilistic Methods on Mathematical Physics (eds. K. Ito and N. Ikeda). Kinokuniya (1986).
- [13] J. Rauch and M. Taylor: J. Funct. Anal., **18**, 27-59 (1975).
- [14] G. C. Papanicolaou and S. R. S. Varadhan: Lect. Notes in Control and Information. vol. 75, Springer (1980).