6. 5-Problem on a Family of Weakly Pseudoconvex Manifolds

By Hideaki Kazama*) and Kwang Ho Shon**)

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Jan. 13, 1986)

- 1. In the case of weakly pseudoconvex manifolds the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem depends not only on boundary conditions, but also on complex structures ([2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8]). In this paper we investigate the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem for the Picard variety $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(T^{n})$ of a complex n-dimensional torus T^{n} , which is regarded as a family of weakly pseudoconvex manifolds. For this problem we find a criterion given by the theory of Diophantine approximation. Full details will be published elsewhere.
- 2. Let $E \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(T^n)$. Then E is a holomorphic line bundle on T^n with Chern class zero. By a result of [1] we find a proper weakly plurisubharmonic C^{∞} -function $\Phi: E \to [0, \infty)$. Thus, we can regard $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(T^n)$ as a family of noncompact weakly pseudoconvex manifolds. Since $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(T^n)$ is isomorphic to a complex n-dimensional torus $T^{n*} = C^n / \Lambda$, we can define on $\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(T^n)$ an invariant distance $d(E, F) := \min \{ \|a b + c\| ; E = a + \Lambda, F = b + \Lambda \in C^n / \Lambda, c \in \Lambda \}$, where $\|(z_1, \dots, z_n)\| := \max |z_i|$.

Theorem. Let $E \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(T^n)$ and O the structure sheaf of E. Then E must be one of the following types:

- (1) If $d(1, E^i) = 0$ for some $l \ge 1$, then $H^p(E, O)$ is an infinite-dimensional Hausdorff space $(1 \le p \le n)$;
- (2) If there exists a>0 such that $\exp(-al) \leq d(1, E^l)$ for any $l\geq 1$, then $\dim H^p(E, O) = \binom{n}{p} (1 \leq p \leq n)$;
- (3) If $d(1, E^i) \neq 0$ for any $l \geq 1$ and $\liminf_{l \to \infty} \exp(al)d(1, E^i) = 0$ for any a > 0, then $H^p(E, O)$ is not Hausdorff $(1 \leq p \leq n)$.

Further let P_1 , P_2 and P_3 be the subsets of $\operatorname{Pic}^0(T^n)$ consisting of the elements of the above types (1), (2) and (3), respectively. Then P_i is non-empty (i=1,2,3), $P_1 \cup P_3$ is of Lebesgue measure zero and

$$\operatorname{Pic}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(T^{\scriptscriptstyle n}) = P_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \cup P_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \cup P_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$$
 (disjoint).

Remark. In comparison with strongly pseudoconvex manifolds, this theorem shows that a strange phenomenon occurs for weak pseudoconvexity. We have $P_2 \cup P_3 = \{E \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(T^n); H^0(E,O) = C\}$. E contains T^n as its zero section. If $E \in P_2$, then $H^p(E,O) \cong H^p(T^n,O_{T^n})$. This is similar to the case of a strongly pseudoconvex manifold and its exceptional set. But if $E \in P_3$, there exists a great difference from strong pseudoconvexity.

Proof. Let $E \in \text{Pic}^0(T^n)$. We have a bireal-analytic isomorphism T^n

^{*} Department of Mathematics, College of General Education, Kyushu University, Ropponmatsu, Fukuoka, 810 Japan.

^{**)} Department of Mathematics, Pusan National University, Pusan, 607 Korea.

 $\exists z \mapsto t(z) = (t_1(z), \cdots, t_{2n}(z)) \in R^{2n}/Z^{2n}$ and a real analytic map $\zeta : E \ni x \mapsto \zeta(x)$ $\in C$ such that $\zeta \mid \pi^{-1}(z)$ is a biholomorphic isomorphism $\pi^{-1}(z) \cong C$ for each $z \in T^n$, where π is the projection of E to T^n . Then $i : E \ni x \mapsto (\zeta(x), t \circ \pi(x)) \in C \times R^{2n}/Z^{2n}$ is bireal-analytic in E and holomorphic along each fiber of E. Let U be an open set in E, $H(U) = \{f : \text{real analytic in } U \text{ and holomorphic in } \pi^{-1}(z) \cap U \text{ for any } z \in T^n\}$ and E the sheaf defined by the presheaf E in [3] we have proved the following lemma. And Prof. Siciak informed the authors of another proof of the lemma, using a result of [6].

Lemma. For $\{a^{m,l} \in C \; ; \; m = (m_1, \cdots, m_{2n}) \in Z^{2n}, \; l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \}$ we set, formally $\phi(\zeta, t) := \sum_{m \in Z^{2n}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a^{m,l} e_m(t) \zeta^l$ on $C \times R^{2n}/Z^{2n}$, where $e_m(t) = \exp 2\pi \sqrt{-1}(m_1 t_1 + \cdots + m_{2n} t_{2n})$. Then $\phi \circ i \in H^0(E, H)$, if and only if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\sup\{|a^{m,l}|\exp(\varepsilon ||m|| + al); m \in Z^{2n}, l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\} < +\infty$ for any a > 0.

We put $H^{p,q}:=H\otimes \pi^*A^{p,q}(T^n)$, where $A^{p,q}(T^n)$ denotes the sheaf of germs of real analytic forms of type (p,q) on T^n . Then we have $H^p(E,O)=\{\phi\in H^0(E,H^{0,p})\,;\,\bar{\partial}\phi=0\}/\bar{\partial}H^0(E,H^{0,p-1})$ (cf. [3]). For $\phi\in H^0(E,H^{0,p})$ we have the Fourier-Taylor expansion

$$\phi = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{i_1 \dots i_p}^m e_m(t) \zeta^l \pi^* d\bar{\zeta}_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^* d\bar{\zeta}_{i_p},$$

where $\{d\zeta_i\}$ denotes a system of global forms of type (0,1) on T^n . We can write the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator by coefficients of Fourier-Taylor expansions of forms and, similarly to an argument of [3], we have a formal solution for the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem. To complete the proof, we check, using Lemma, whether the formal solution is convergent or not.

References

- [1] H. Grauert: Bemerkenswerte pseudokonvexe Mannigfaltigkeiten. Math. Z., 81, 377-391 (1963).
- [2] H. Kazama: On pseudoconvexity of complex abelian Lie groups. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 25, 329-333 (1973).
- [3] —: $\bar{\partial}$ Cohomology of (H, C)-groups. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 20, 297-317 (1984).
- [4] H. Kazama and T. Umeno: Complex abelian Lie groups with finite-dimensional cohomology groups. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 36, 91-106 (1984).
- [5] B. Malgrange: La cohomologie d'une variété analytique complexe à bord pseudoconvexe n'est pas nécessairement séparée. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A, 280, 93-95 (1975).
- [6] J. Siciak: Analyticity and separate analyticity of functions defined on lower dimensional subset of C^n . Zeszyty Nauk. U. J., 13, 53-70 (1969).
- [7] C. Vogt: Line bundles on toroidal groups. J. Reine Angew. Math., 335, 197-215 (1982).
- [8] —: Two remarks concerning toroidal groups. Manuscripta Math., 41, 217-232 (1983).