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Introduction. In this paper, we are concerned with a mixed problem for second order hyperbolic equations degenerating on the initial surface with degenerate first order boundary condition in $(0, T) \times \Omega$ and prove the existence and uniqueness theorem for classical solutions. The point of our proof is to derive the energy estimate. To do so, we reduce our mixed problem to the one with positive boundary condition for symmetric hyperbolic pseudo differential systems of first order (see [1], [4], [5]). The detailed proof will be given in Tokyo J. Math.

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Profs. H. Sunouchi and K. Kojima for their kind criticisms.

Writing this paper, we have been informed the result of A. Kubo "On the mixed problems for a weakly hyperbolic equations of second order", which is obtained independently of our paper. Both the result obtained and the method used by him are different from ours.
§ 1. Statement of the problem and the result. In this paper, we consider the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
L[u]= & \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-2 t^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{j}(t, x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t \partial x_{j}}-t^{2 k} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t, x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}  \tag{1.1}\\
& +a_{0}(t, x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+t^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}(t, x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}+d(t, x) u=f(t, x) \\
u(0, x)= & u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(0, x)=u_{1}(x) \\
\left.B[u]\right|_{s}= & t^{k}\left(\sqrt{\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t, s) \nu_{i}(s) \nu_{j}(s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}(t, s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}}\right. \\
& \quad-\beta(t, s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\left.\gamma(t, s) u\right|_{s}=g(t, s)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

in the domain $(0, T) \times \Omega$ where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega=S$ in $R^{n}, k$ is a positive integer, $\nu(s)=\left(\nu_{1}(s), \cdots, \nu_{n}(s)\right)$ is the inner unit normal at $s \in S$ and $\nu \cdot \alpha=0$. We assume that all the coefficients belong to $\mathscr{B}([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$ or $\mathscr{B}([0, T] \times S)$.

For any $s_{0} \in S$, there is a following smooth coordinate transformation $\Psi: V \rightarrow W$ such that
(i ) $\Psi\left(s_{0}\right)=y_{0}=\left(0, y_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\left(0, y_{02}, \cdots, y_{0 n}\right)$.
(ii) $V$ and $W$ are neighborhoods of $s_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ respectively.
(iii) $\Psi: V \rightarrow W$ is a bijection.
(iv) $\Psi(V \cap \Omega)=W \cap \boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{n}, \quad \boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{n}=\left\{y=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right) \mid y_{1}>0\right\}$.
(v) $\Psi(V \cap S)=W \cap \boldsymbol{R}^{n-1}$.
and
(vi) $L$ is transformed into the $\tilde{L}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{L}= & \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-2 t^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{h}_{j}(t, y) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t \partial y_{j}}-t^{2 k} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \tilde{a}_{i j}(t, y) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{j}}  \tag{1.2}\\
& +\tilde{a}_{0}(t, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+t^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{a}_{j}(t, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}+\tilde{d}(t, y)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $y \in W \cap \overline{\boldsymbol{R}}_{+}^{n}$.
We assume the following conditions for the problem (1.1):
(A. I)

$$
L_{0}\left(t, x, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{j}(t, x) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t \partial x_{j}}-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t, x) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}
$$ is regularly hyperbolic on $[0, T] \times \bar{\Omega}$ and $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t, s) \nu_{i}(s) \nu_{j}(s)>0$.

(A. II) (i) By the above coordinate transformation $\Psi, B$ is transformed into $\tilde{B}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{B}= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_{11}}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left\{t^{k}\left[\tilde{a}_{11}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} \tilde{a}_{1 j}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}\right]\right.  \tag{1.3}\\
& \left.+\tilde{h}_{1}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\}+t^{k} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \tilde{\alpha}_{j}\left(t, y^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}} \\
& -\tilde{\beta}\left(t, y^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\tilde{a}_{11}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\left(1+\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\tilde{a}_{11}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.\cdot t^{k} \sum_{j=2}^{n}\left[\tilde{h}_{j}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)}{\tilde{a}_{11}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)} \tilde{a}_{1 j}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}\right]\right\}+\tilde{\gamma}\left(t, y^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $y=\left(0, y^{\prime}\right) \in W \cap \boldsymbol{R}^{n-1}$.
(ii) The quadratic equation $(c+1) z^{2}+2 b z+(c-1)=0$ has roots in $D=\{z \in C| | z \mid<1\}$ where

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
b= & \sum_{j=2}^{n} \tilde{\alpha}_{j}\left(t, y^{\prime}\right) \eta_{j} / d\left(\eta^{\prime}\right), \quad c=\tilde{\beta}\left(t, y^{\prime}\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
d\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)= & {\left[\sum_{i, j=2}^{n} \tilde{a}_{i j}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right) \eta_{i} \eta_{j}-\frac{1}{\tilde{a}_{11}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} \tilde{a}_{1,}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right) \eta_{j}\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& +\left(1+\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\tilde{a}_{11}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} \tilde{h}_{j}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right) \eta_{j}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)}{\tilde{a}_{11}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \tilde{a}_{1 j}\left(t, 0, y^{\prime}\right) \eta_{j}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

for any $y=\left(0, y^{\prime}\right) \in W \cap \boldsymbol{R}^{n-1}$ and any $\eta^{\prime}=\left(\eta_{2}, \cdots, \eta_{n}\right)$.
Definition. We say that the data $\left\{u_{0}(x), u_{1}(x), f(t, x), g(t, s)\right\}$ satisfy the compatibility condition of infinite order provided

$$
\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{r}\left(\left.B[u]\right|_{S}\right)\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{r} g\right|_{t=0} \quad(r=0,1,2, \cdots)
$$

Now we have
Theorem. For any data $u_{0}(x), u_{1}(x) \in H^{\infty}(\Omega), f(t, x) \in \mathcal{E}_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)$ and $g(t, s) \in \mathcal{E}_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\infty}(S)\right)$ which satisfy the compatibility condition of infinite order, there is a unique solution $u(t, x)$ of the problem (1.1) which belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{t}^{\infty}\left(H^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)$ where $H^{\infty}(\Omega)=\bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} H^{m}(\Omega)$.
§2. Boundary condition. In this section, we explain the sufficient condition for the existence of the local coordinate transformation $\Psi$ satisfying the assumption (A. II).

We set

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
L_{0}\left(t, x, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)= & \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{j}(t, x) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t \partial x_{j}}-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t, x) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}  \tag{2.1}\\
B_{0}\left(t, s, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)= & \sqrt{\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t, s) \nu_{i}(s) \nu_{j}(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}(t, s) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}-\beta(t, s) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\nu \cdot \alpha=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_{j}(s) \alpha_{j}(t, s)=0$. We consider the uniquely determined relation $B_{0}(t, s, \tau, \xi \nu(s)+\eta)=\tilde{\xi}+b_{1} \tilde{d}(\eta)-c_{1} \tilde{\tau}$ for

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{\xi}=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t, s) \nu_{i}(s) \nu_{j}(s)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} L_{0}(t, s, \tau, \xi \nu(s)+\eta)  \tag{2.2}\\
\tilde{\tau}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{j}(t, s) \nu_{j}(s)\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t, s) \nu_{i}(s) \nu_{j}(s)}\right)^{-1 / 2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\left\{L_{0}(t, s, \tau, \xi \nu(s)+\eta)\right. \\
\left.\quad+\tilde{\xi}(t, s, \tau, \xi \nu(s)+\eta)^{2}\right\} \\
\tilde{d}(\eta)=\sqrt{\tilde{\tau}^{2}-\tilde{\xi}^{2}-L_{0}(t, s, \tau, \xi \nu(s)+\eta)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where any $\xi \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and any $\eta \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_{j}(s) \eta_{j}=0$.
Lemma. If, for any fixed $s \in S$, the quadratic equation $\left(c_{1}+1\right) z^{2}$ $+2 b_{1} z+\left(c_{1}-1\right)=0$ has roots in $D=\{z \in C \| z \mid<1\}$, we can obtain the local coordinate transformation $\Psi$ satisfying (A. II) where $b_{1}$ and $c_{1}$ are the same in $B_{0}(t, s, \tau, \xi \nu(s)+\eta)=\tilde{\xi}+b_{1} \tilde{d}(\eta)-c_{1} \tilde{\tau}$.

Proof. We fix $s_{0} \in S$. Let $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n}\right)$ be the inner unit normal at $s_{0}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a smooth function $\rho(x)$ which satisfies the following condition $\rho\left(V_{0} \cap S\right)=0$ and $\left(\partial \rho / \partial x_{1}\right)\left(V_{0}\right) \neq 0$ where $V_{0}$ is a neighborhood of $s_{0}$. Now we choose $y_{1}=\rho(x)$ and $y_{j}=x_{j}-\left(\mu_{j} / \mu_{1}\right) x_{1}(2 \leqq j \leqq n)$ as $\Psi$. Then the condition (A. II) holds (see [3]).
Q.E.D.
§3. Sketch of the proof of Theorem. First, we mention two facts necessary to obtain Theorem.

By using a partition of unity on $\bar{\Omega}$ and the local coordinate transformation, we have only to treat the mixed problem in $(0, T) \times \boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{n}$ and
the Cauchy problem in $(0, T) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$.
For the operator $\tilde{L}$ in (1.2), we have the principal symbol $\sigma_{0}(\tilde{L})$ $=\tilde{\xi}^{2}+\tilde{d}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\tilde{\tau}^{2}$ which corresponds to the symbol of the wave equation where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{\tau}=\left(1+\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}(t, y)^{2}}{\tilde{a}_{11}(t, y)}\right)\left\{\tau-\left(1+\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}(t, y)^{2}}{\tilde{a}_{11}(t, y)}\right)^{-1}\right.  \tag{3.1}\\
\left.\quad \cdot t^{k} \sum_{j=2}^{n}\left[\tilde{h}_{j}(t, y)-\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}(t, y)}{\tilde{a}_{11}(t, y)} \tilde{a}_{1 j}(t, y)\right] \eta_{j}\right\} \\
\tilde{\xi}= \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}_{11}(t, y)}}\left\{t^{k}\left[\tilde{a}_{11}(t, y) \xi+\sum_{j=2}^{n} \tilde{a}_{1 j}(t, y) \eta_{j}\right]+\tilde{h}_{1}(t, y) \tau\right\} \\
\tilde{d}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)=t^{k}\left[\sum_{i, j=2}^{n} \tilde{a}_{i j}(t, y) \eta_{i} \eta_{j}-\frac{1}{\tilde{a}_{11}(t, y)}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} \tilde{a}_{1 j}(t, y) \eta_{j}\right)^{2}\right. \\
\\
\left.\quad+\left(1+\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}(t, y)^{2}}{\tilde{a}_{11}(t, y)}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left[\tilde{h}_{j}(t, y)-\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}(t, y)}{\tilde{a}_{11}(t, y)} \tilde{a}_{i j}(t, y)\right] \eta_{j}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $\left(\xi, \eta^{\prime}\right)=\left(\xi, \eta_{2}, \cdots, \eta_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ (see [2]). We have $t^{k} d\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)=\left.\tilde{d}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)\right|_{y_{1}=0}$ and $\sigma(\tilde{B})=i\left[\tilde{\tilde{\xi}}+\left.b \tilde{d}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)\right|_{y_{1}=0}-c \tilde{\tau}\right]+\tilde{\gamma}\left(t, y^{\prime}\right)$ for $\tilde{B}$ in (1.3), and $b$ and $c$ in (1.4). Also, we have the similar representation when we treat the Cauchy problem (see [2]).

Using (A. I), (A. II), the facts mentioned above and the method to obtain the energy estimate in [5], we consider the localized problem of (1.1) and can obtain Theorem.
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