

## 7. Scattering Techniques in Transmutation and some Connection Formulas for Special Functions

By Robert CARROLL\*) and John E. GILBERT\*\*)

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1981)

1. Introduction. Fadeev in [11] develops a technique for displaying certain operators of interest in scattering theory in terms of transmutations; this allows one to give an essentially unified derivation of the Gelfand-Levitan and Marčenko equations (which is generalized in Carroll [6]). In particular the link between the Gelfand-Levitan and Marčenko equations is shown in [11] to be a certain transmutation operator  $\tilde{U}$  and in this article we determine the natural generalization  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  (or  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ ) of  $\tilde{U}$  in the transmutation framework of Carroll [2]–[5]; then, in a context based on harmonic analysis in rank one noncompact symmetric spaces, we show how the use of such operators  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  provides a transmutation meaning and abstract derivation for various types of formulas connecting special functions with integrals of Riemann-Liouville and Weyl type (cf. Flensted-Jensen [12], Koornwinder [13], Askey-Fitch [1], Chao [8]). One particular feature of  $\tilde{U}$  which relates Riemann-Liouville and Weyl type integrals in the relation  $\tilde{U}=(U^{-1})^*$  for a basic transmutation operator  $U$  and this provides complementary types of triangular kernels (cf. here Erdélyi [10] for a related use of adjointness). In our more general framework adjointness plays a different role but we obtain similar triangularity results for the analogous  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  by other methods (Theorem 2.1). The details will appear in [7].

2. Basic constructions. We will work with differential operators of the form  $P(D)u=(Au)'/A$  where  $A(x)$  will have properties modeled on  $P(D)$  being the radial Laplace-Beltrami operator on a noncompact Riemannian symmetric space of rank one (cf. [9], [12], [13] for details). Let  $\varphi_\lambda^P(t)$  be a "spherical function" satisfying  $P(D)\varphi_\lambda^P = (-\lambda^2 - \rho^2)\varphi_\lambda^P$ ,  $\varphi_\lambda^P(0)=1$ , and  $D_t\varphi_\lambda^P(0)=0$ , where  $\rho = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (1/2)A'/A$  at  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\varphi_\lambda(t) = \varphi_\lambda^P(t) \sim H(t, \mu)$  for  $\mu = -\lambda^2$  and  $\hat{P} = P + \rho^2$  (notation of [2]–[5]). We set  $\Omega(x, \mu) = \Omega_\lambda(x) = \Omega_\lambda^P(x) = \Delta_P(x)\varphi_\lambda^P(x)$  where  $\Delta_P(x) = A(x)$  for  $P(D)$ . Then  $\hat{P}^*(D)\Omega_\lambda^P = \mu\Omega_\lambda^P$  where  $P^*(D)\psi = [A(\psi/A)']'$  denotes the formal adjoint of  $P(D)$ . A typical example of  $\Delta_P(x)$  here is  $\Delta_P(x) = \Delta_{\alpha\beta}(x) = (e^x - e^{-x})^{2\alpha+1}(e^x + e^{-x})^{2\beta+1}$  with  $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$  in which

---

\*) University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana.

\*\*) University of Texas at Austin.

case the spherical functions  $\varphi_i^P(x)$  are Jacobi functions of the first kind  $\varphi_i^{\alpha\beta}(x) = F(2^{-1}(\rho + i\lambda), 2^{-1}(\rho - i\lambda), \alpha + 1, -sh^2x)$  (cf. [13]). A second solution of  $\hat{P}(D)\psi = \mu\psi$  in this situation is given by the function  $\Phi_i^{\alpha\beta}(x) = \bar{\Phi}_i^P(x) = (e^x - e^{-x})^{i\lambda - \rho} F(2^{-1}(\beta - \alpha + 1 - i\lambda), 2^{-1}(\beta + \alpha + 1 - i\lambda), 1 - i\lambda, -sh^{-2}x)$  which is called a Jacobi function of the second kind and which we shall refer to as a Jost solution (cf. [7], [11]). Indeed one has  $\Phi_i^P(x) \sim \exp(i\lambda - \rho)x$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varphi_i(x) = c(\lambda)\Phi_i(x) + c(-\lambda)\Phi_{-i}(x)$  where  $c(\lambda) = c_P(\lambda)$  is the Harish-Chandra function (which corresponds essentially here to the Jost function of physics). A related example in [12] involves  $\Delta_P(x) = \Delta^{p,q}(x) = (e^x - e^{-x})^p (e^{2x} - e^{-2x})^q$ . Analyticity and growth properties of  $\varphi_\lambda$  and  $\Phi_\lambda$  can be found in [12], [13].

We will assume our operators  $P(D)$  are of a type where  $A(x) \sim \Delta_{\alpha\beta}(x)$  or  $\Delta^{p,q}(x)$  and suitable analyticity and growth properties are valid (cf. also [9]). Now recall the notation of [2], [4], [5] which we modify slightly in writing

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{P}f(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty f(x)\varphi_i^P(x)\Delta_P(x)dx$$

and

$$f(x) = \mathbf{P}\hat{f}(x) = \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(\lambda)\varphi_i^P(x)d\nu_P(\lambda)$$

where  $d\nu(\lambda) = d\nu_P(\lambda) = d\lambda/2\pi|c_P(\lambda)|^2$  (we will write  $\mathfrak{P}f(\lambda) = \langle f(x), \Omega_i^P(x) \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{P}\hat{f}(x) = \langle \hat{f}(\lambda), \varphi_i^P(x) \rangle_\nu$ ). Similar transformations are defined relative to another operator  $Q(D)$  as above in the form

$$\tilde{g}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{Q}g(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty g(x)\varphi_i^Q(x)\Delta_Q(x)dx \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{Q} = \mathfrak{Q}^{-1};$$

we will write  $d\omega_Q(\lambda) = d\omega(\lambda) = d\lambda/2\pi|c_Q(\lambda)|^2$ . Let us also define

$$\tilde{h}(\lambda) = \mathcal{P}h(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty h(x)\varphi_i^P(x)dx, \quad \mathbf{P}\tilde{h}(x) = \mathcal{P}^{-1}\tilde{h}(x) = \int_0^\infty \tilde{h}(\lambda)\varphi_i^P(x)\Delta_P(x)d\nu,$$

with corresponding maps  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^{-1}$ , while we set  $\mathcal{P}F(x) = \langle F(\lambda), \varphi_i^P(x) \rangle_\nu$  and  $\mathcal{E}G(x) = \langle G(\lambda), \varphi_i^Q(x) \rangle_\nu$ . Note that

$$\delta_P(x) = \delta(x)/\Delta_P(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_i^P(x)d\nu$$

with  $\hat{\delta}_P(\lambda) = 1$ . A framework of spaces and maps is developed in [2], [4], [5] and we refer to [7] for details. Transmutation operators  $B$  and  $\mathcal{B} = B^{-1}$  satisfying  $B\hat{P} = \hat{Q}B$  and  $\mathcal{B}\hat{Q} = \hat{P}\mathcal{B}$  are constructed in the form  $B = \mathcal{E}\mathfrak{P}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{Q}$  where  $B^* = \mathbf{P}\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}^* = \mathbf{Q}\mathcal{P}$ , and  $\mathcal{E}^{-1} = \mathfrak{P}\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{Q}$ ; one says  $B: \hat{P} \rightarrow \hat{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{B}: \hat{Q} \rightarrow \hat{P}$  where we have set  $\hat{P}u = Pu + \rho_P^2u$  and  $\hat{Q}u = Qu + \rho_Q^2u$ . The operators  $B$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  have kernel expressions  $Bf(y) = \langle \beta(y, x), f(x) \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{B}g(x) = \langle \gamma(x, y), g(y) \rangle$  where  $\beta(y, x) = \langle \Omega_i^P(x), \varphi_i^Q(y) \rangle_\nu$  and  $\gamma(x, y) = \langle \varphi_i^P(x), \Omega_i^Q(y) \rangle_\nu$ .

Let now  $W(\lambda) = |c_Q(\lambda)/c_P(\lambda)|^2$  so that  $d\nu_P = W(\lambda)d\omega_Q$ . One knows that  $\varphi_i^P = \mathcal{B}\varphi_i^Q$  and one defines now  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathbf{P}\mathfrak{Q}$  so that  $W(\lambda)\varphi_i^P = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}\varphi_i^Q$  (which follows the spirit of [11]). Then setting  $W^x = \mathbf{Q}W(\lambda)\mathfrak{Q}$ , we have

**Theorem 2.1.**  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$  is a transmutation  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\hat{Q} = \hat{P}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $W(\lambda)\varphi_i^P = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}\varphi_i^Q$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}W^x$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}g(x) = \langle \tilde{\gamma}(x, y), g(y) \rangle$  where  $\tilde{\gamma}(x, y) = \langle \varphi_i^P(x), \Omega_i^Q(y) \rangle$ ,  $= \Delta_Q(y)\Delta_P^{-1}(x)\beta(y, x)$ ,  $\gamma(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}'_y$  with  $\gamma(x, y) = 0$  for  $y > x$ , and  $\tilde{\gamma}(\cdot, y)\Delta_P(\cdot)\Delta_Q^{-1}(y) = \beta(y, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}'_x$  with  $\tilde{\gamma}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x > y$ .

The triangularity proof involves writing  $\varphi_i^P(y) = \mathcal{B}\varphi_i^Q(y) = \Pi\mathcal{Q}\varphi_i^Q(y) = \mathcal{Q}\gamma(y, \cdot)(\lambda) = \mathcal{Q}[\gamma(y, \cdot)/\Delta_Q(\cdot)](\lambda)$ . Similarly from  $W(\lambda)\varphi_i^P(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}\varphi_i^Q(x)$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$  we get  $\tilde{\gamma}(x, y)/\Delta_Q(y) = \mathcal{Q}[W(\lambda)\varphi_i^P(x)](y) = \mathcal{P}[\varphi_i^Q(y)](x)$  so that  $\varphi_i^Q(y) = \mathcal{P}[\tilde{\gamma}(\cdot, y)/\Delta_Q(y)](\lambda)$ . Then the Paley-Wiener theorem can be used.

In the case where  $P \sim \Delta_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $Q \sim \Delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta+\mu}$  some formulas in [13] based on known relations between hypergeometric functions can be recast to produce

**Theorem 2.2.** For  $P \sim \Delta_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $Q \sim \Delta_{\alpha+\mu, \beta+\mu}$  one has

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{B}}\left(\frac{\Phi_i^Q(y)}{c_Q(-\lambda)}\right) = \frac{\Phi_i^P(x)}{c_P(-\lambda)}.$$

**3. Connection formulas.** For various reasons (mainly to avoid distribution kernels) we take now  $P = D^2$  and  $Q \sim \Delta_Q$  as before (instead of  $Q = D^2$  as in [5] or [11]). Thus  $\varphi_i^P(t) = \text{Cos } \lambda t$ ,  $\Phi_i^Q(t) = e^{i\lambda t}$ ,  $\Delta_P = 1$ , and  $c_P(\lambda) = 1/2$ . We will write kernels for this situation as  $\beta_Q(y, x)$ ,  $\gamma_Q(x, y)$ , etc. First using complex variable arguments modeled on [11] (with no recourse to properties of hypergeometric functions) one proves a direct generalization of a formula of [11] in the form

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $Q \sim \Delta_Q$  we have

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{e^{i\lambda x}}{1/2} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}\left(\frac{\Phi_i^Q(y)}{c_Q(-\lambda)}\right)(x).$$

This is a special case of Theorem 2.2 but the demonstration is "abstract". A (different) abstract proof of Theorem 2.2 can also be produced. Further in this context it is natural to utilize the operator  $\hat{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$  so that  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{B}W^x = I$ ,  $\mathcal{B}^* = \Delta_Q(y)\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ , and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}f(y) = \langle \hat{\beta}_Q(y, x), f(x) \rangle$  with  $\hat{\beta}_Q(y, x) = \langle \varphi_i^Q(y), \text{Cos } \lambda x \rangle = 0$  for  $y > x$ .

Note that  $\hat{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}$  is defined quite generally; note also that since we have reversed the position of  $D^2$  from [11] it is  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  which corresponds to  $\tilde{U}$  here. Thus (3.1) holds and  $\tilde{\gamma}_Q(x, y) = \Delta_Q(y)\beta_Q(y, x)$ . From [4], [5], [14] we now know  $\mathcal{P}f = \mathcal{Q}\tilde{f}$  for  $\tilde{f} = \mathcal{B}^*f$  and  $\mathcal{P}\check{g} = \mathcal{Q}g$  for  $\check{g} = \mathcal{B}^*g$ . In the present context we have  $\mathcal{B}^*[\Delta_Q f] = \hat{\mathcal{B}}f$  and  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}^*[\Delta_Q f](x) = \mathcal{Q}[\Delta_Q f](x) = \mathcal{Q}f(x)$ . Hence ( $Q \sim \Delta_{\alpha\beta}$ ) and, referring to [13] for  $F_Q$ , we obtain

**Theorem 3.2.**  $F_Q[f](x) = \mathcal{B}^*[\Delta_Q f](x)$  and  $\mathcal{P}F_Q[f] = \mathcal{Q}f$ .

Another set of formulas in [13] use Weyl type integrals  $W_\mu^\sigma$ . We can represent  $W_{\beta+1/2}^2$  as a transmutation  $W_{\beta+1/2}^2 = \Gamma(\alpha+1)\tilde{\mathcal{B}}/2^{3\beta+3/2}\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1/2)$  where, in an obvious notation,  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}: (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha-\beta-1/2, -1/2)$ . Similarly  $W_{\alpha-\beta}^1 = \sqrt{\pi}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}'/2^{3(\alpha-\beta)}\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+1/2)$  where  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}': (\alpha-\beta-1/2, -1/2) \rightarrow (-1/2, -1/2)$ . Then for  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_Q: (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (-1/2, -1/2)$  as in Theorem

3.2 (i.e.  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_q f = B^*[\Delta_q f]$ ,  $(-1/2, -1/2) \sim D^2$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta) \sim Q$ ) the formula  $F_{\alpha\beta} = 2^{3\alpha+3/2} W_{\alpha-\beta}^1 \circ W_{\beta+1/2}^2$  of [13] is equivalent to

**Theorem 3.3.** *The operator  $F_q[f] = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_q f$  can be factored as*

$$(3.2) \quad F_q = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+1/2)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+3/2)} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}' \circ \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$$

for  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}'$  as indicated.

### References

- [ 1 ] R. Askey and J. Fitch: Integral representations for Jacobi polynomials and some applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **26**, 411–437 (1969).
- [ 2 ] R. Carroll: Transmutation and operator differential equations. *Notas de Matematica*, vol. 67, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1979).
- [ 3 ] —: Transmutation and separation of variables. *Applicable Anal.*, **8**, 253–263 (1979).
- [ 4 ] —: Some remarks on transmutation. *ibid.*, **9**, 291–294 (1979).
- [ 5 ] —: Transmutation, generalized translation, and transform theory, I and II (to appear).
- [ 6 ] —: Remarks on the Gelfand-Levitan and Marčenko equations (to appear in *Applicable Anal.*).
- [ 7 ] R. Carroll and J. Gilbert: Some remarks on transmutation, scattering theory, and special functions (to appear).
- [ 8 ] M. Chao: Harmonic analysis of a second order singular differential operator associated with noncompact semisimple rank one Lie groups. Thesis, Washington University (1976).
- [ 9 ] H. Chebli: Théorème de Paley-Wiener associé à un opérateur différentiel singulier sur  $(0, \infty)$ . *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **58**, 1–19 (1979).
- [10] A. Erdélyi: Fractional integrals of generalized functions. *Lect. Notes in Math.*, vol. 457, Springer, pp. 151–170 (1975).
- [11] L. Fadeev: The inverse problem of quantum scattering theory. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.*, **14**, 57–119 (1959).
- [12] M. Flensted-Jensen: Paley-Wiener type theorems for a differential operator connected with symmetric spaces. *Ark. Mat.*, **10**, 143–162 (1972).
- [13] T. Koornwinder: A new proof of a Paley-Wiener type theorem for the Jacobi transform. *ibid.*, **13**, 145–159 (1975).
- [14] V. Marčenko: Sturm-Liouville operators and their applications. *Izd. Nauk. Dumka, Kiev* (1977).