

117. An Estimate of the Roots of b -Functions by Newton Polyhedra

By Mitsuo KATO

Department of Mathematics, College of Science,
University of the Ryukyus

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1981)

Introduction. In this note we give an estimate of the roots of b -functions of certain isolated singularities (Theorem 4.4).

The theory of b -functions and the proof given here are based on Yano [5]. In the real analytic case, the same estimate is given in Varchenko [4].

The author is grateful to Dr. Tamaki Yano for many valuable advices.

§ 1. Let \mathcal{O} be the set of germs of holomorphic functions at the origin O of C^{n+1} , $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{O}[\partial/\partial x_0, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n]$, $B_{p_i} = D\delta$ where δ is the δ -function.

For any $f \in \mathcal{O}$, there exist $P(s) \in \mathcal{D}[s]$, $b(s) \in C[s]$ such that $P(s)f^{s+1} = b(s)f^s$ (Bernstein [1], Björk [2]). These $b(s)$ form an ideal and the generator of the ideal is called the b -function of f and denoted by $b_f(s)$. If $f(0) = 0$, $b_f(s)$ is divided by $s+1$ and we put $\tilde{b}_f(s) = b_f(s)/(s+1)$. $\mathcal{G}_f(s) = \{P(s) \in \mathcal{D}[s] : P(s)f^s = 0\}$.

Let $\Gamma_+(f)$ be the Newton polyhedron of f and $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ the set of all the n -dimensional faces of $\Gamma_+(f)$ not contained in $\{x : \prod_{i=0}^n x_i = 0\}$, $\gamma_k = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) : \sum d_{k,i}x_i = 1\}$. Then $d_k(x_i) = d_{k,i}$ defines a degree on \mathcal{O} , and we put $X_k = \sum d_{k,i}x_i\partial/\partial x_i$.

§ 2. From now on we assume that $f \in \mathcal{O}$ ($f(0) = 0$) has an isolated singularity and is nondegenerate with respect to $\Gamma_+(f)$.

2.1. Theorem (Kashiwara-Yano). α is a root of $\tilde{b}_f(s)$ if and only if there exists a nonzero element Δ of B_{p_i} satisfying the following two conditions:

$$(2.1.1) \quad f(x)\Delta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial f/\partial x_i \Delta = 0, \quad i=0, \dots, n,$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad \text{for any } P(s) \in \mathcal{G}_f(s), \quad P(\alpha)\Delta = 0.$$

2.2. Theorem (Teissier [3]). For any ideal I of \mathcal{O} , there exists $\nu_0 \in N$ such that, for any $\nu \in N$, $\bar{I}^{\nu+\nu_0} = I^\nu \cdot \bar{I}^{\nu_0}$, where \bar{I} denotes the integral closure of I .

2.3. Proposition. Let $I = (x_0\partial f/\partial x_0, \dots, x_n\partial f/\partial x_n)\mathcal{O}$. For any $\nu \in N$ and $g \in \mathcal{O}$, $g \in \bar{I}^\nu$ if and only if $d_k(g) \geq \nu$, $k=1, \dots, m$.

§ 3. Construction of an operator $P(s) \in \mathcal{G}_f(s)$. An element of $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s$ is uniquely expressed as a finite sum $\sum_i a_i(x)f^i$, $a_i \in \mathcal{O}$, f^i

$$=s(s-1)\cdots(s-i+1)f^{s-i}, f[0]=f^s.$$

3.1. Definition. $d_k(\sum a_i(x)f[i])=\inf \{d_k(a_i)-i\}, k=1, \dots, m.$

3.2. Proposition. *If $d_k(\sum a_i f[i])=d < \infty$, then we have the inequality $d_k((s+d-X_k)(\sum a_i f[i])) > d.$*

Proof. By 3.1, $d_k(a_i) \geq d+i$, and we let a_i^* be the d_k -homogeneous part of a_i of degree $d+i$.

$$(3.2.1) \quad (s-X_k)(\sum a_i f[i])=\sum \{a_i(f-X_k f)f[i+1]+(i-X_k)a_i f[i]\}.$$

Since $d_k(f-X_k f) > 1$, the d_k -homogeneous part of (3.2.1) of degree d is $\sum (i-X_k)a_i^* f[i] = -d \sum a_i^* f[i]$. Thus the d_k -homogeneous part of $(s+d-X_k)(\sum a_i f[i])$ of degree d is zero.

3.3. Let $I=(x_0 \partial f / \partial x_0, \dots, x_n \partial f / \partial x_n) \mathcal{O}$ and let $\nu_0 \in N$ have the property of Theorem 2.2.

We put $P_0(s)=1$, and for $k \geq 1$, we define $P_k(s)$ inductively:

$$(3.3.1) \quad P_k(s)=(s+d_{k'}(P_{k-1} f^s)-X_{k'})P_{k-1},$$

where k' is such an integer that $1 \leq k' \leq m$ and $k' \equiv k \pmod m$.

Choose $N \in N$ so that

$$(3.3.2) \quad d_k(P_N f^s) \geq \nu_0, \quad k=1, \dots, m.$$

Let

$$(3.3.3) \quad P_N f^s = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i f[i].$$

Then (3.3.2) implies

$$(3.3.4) \quad d_k(a_i) \geq \nu_0 + i, \quad i=1, \dots, N, \quad k=1, \dots, m,$$

or equivalently

$$(3.3.4)' \quad a_i \in I^i \cdot \bar{I}^{\nu_0}, \quad i=1, \dots, N.$$

Let

$$(3.3.5) \quad a_N = \sum_{|J|=N} u_J(x)(x_i \partial f / \partial x_i)^J, \quad u_J \in \bar{I}^{\nu_0}.$$

Put

$$(3.3.6) \quad P'_N = P_N - \sum_{|J|=N} u_J(x)(x_i \partial / \partial x_i)^J.$$

Then

$$(3.3.7) \quad P'_N f^s = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a'_i(x) f[i], \quad a'_i \in I^i \cdot \bar{I}^{\nu_0}.$$

Continuing the same reduction N times, we get an operator $P(s) \in \mathcal{G}_f(s)$ of the form:

$$(3.3.8) \quad P(s) = P_N(s) - \sum_{|J| \leq N} u_J(x)(x_i \partial / \partial x_i)^J, \quad u_J \in \bar{I}^{\nu_0}.$$

§ 4. An estimation of roots of $\bar{b}_f(s)$. **4.1. Lemma.** *Let $P \in \mathcal{D}$, $\Delta \in B_{p_t}$, $P\Delta=0$, and let d be a degree on \mathcal{D} , $B_{p_t}(d(\delta)=0)$. Let P^*, Δ^* be, respectively, the homogeneous parts of P, Δ of the lowest degrees. Then we have $P^* \Delta^* = 0$.*

4.2. Lemma. *Assume that $\Delta \in B_{p_t}$ is homogeneous with respect to \bar{d}_k , then $X_k \Delta = (d_k(\Delta) - \sum_i d_k(x_i)) \Delta$.*

4.3. Let α be a root of $\bar{b}_f(s)$ and let $P(s) \in \mathcal{G}_f(s)$ be that of (3.3.8). By Theorem 2.1, there exists $\Delta \in B_{p_t}$ with the properties (2.1.1), (2.1.2). In particular $P(\alpha)\Delta=0$.

Recall that P_N is the homogeneous part of P of degree 0 with respect to all d_k and $d_k(P-P_N) \geq \nu_0$.

Let Δ_k be the d_k -homogeneous part of Δ_{k-1} of the lowest degree, $\Delta_0 = \Delta$. Then by 4.1, $P_N \Delta_k = 0$ for $k \geq 1$.

Since Δ_m is homogeneous with respect to all d_k , we have, by 4.2,

$$(4.3.1) \quad P_N(\alpha)\Delta_m = \prod_{k=1}^N (\alpha + d'_k(P_{k-1}f^s) - d'_k(\Delta_m) + \sum_i d'_k(x_i))\Delta_m.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(4.3.2) \quad -\alpha \geq \sum_i d_k(x_i), \quad k=1, \dots, m.$$

4.4. Theorem. *Assume that $f \in \mathcal{O}$ has an isolated singularity and is nondegenerate with respect to $\Gamma_+(f)$. Put $t_0 = \inf \{t : (t, \dots, t) \in \Gamma_+(f)\}$. Then, for any root α of $\tilde{b}_f(s)$, we have $-\alpha \geq t_0^{-1}$.*

Proof. t_0^{-1} is equal to $\inf_k \{\sum_i d_k(x_i)\}$ and (4.3.2) proves the theorem.

References

- [1] I. N. Bernstein: Analytic continuation of generalized functions with respect to a parameter. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **6**, 273–285 (1972).
- [2] J. E. Björk: *Rings of Differential Operators*. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1979).
- [3] B. Teissier: Cycles évanescents, sections planes et conditions de Whitney. *Astérisque* 7 et 8 (1973).
- [4] A. N. Varchenko: Newton polyhedra and estimation of oscillating integrals. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **10**(3), 175–196 (1976).
- [5] T. Yano: On the theory of b -functions. *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **14**(1), 111–202 (1978).