

R such that $(\alpha-1)\beta=1$. Thus we would have $\alpha=(\alpha^2-\alpha)\beta \in (\alpha^2-\alpha)R$ but $(\alpha^2-\alpha)R \neq R$ because α , and hence $\alpha(\alpha-1)=\alpha^2-\alpha$ is not a unit. Thus α would not be semi-idempotent.

Proposition 5. *Let $R=KG$ be a group ring over an abelian group G . If $\alpha \in R$ is not a zero-divisor and $\alpha-1$ is not a unit in R then α is semi-idempotent.*

Proof. Suppose α be not semi-idempotent. Then $(\alpha^2-\alpha)R$ is a proper ideal of R and $\alpha \in (\alpha^2-\alpha)R$. Thus there is an element $\beta \in R$ such that $\alpha=(\alpha^2-\alpha)\beta=\alpha(\alpha-1)\beta$. As α is not a zero-divisor, we would have $1=(\alpha-1)\beta$, which would mean that $\alpha-1$ is a unit in R .

Note. It is obvious that elements of $R=KG$ of the form kg , $k (\neq 0) \in K$, $g \in G$ are units of R . They are called *trivial units*, other units *non-trivial*. It was proved in Passman [2] Chapter 13 that if G is a torsion free abelian group (actually G can be a group of more general type), $R=KG$ has no proper zero-divisors and all units of R are trivial. Using this, we obtain the following theorem, which is the main result of this paper.

Theorem. *Let $R=KG$ be the group ring over a torsion free abelian group G . Let $\alpha \neq 0$ be an element of R which is not a unit. Then α is semi-idempotent if and only if $\alpha-1$ is not a trivial unit.*

Proof. The only-if-part follows from Proposition 4 and the if-part from Proposition 5 and Passman's result.

Remark. The following problems remain open but seem difficult to solve.

(1) Can Proposition 5 be extended into the form: Let K be a field and $R=KG$ the group ring over any group G . If $\alpha-1$ is not a unit in R , then α is semi-idempotent?

(2) Can our Theorem be extended into the form: Let K be a field and $R=KG$ the group ring over any torsion free group G , and suppose $\alpha (\neq 0) \in R$ and that α is not a unit. Then α is semi-idempotent if and only if $\alpha-1$ is not of the form kg , $k \in K$, $g \in G$?

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank Dr. M. Liganathan for helpful suggestion. My thanks are due to the referee for improving my original version and to U. G. C. for giving me financial support.

Corrigenda to my former paper in Proc. Japan Acad, 60A, 333-334 (1984).

p. 333 line 11 from bottom, add "or" between " $1 < i$ " and " $1 < j$ ".

p. 334 line 7 from above, add " $p \geq$ " before " $k \geq 2$ ".

p. 334 line 10 from bottom, read " $e=a \cdot 1$, $a^2=a \in R$ " instead of " $e=0$ or $e=1$ ".

References

- [1] Gray, M.: A Radical Approach to Algebra. Addison Wesley (1970).
- [2] Passman, D. S.: The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings. Wiley-Interscience, New York (1977).