

28. An Algebraic Computation of the Alexander Polynomial of a Plane Algebraic Curve

By Toshitake KOHNO

Department of Mathematics, Nagoya University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., March 12, 1983)

1. Introduction and the statement of the result. Let C be the algebraic curve in C^2 defined by a reduced polynomial f . We denote by $\Omega_{C^2}^j(*C)$ the algebra of the rational differential forms on C^2 which are holomorphic on the complement $X=C^2-C$. Let $V_\alpha: \Omega_{C^2}^j(*C) \rightarrow \Omega_{C^2}^{j+1}(*C)$ be the regular connection in the sense of Deligne ([1]) defined by $V_\alpha\varphi = d\varphi + \alpha d \log f \wedge \varphi$ with a real number α . We denote by $H^j(\Omega_{C^2}^j(*C), V_\alpha)$ the j -th cohomology group of the de Rham complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Omega_{C^2}^j(*C) \xrightarrow{V_\alpha} \Omega_{C^2}^{j+1}(*C) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

In [5] A. Libgober defined the Alexander polynomial of a plane algebraic curve. Let us review the definition.

Definition 1.1. Let \bar{C} be an irreducible algebraic curve in P^2 . We take a complex line H_∞ such that H_∞ intersects \bar{C} transversally. Let C denote $\bar{C} \cap (P^2 - H_\infty)$ and let X be the complement of C in $P^2 - H_\infty$.

Let $p: X^{ab} \rightarrow X$ be an infinite cyclic covering of X . Then the ring of the Laurent polynomials $C[t^{-1}, t] = \Lambda$ operates on $H^1(X^{ab}; C)$ by means of the deck transformations. The Λ -module $H^1(X^{ab}; C)$ has a presentation of the form

$$\Lambda / (f_1(t)) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda / (f_k(t))$$

with some polynomials $f_1(t), \dots, f_k(t)$. We call the product $\prod_{j=1}^k f_j(t)$ the *Alexander polynomial* of \bar{C} (or C).

Remarks 1.2. i) In the proof of Theorem (1.3), we show that $\dim_C H^1(X^{ab}; C)$ is finite.

ii) The Alexander polynomial of the curve C is determined up to unit and does not depend on the choice of a line H_∞ .

We have the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let $C \cap C^2$ be an irreducible algebraic curve which intersects transversally with the line at infinity. Let h_α denote $\dim_C H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^j(*C), V_\alpha)$ for a real number α . Let $A_C(t)$ be the Alexander polynomial of C . Then we have*

$$A_C(t) = \prod_{0 < \alpha < 1} (t - \exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha)^{h_\alpha}.$$

Moreover the numbers α with $h_\alpha \neq 0$ are rational numbers with $n\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}$, where we denote by n the degree of our curve C .

2. Proof of the theorem. Let \bar{C} be the algebraic closure of C

in P^2 and let X_n be the n -fold cyclic covering of $X=C^2-C$ defined by $X_n=\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C^3; x_3^n=f(x_1, x_2), x_3 \neq 0\}$. Let \bar{X}_n be the algebraic closure of X_n in P^3 . Thus, we obtain the branched covering $\pi: \bar{X}_n \rightarrow P^2$ branched along \bar{C} . Let $\mu: V \rightarrow \bar{X}_n$ be a resolution of singularity. We put $D=\mu^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(\bar{C}))$. Let $i: \bar{X}_n-\pi^{-1}(\bar{C}) \rightarrow V$ be the injection defined by $i=(\mu|_{V-D})^{-1}$. We have the following proposition due to R. Randell.

Proposition 2.1 (Randell [7]). *The injection $i: \bar{X}_n-\pi^{-1}(\bar{C}) \rightarrow V$ induces an isomorphism $i^*: H^1(V; Q) \cong H^1(\bar{X}_n-\pi^{-1}(\bar{C}); Q)$.*

Let γ be a generator of the group of the deck transformations of the cyclic covering $p_n: X_n \rightarrow X$. We denote by $H^1(X_n; C)_k$ the subspace of $H^1(X_n; C)$ defined by

$$H^1(X; C)_k = \{\omega \in H^1(X_n; C); \gamma^*\omega = \exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(k/n)\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $j: X_n \hookrightarrow \bar{X}_n-\pi^{-1}(\bar{C})$ be the inclusion. Then, the induced homomorphism $j^*: H^1(\bar{X}_n-\pi^{-1}(\bar{C}); C)$ is injective and image $j^* = \bigoplus_{0 < k \leq n-1} H^1(X_n; C)_k$.*

Proof. Let H_∞ be the line at infinity. From the cohomology exact sequence of the pair $(\bar{X}_n-\pi^{-1}(\bar{C}), X_n)$ and the Thom isomorphism $H^k(\bar{X}_n-\pi^{-1}(\bar{C}), X_n) \cong H^{k-2}(\pi^{-1}(H_\infty-\bar{C}))$, we have the injectivity of j^* . We obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\bar{X}_n-\pi^{-1}(\bar{C})) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^1(X_n) \longrightarrow H^2(\bar{X}_n-\pi^{-1}(\bar{C}), X_n) \longrightarrow 0.$$

\parallel
 C

On the other hand $H^1(X_n; C)_0 = C$, which is represented by $d \log f$. This completes the proof of the second assertion.

Let $\bar{\omega}: X^{ab} \rightarrow X_n$ be the covering map such that $p_n \circ \bar{\omega} = p$.

Lemma 2.3. *The covering map $\bar{\omega}$ induces an injection*

$$\bar{\omega}^*: \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} H^1(X_n; C)_k \longrightarrow H^1(X^{ab}; C).$$

Proof. Let $[\omega]$ be an element of $H^1(X_n; C)_k$ such that $\bar{\omega}^*\omega = df$ for some function f on X^{ab} . We have $\gamma^*f = \exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(k/n)f + c$ for some constant c . If $k \neq 0$, we put $g = f + c(\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(k/n) - 1)^{-1}$ which satisfies $dg = \bar{\omega}^*\omega$.

We put $\tilde{C} = \pi^{-1}(C)$. Let $\Omega^j(*\tilde{C})$ be the algebra of rational 1-forms on the surface $x_3^n = f(x_1, x_2)$ which have poles at most along \tilde{C} . Let $\Omega^j(*\tilde{C})[k/n]$ be the vector space of the rational forms $\varphi \in \Omega^j(*\tilde{C})$ such that $\gamma^*\varphi = \exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(k/n)\varphi$. By means of the comparison theorem of Grothendieck-Deligne [2], we have the following isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad H^j(\Omega^j(*\tilde{C})[k/n]) \cong H^j(X_n; C)_k.$$

On the other hand, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^j(*\tilde{C})[\alpha] & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^{j+1}(*\tilde{C})[\alpha] \\ \uparrow \varphi & & \uparrow \varphi \\ \Omega^j_{C^n}(*C) & \xrightarrow{V^\alpha} & \Omega^{j+1}_{C^n}(*C) \end{array}$$

where the homomorphism φ is defined by $\varphi(\omega) = f^*\omega$ for $\omega \in \Omega_{C^2}^j(*C)$. This homomorphism induces the following isomorphism

$$H^j(\Omega_{C^2}^j(*C), \mathcal{V}_a) \cong H^j(X_n; C)_a.$$

Combining (2.1)–(2.5), we get the following commutative diagram.

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & \downarrow & & & \\ & & & H^1(\bar{X}_n - \pi^{-1}(\bar{C}); C) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H^1(V; C) & \\ & & & \downarrow j^* & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_n; C) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & H^1(X_n; C) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\omega}^*} & H^1(X^{ab}; C) \\ & & \uparrow \parallel & & \uparrow \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(X; C) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^j(*C), \mathcal{V}_{k/n}) & & \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.7. *The homomorphism $\bar{\omega}^* \circ j^* \circ i^* : H^1(V; C) \longrightarrow H^1(X^{ab}; C)$*

is an isomorphism.

Proof. From (2.1)–(2.3), the homomorphism $\bar{\omega}^* \circ j^* \circ i^*$ is injective. Let q be the irregularity of V . It suffices to prove that $\dim H^1(X^{ab}; C) = 2q$.

Since \bar{C} intersects H_∞ transversally, we have the following exact sequence of the central extension ([6], Lemma 1).

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \pi_1(C^2 - C, *) \longrightarrow \pi_1(P^2 - \bar{C}, *) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Hence, the following isomorphism follows

$$[\pi_1(C^2 - C, *), \pi_1(C^2 - C, *)] \cong [\pi_1(P^2 - \bar{C}, *), \pi_1(P^2 - \bar{C}, *)].$$

By using Proposition 2.1, we have $\dim H^1(X^{ab}; C) = 2q$.

Thus, we have the following isomorphisms.

$$H^1(X^{ab}; C) \cong \bigoplus_{0 < k \leq n-1} H^1(X_n; C) \cong \bigoplus_{0 < k \leq n-1} H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^j(*C), \mathcal{V}_{k/n}).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

3. Discussion and examples. Let ρ_α be the representation of $\pi_1(C^2 - C, *)$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \rho_\alpha : \pi_1(C^2 - C, *) & \longrightarrow & H_1(C^2 - C; \mathcal{Z}) & \longrightarrow & C^* \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow \Psi \\ & & \mathcal{Z} \ni 1 & \longrightarrow & \exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha. \end{array}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{V}(\alpha)$ the flat vector bundle associated with the representation ρ_α . We have an isomorphism

$$H^j(\Omega_{C^2}^j(*C), \mathcal{V}_a) \cong H^j(X; \mathcal{V}(\alpha)).$$

Hence, our theorem can also be formulated by using $\mathcal{V}(\alpha)$.

In [4] we prove that $h_\alpha = 0$ if $\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\alpha$ is not one of the eigenvalues of the Milnor monodromies at the singular points of C . In particular, if we assume that C possesses only cusps and nodes as singularities, $\bigoplus_{0 < \alpha < 1} H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^j(*C), \mathcal{V}_a)$ is isomorphic to

$$H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^j(*C), \mathcal{V}_{1/6}) \oplus H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^j(*C), \mathcal{V}_{-1/6}).$$

The Alexander polynomial of C is $(t^2 - t + 1)^q$, where q is the irregu-

larity in the sense of the previous section. We have

$$q \leq \text{the number of cusps.}$$

For the proof of these statements see [4].

In [10], Zariski studies the irregularity by means of linear systems. In our point of view $q = \dim H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^1(*C), \mathcal{V}_{1/6})$.

Let $\chi(X)$ be the Euler characteristic of X . We have

$$\dim H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^1(*C), \mathcal{V}_\alpha) - \dim H^2(\Omega_{C^2}^1(*C), \mathcal{V}_\alpha) = \chi(X) \quad \text{for any } \alpha.$$

In particular, if we assume that C has only cusps and nodes as singularities, we have

$$\dim H^2(\Omega_{C^2}^1(*C), \mathcal{V}_\alpha) = \begin{cases} \chi(X) & \text{if } \alpha \equiv \pm 1/6 \pmod{Z} \\ \chi(X) + q & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 3.1. Let X be the complement of the curve defined by $x^2 - y^3 = 0$ in C^2 . We have $\dim H^1(X^{ab}; C) = 2$ and $H^1(X^{ab}; C)$ is isomorphic to

$$H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^1(*C), \mathcal{V}_{1/6}) \oplus H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^1(*C), \mathcal{V}_{-1/6})$$

and is represented by the differential forms $\omega_1 = (x^2 - y^3)^{-1}(-(y/3)dx + (x/2)dy)$, $\omega_2 = y\omega_1$.

Example 3.2. Let C be the curve defined by

$$f = (x^2 + y^2)^3 + (y^3 + 1)^2 = 0 \quad (\text{see [9]}).$$

Then, $H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^1(*C), \mathcal{V}_{1/6})$ and $H^1(\Omega_{C^2}^1(*C), \mathcal{V}_{-1/6})$ have dimension 1 and are generated respectively by $\omega_1 = f^{-1}(x(y^3 + 1)dx + (y(y^3 + 1) - y^2(x^2 + y^2))dy)$ and $\omega_2 = (y^3 + 1)\omega_1$. The Alexander polynomial is $t^2 - t + 1$.

References

- [1] Deligne, P.: Equations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers III. Lect. Note in Math., vol. 163, Springer (1970).
- [2] Grothendieck, A.: On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **29**, 95-103 (1966).
- [3] Kohno, T.: Differential forms and the fundamental group of the complement of hypersurfaces (to appear in Proc. of pure Math., A.M.S.).
- [4] —: Etude algébrique du groupe fondamental du complément des hypersurfaces et problèmes de $K(\pi, 1)$. Thèse de 3ème Cycle, Université Paris VII (1982).
- [5] Libgober, A.: Alexander polynomials of plane algebraic curves (1981) (preprint).
- [6] Oka, M.: On the fundamental group of the complement of a reducible curve in P^2 . J. London Math. Soc., **12**, 239-252 (1976).
- [7] Randell, R.: Some topology of Zariski surfaces. Lect. Note in Math., vol. 788, Springer, pp. 145-165 (1979).
- [8] Sullivan, D.: Infinitesimal computations in topology. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **47**, 269-331 (1977).
- [9] Zariski, O.: On the existence of algebraic functions of two variables possessing a given branched curve. Amer. J. Math., **51**, 305-328 (1929).
- [10] —: On the irregularity of cyclic multiple planes. Ann. of Math., **32**, 131-141 (1931).