

37. On Marot Rings

By Ryûki MATSUDA

Department of Mathematics, Ibaraki University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., April 12, 1984)

§ 1. Introduction. Throughout the paper, a ring means a commutative ring with identity. A non-zero-divisor of a ring is said to be regular, and an ideal containing regular elements is said to be regular. A ring R is said to be a *Marot ring* (cf. [3]), if each regular ideal of R is generated by regular elements. The main purpose of this paper is to solve the following question on Marot rings posed by Portelli-Spangher [6]. : Let α be an ideal of a ring R . We denote the set of regular elements contained in α by $\text{Reg}(\alpha)$. We say that a ring R has *property (FU)*, if $\text{Reg}(\alpha) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ implies $\alpha \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ for each family of a finite number of regular ideals $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$. If R has property (FU), then R is a Marot ring. The question is: *Does a Marot ring have property (FU)?*

§ 2. Answer to the question. Let us begin by some lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let R be a ring.*

(1) *R is a Marot ring if and only if an ideal (r, s) is generated by regular elements for each regular element r of R and for each element $s \in R$.*

(2) *R has property (FU) if and only if $\text{Reg}((r, s)) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ implies $(r, s) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ for each pair of elements r, s of R with r regular and for each family of a finite number of regular ideals $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$.*

Let A be a ring, and let M be an A -module. We construct a semidirect product R by the principle of idealization ([5, Chap. 1, n°1]). That is, $R = A \oplus M$ and for elements $f+x$ and $g+y$ of R we set $(f+x)(g+y) = fg + (fy + gx)$, where $f, g \in A$ and $x, y \in M$.

Lemma 2. *Let $f+x$ be an element of R . Then $f+x$ is a regular element of R if and only if f is a regular element of R .*

Let p be a prime number, and let k be a finite field of characteristic p . We denote by A the subring $k[X^p, X^{p+1}, X^{p+2}, \dots]$ of the polynomial ring $k[X]$. Let $\{F_0, F_1, \dots, F_n, G_1, G_2, \dots\}$ be a set of irreducible polynomials of $k[X]$ such that (1) $F_0 = X$ and $F_1 = 1 + X$, (2) $\deg(F_i) < 2p$ for each i , (3) $\deg(G_j) \geq 2p$ for each j , (4) any two elements of the set are not associated and (5) each irreducible polynomial of $k[X]$ is associated with some element of the set. We denote $k[X]/(G_j)$ by K_j . K_j is naturally an A -module. We construct a direct sum M of A -modules K_1, K_2, K_3, \dots , and construct a semidirect product $R = A$

$\oplus M$. R will keep this meaning in the next four lemmas.

Lemma 3. *The set of regular elements of R is $\{a+x; 0 \neq a \in k, x \in M\} \cup \{aX^eF_1^{e_1}F_2^{e_2} \cdots F_n^{e_n}+x; 0 \neq a \in k, e \geq p, e_i \geq 0, x \in M\}$.*

Lemma 4. *Let F, F', G and G' be elements of $k[X]$ such that $FF' \in A$. If FG and $F'G'$ are regular elements of R , then FF' is a regular element of R .*

Lemma 5. *If $r \in R$ is regular, we have $rM = M$.*

Lemma 6. *R is a Marot ring.*

Proof. Let α be a regular ideal of R . By Lemma 1(1), we may assume that α is generated by two elements r and s of R with r regular. We set $r = f + x, s = g + y$ for $f, g \in A$ and $x, y \in M$. By Lemma 5, we have $\alpha = (f, g)$. Let D be a greatest common divisor of f and g in $k[X]$. We have $D = fF + gG$ for some $F, G \in k[X]$. Therefore DX^p belongs to α . It follows that $\alpha \ni DX^{2p}, DX^{2p+1}, DX^{2p+2}, \dots$. We have $g = DG'$ for some $G' \in k[X]$. Set $G' = a_lX^l + a_{l+1}X^{l+1} + \dots + a_mX^m$ with $a_l \neq 0$ and $a_m \neq 0$. If $l \geq 2p$, α is generated by regular elements $f, DX^l, DX^{l+1}, \dots, DX^m$. Suppose that $l < 2p$. Then we have $\alpha = (f, DX^p, DG'')$ for some $G'' \in k[X]$ degree of which is less than $2p$. By Lemmas 3 and 4, DG'' is a regular element of R . Therefore α is generated by regular elements.

We set $\{X^eF_1^{e_1}F_2^{e_2} \cdots F_n^{e_n}; p \leq e < 2p, 0 \leq e_i < p\} = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_h\}$, where $h = p^{n+1}$. And we set $\alpha_0 = (X^p, X^{p+1}, \dots, X^{2p-1})$ and $\alpha_i = (f_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$.

Lemma 7. *We have $\text{Reg}(\alpha_0) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^h \alpha_i$.*

Lemma 8. *Let k be a prime field of characteristic 2. Then we have $X^2 + X^3 + \dots + X^l \in \alpha_0 - \bigcup_{i=1}^h \alpha_i$ for each even natural number $l > 5$.*

If k is not a prime field of characteristic 2, there exist nonzero elements a and b of k such that $a + b \neq 0$.

Lemma 9. *Set $f = aX^pF_1^pF_2^p \cdots F_n^p + bX^p$. Then $f \in \alpha_0 - \bigcup_{i=1}^h \alpha_i$.*

Proof. If f belongs to some α_i , we have $f = X^eF_1^{e_1} \cdots F_n^{e_n}g$ for $p \leq e < 2p, 0 \leq e_i < p$ and $g \in A$. Since $b \neq 0$, we have $aF_1^pF_2^p \cdots F_n^p + b = X^{e-p}g$. Since $a + b \neq 0$, we have $aF_1^pF_2^p \cdots F_n^p + b = g$. Since $a \neq 0$ and $F_i^p \in A$ for each i , it follows that $F_1 \in A$; which is a contradiction.

Lemmas 6–9 imply the following answer to Question :

Theorem 10. *There exist Marot rings which do not have property (FU).*

§ 3. Some other results. A ring R is said to be an *additively regular ring*, if for each pair of elements $r, s \in R$ with r regular there exists $r' \in R$ such that $r'r + s$ is a regular element ([2]). An additively regular ring has property (FU) ([6, Proposition 8]).

Proposition 11. *There exists a ring R with property (FU) which is not an additively regular ring.*

Proof. We construct a direct sum M of Z -modules $Z, Z/(3), Z/(5),$

$Z/(7), \dots$, and construct a semidirect product $R=Z\oplus M$. Let e and n be natural numbers with n odd such that $2 < n < 2^e - 1$. Then 2^e is a regular element of R , and $r2^e + n$ is not a regular element for each $r \in R$. Therefore R is not an additively regular ring. The following assertion implies that R has property (FU).

Proposition 12. *Let A be a principal ideal domain, and M an A -module. Then a semidirect product $A\oplus M$ has property (FU).*

Proof. Set $R=A\oplus M$. Let $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be regular ideals of R such that $\text{Reg}(\alpha) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. By Lemma 1(2), we may assume that α is generated by two elements r and s with r regular. We set $r=a+x$ and $s=b+y$ for $a, b \in A$ and $x, y \in M$. We have $(a, b)A=dA$ for some $d \in A$. There exists $x' \in M$ such that $d+x' \in \alpha$. Therefore we may assume that $b=0$. Each element r' of α is of the form: $aa' + (ax' + a'y + b'y)$ for $a', b' \in A$ and $x' \in M$. If a' is either zero or a unit of A , it is not difficult to see that $r' \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. If a' is neither zero nor a unit, we can write $a'=a_1a_2$, where each irreducible factor of a_1 (resp. a_2) in A is (resp. is not) a regular element of R . Since $(a_1, a_2)A=A$, we have $r'=[aa_1 + (ab_1x' + a_1x + b_2y)] [a_2 + (b_3x' + b_4y)]$ for some $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \in A$. Since $aa_1 + (ab_1x' + a_1x + b_2y)$ is a regular element contained in α , r' belongs to some α_i . Therefore R has property (FU).

If we replace A by a Bezout domain (that is, an integral domain each finitely generated ideal of which is a principal ideal) in Proposition 12, we have:

Remark 13. Let A be a Bezout domain, and M an A -module. Then a semidirect product $R=A\oplus M$ is a Marot ring.

Proof. Let α be a regular ideal of R . We may assume that α is generated by two elements $r, s \in R$ with r regular. We set $r=a+x$, $s=b+y$ and $(a, b)A=dA$. There exists $x' \in M$ such that $d+x' \in \text{Reg}(\alpha)$. Each element r' of α is of the form: $dd' + y'$. We have $r'=(d+x')(d'-1) + [d+(1-d')x' + y']$. Therefore α is generated by regular elements.

We say that a ring R has *property (U)*, if each regular ideal of R is a (set-theoretical) union of regular principal ideals. A ring R with property (U) has property (FU).

Proposition 14. (1) *There exists a ring R with property (FU) which is not an additively regular ring and has not property (U).*

(2) *There exists an additively regular ring which does not have property (U).*

(3) *There exists a ring with property (U) which is not an additively regular ring.*

Proof. (1) We consider the ring R of Proposition 11. We denote the element 1 of Z contained in M by x_0 , and set $\alpha=(4+x_0, 2x_0)$.

Then α is not a union of regular principal ideals of R . Therefore R does not have property (U). (2) We set $A=(Z/(4))[X]$ and set $P=(2, X)A$. Then A_P is an additively regular ring which does not have property (U). A_P is a Noetherian local ring. (3) We construct a direct sum M of Z -modules $Z/(3), Z/(5), Z/(7), \dots$, and construct a semidirect product $R=Z \oplus M$. Then R is a desired ring.

We note finally that we have studied Marot rings also in [4] and solved another question posed in [6]: Generalize Theorem 2 in § 1.3 in [1] to a ring with zerodivisors. This is done in the proof of Theorem (7.1) in [4].

Acknowledgment. I would like to express my hearty thanks to Prof. J. T. Arnold for his hospitality at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in 1983–84.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki: *Algèbre Commutative*. Ch. 7., Hermann, Paris (1965).
- [2] R. Gilmer and J. Huckaba: \mathcal{A} -rings. *J. Algebra*, **28**, 414–432 (1974).
- [3] J. Marot: Une généralisation de la notion d'anneau de valuation. *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, **268**, A1451–A1454 (1969).
- [4] R. Matsuda: Generalizations of multiplicative ideal theory to commutative rings with zerodivisors. *Bull. Fac. Sci., Ibaraki Univ.* (to appear).
- [5] M. Nagata: *Local Rings*. Interscience, New York (1962).
- [6] D. Portelli and W. Spangher: Krull rings with zero divisors, *Comm. Alg.*, **11**, 1817–1851 (1983).