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1. Introduction. Many results on characters of irreducible highest weight representations of Witt algebra were obtained by several authors (V. G. Kac [3], [4] and A. Rocha-Caridi and N. R. Wallach [6]). In this paper we determine the remaining characters by using the methods of [6].

The Witt algebra is an infinite dimensional complex Lie algebra with basis $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i \in \boldsymbol{Z}}$ which have the following commutation relations:

$$
\left[E_{i}, E_{j}\right]=(j-i) E_{i+j} \quad i, j \in Z
$$

It is also known as a Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields on the circle. Let us denote the Witt algebra by $g$.

A highest weight module of $g$ is defined as follows.
Definition. A g-module $M$ is called the highest weight module with highest weight $\lambda \in C$ if there exists a nonzero vector $v$ such that
(1) $E_{i} \cdot v=0$ for $i>0$
(2) $E_{0} \cdot v=\lambda v$
(3) $M$ is generated by $v$ as $g$-module.

If $M$ is a highest weight module with highest weight $\lambda$, then $M$ is decomposed as a direct sum of its weight spaces relative to the action of $E_{0}$ :

$$
M=\oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M_{\lambda-i}
$$

where $M_{\lambda-i}=\left\{u \in M ; E_{0} \cdot u=(\lambda-i) u\right\}$.
We define the formal character of $M$ by

$$
\operatorname{ch} M=\sum_{\nu \in C}\left(\operatorname{dim} M_{-\nu}\right) e^{\nu}
$$

where $e^{\nu}$ is a formal exponential.
For any complex number $\lambda$ there exists a unique irreducible highest weight module $L(\lambda)$ with highest weight $\lambda$.

Our main theorem is the following.
Theorem. Put $\lambda_{m}=-\left(m^{2}-1\right) / 24$ for nonnegative integer $m$.
(a) For $\lambda=\lambda_{m}, m \equiv 2(\bmod 6)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ch} L(\lambda)=e^{-\lambda} \phi(e)^{-1}\left(1-e^{2(m+4) / 3}\right) .
$$

(b) For $\lambda=\lambda_{m}, m \equiv 4(\bmod 6)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ch} L(\lambda)=e^{-\lambda} \phi(e)^{-1}\left(1-e^{(m+2) / 3}\right)
$$

where $\phi(e)=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(1-e^{i}\right)$ is the generating function of the classical partition function.
2. Preliminaries. In this section we state known results about Verma modules, contravariant form and characters of highest weight modules.

We set $\mathfrak{G}=C E_{0}, \mathfrak{n}=\oplus_{i>0} C E_{i}$ and $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{h}$. For a complex number $\lambda$, let $C(\lambda)$ be the one dimensional $\mathfrak{b}$-module where $\mathfrak{n}$ acts trivially and $E_{0}$ acts by scalar multiple $\lambda$. We denote by $M(\lambda)$ the universal highest weight module with highest weight $\lambda: M(\lambda)=U(g) \otimes_{U(5)} C(\lambda)$, where $U($ ) is the universal enveloping algebra. $M(\lambda)$ is called Verma module. It is easy to see that the character of $M(\lambda)$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{ch} M(\lambda)=e^{-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) e^{n}=e^{-\lambda} \phi(e)^{-1}
$$

where $p$ is the classical partition function. Let $L(\lambda)$ denote the unique irreducible quotient of $M(\lambda)$.

Now we describe the structures of Verma modules using contravariant forms. Let $\sigma$ be the involutive antiautomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\sigma\left(E_{i}\right)=E_{-i}$ for all $i \in Z$. Let $\sigma$ also denote the extension of $\sigma$ to be an involutive antiautomorphism of $U(\mathrm{~g})$. Let $M$ be a g-module. A symmetric bilinear form (, ) on $M$ is contravariant (relative to $\sigma$ ) if $(X \cdot u, w)=(u, \sigma(X) \cdot w)$ for all $X \in U(\mathrm{~g}), u, w \in M$. The following Proposition can be proved with the same type of arguments used in Jantzen ([2]).

Proposition 1. (a) Let $M$ be a g-module and let (, ) be a contravariant form. Then $\left(M_{\mu}, M_{\lambda}\right)=0$ if $\mu \neq \nu$.
(b) Let $M$ be a highest weight module. Then there exists a nonzero contravariant form (, ) which is unique up to nonzero scalar multiple and $\operatorname{Rad}()=,\{u \in M ;(u, w)=0$ for all $w \in M\}$ is the proper maximal submodule of $M$.

In particular, by Proposition 1, there exists a nonzero contravariant form on Verma module $M(\lambda)$. We fix such a contravariant form and denote it by $(,)_{\lambda}$. We denote by $(,)_{\lambda-m}$ the restriction of $(,)_{\lambda}$ to $M(\lambda)_{\lambda-m}$.

The following Theorem is due to Kac ([3]).
Theorem 2. In above notations we have

$$
\operatorname{det}(,)_{\lambda-m}=\text { Const. } \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{r \mid i}\left\{\lambda+\left([3 r-2 i / r]^{2}-1\right)\right\}^{p(m-i)}
$$

where constant depends on the choice of basis of $M(\lambda)_{\lambda-i}$.
Next Proposition provides the structure of $M(\lambda)$.
Proposition 3 (Rocha-Caridi and Wallach [6]). Let $\lambda$ be a complex number. Then there exists a filtration of $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of $M(\lambda)$,

$$
M(\lambda)=M(\lambda)_{0} \supseteqq M(\lambda)_{1} \supseteqq M(\lambda)_{2} \supseteqq \cdots
$$

such that
(a) $\quad M(\lambda)_{1}$ is the proper maximal submodule of $M(\lambda)$
(b) for every $k \geqq 0$ there exists a nondegenerate contravariant form on $M(\lambda)_{k} / M(\lambda)_{k+1}$ if $M(\lambda)_{k} \neq M(\lambda)_{k+1}$
(c) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{ch} M(\lambda)_{i}=e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{ord}_{t=0}\left(\operatorname{det}(,)_{\lambda+t-i}\right) e^{i}$
where $\operatorname{ord}_{t=0}\left(\operatorname{det}(,)_{\lambda+t-i}\right)$ is the order of zero of the polynomial $\operatorname{det}(,)_{\lambda+t-i}$ at $t=0$.
3. Proof of the main Theorem. First, we apply Proposition 3 to our case and write down the character sum formula of Proposition 3 (c). As in the preceeding sections we put $\lambda_{m}=-\left(m^{2}-1\right) / 24$ for nonnegative integer $m$.

Lemma. Let $M(\lambda)=M()_{0} \supseteqq M(\lambda)_{1} \supseteq M\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \supseteq \cdots$ be the filtration of Proposition 3.
(a) If $\lambda=\lambda_{m}, m \equiv 2(\bmod 6)$, then
(1) $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{ch} M(\lambda)_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\operatorname{ch} M\left(\lambda_{m+12 k-4}\right)+\operatorname{ch} M\left(\lambda_{m+12 k}\right)\right\}$.
(b) If $\lambda=\lambda_{m}, m \equiv 4(\bmod 6)$, then
(2) $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{ch} M(\lambda)_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\operatorname{ch} M\left(\lambda_{m+12 k-8}\right)+\operatorname{ch} M\left(\lambda_{m+12 k}\right)\right\}$.

Proof. (a) For $m=6 n+2, n \in Z$, the solutions of

$$
\lambda_{m}+\left([3 r-r / 2 i]^{2}-1\right) / 24=0 \quad i \in Z, r \mid i
$$

are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r=2(k+n) \\
i=2(k+n)(3 k-1)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
r=2 k \\
i=2 k(3 n+3 k+1)
\end{array} \quad k=1,2,3, \cdots\right.
$$

It is easy to see that $\lambda_{m}+2(n+k)(3 k-1)=\lambda_{m+12 k-4}$, and $\lambda_{m}+2 k(3 n$ $+3 k+1)=\lambda_{m+12 k}$. Then (a) is a consequence of simple calculations using Proposition 3 and Theorem 1.
(b) can be proved by the same way.

Using the above Lemma, we now prove the following Theorem which implies the Theorem in Introduction.

Theorem. (a) If $\lambda=\lambda_{m}, m \equiv 2(\bmod 6)$, then the proper maximal g -submodule of $M(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $M\left(\lambda_{m+8}\right)$ :

$$
L(\lambda) \simeq M(\lambda) / M\left(\lambda_{m+8}\right) \quad \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda)=\operatorname{ch} M(\lambda)-\operatorname{ch} M\left(\lambda_{m+8}\right)
$$

(b) If $\lambda=\lambda_{m}, m \equiv 4(\bmod 6)$, then the proper maximal $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of $M(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $M\left(\lambda_{m+4}\right)$ :

$$
L(\lambda) \simeq M(\lambda) / M\left(\lambda_{m+4}\right) \quad \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda)=\operatorname{ch} M(\lambda)-\operatorname{ch} M\left(\lambda_{m+4}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let

$$
M(\lambda)=M(\lambda)_{0} \supseteqq M(\lambda)_{1} \supseteqq M\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \supseteqq \cdots
$$

be the filtration of $\mathfrak{g}$-submodules determined by Proposition 3. Then $M(\lambda)_{1}$ is the proper maximal $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of $M(\lambda)$.

If $\lambda=\lambda_{m}, m \equiv 2(\bmod 6)$, then by Lemma (a) there exists a nonzero weight vector $u \in M(\lambda)_{1}$ of weight $\lambda_{m+8}$. By (1) $u$ is unique up to nonzero scalar multiple and $\lambda_{m+8}$ is a maximal weight of $M(\lambda)_{1}$ (i.e. if $\lambda_{m+8}+n, n \geqq 0$ is a weight of $M(\lambda)_{1}$, then $n=0$ ). We denote by $N(\lambda)_{1}$ the g -module generated by $u . \quad N(\lambda)_{1}$ is isomorphic to $M\left(\lambda_{m+8}\right)$ and contained in $M(\lambda)_{1}$.

If $\lambda=\lambda_{m}, m \equiv 4(\bmod 6)$, then using Lemma (b) and the same arguments above we obtain a $g$-submodule $N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{1}$ of $M(\lambda)_{1}$ which is isomorphic to $M\left(\lambda_{m+4}\right)$.

We apply the same arguments to $N(\lambda)_{1}$ and $N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{1}$ and continue
these processes. Thus we obtain the following filtrations of $g$-modules.
(A) For $\lambda=\lambda_{m}, m \equiv 2(\bmod 6)$

$$
M(\lambda)=N(\lambda)_{0} \supseteqq N(\lambda)_{1} \supseteqq N(\lambda)_{2} \supseteq \cdots
$$

where $N(\lambda)_{k} \cong M\left(\lambda_{m+6 k+2}\right)$ if $k$ is odd and $N(\lambda)_{k} \cong M\left(\lambda_{m+6 k}\right)$ if $k$ is even.
(B) For $\lambda=\lambda_{m}, m \equiv 4(\bmod 6)$

$$
M(\lambda)=N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{0} \supseteqq N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{1} \supseteqq N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{2} \supseteqq \cdots
$$

where $N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{k} \cong M\left(\lambda_{m+6 k-2}\right)$ if $k$ is odd and $N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{k} \cong M\left(\lambda_{m+6 k}\right)$ if $k$ is even.
Obviously we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{ch} N(\lambda)_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\operatorname{ch} M\left(\lambda_{m+12 k-4}\right)+\operatorname{ch} M\left(\lambda_{m+12 k}\right)\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { (4) } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{ch} N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\operatorname{ch} M\left(\lambda_{m+12 k-8}\right)+\operatorname{ch} M\left(\lambda_{m+12 k}\right)\right\} .
$$

By Proposition 3 (b) and above constructions of filtrations of $\mathfrak{g}$ modules, we conclude that $N(\lambda)_{i}$ (or $N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{i}$ ) must be contained in $M(\lambda)_{i}$. Then by formulas (1)-(4) $N(\lambda)_{i}$ (or $N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{i}$ ) are equal to $M(\lambda)_{i}$ for all $i \geqq 0$. In particular $N(\lambda)_{1}$ (or $\left.N^{\prime}(\lambda)_{1}\right)$ is equal to $M(\lambda)_{1}$. Hence we have proved our Theorem.
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Note added in proof. The same results were obtained by A. Rocha-Caridi and N. R. Wallach (Math. Zeit. 185 (1984)).
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