

55. A Remark on the Completeness of the Bergman Metric

By Takeo OHSAWA*)

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences,
Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., April 13, 1981)

§ 1. Introduction. The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem by modifying the argument in a recent work of P. Pflug (cf. [4]).

Theorem 1. *Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n with a C^1 -smooth boundary. Then D is complete with respect to*

$$d_D := \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\partial^2 \log K(z; D)}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} dz^\alpha d\bar{z}^\beta.$$

Here we put $z = (z^1, \dots, z^n)$ and denote by $K(z; D)$ the Bergman kernel function of D .

The metric d_D was first introduced by S. Bergman [1], and S. Kobayashi [2] asked "Which bounded domain (of holomorphy) in \mathbb{C}^n is complete with respect to d_D ?"

The author is grateful to Prof. P. Pflug who informed him of his very interesting result.

§ 2. Preliminaries. We put

$$K(z, w; D) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(z) \overline{f_i(w)},$$

where $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_h^2(D) := \{f; \text{holomorphic, square integrable on } D\}$.

Lemma 1 (cf. Lemma 3 in [4, IV]). *Assume the sequence $\{z_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \subset D$ to be a Cauchy-sequence with respect to d_D . Then there exist a subsequence $\{z_{\nu(u)}\}_{u=1}^{\infty}$ and real numbers θ_u such that the sequence*

$$\left\{ \frac{K(\cdot, z_{\nu(u)}; D)}{K(z_{\nu(u)}, z_{\nu(u)}; D)^{1/2}} e^{i\theta_u} \right\}_{u=1}^{\infty}$$

is a Cauchy-sequence in $L_h^2(D)$ whose members are all of modulus one.

From Lemma 1 we can deduce the following

Lemma 2. *Assume that d_D is not complete. Then there is a sequence $\{z_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \subset D$ converging to a point $z^* \in \partial D$, such that*

$$\lim_{\min\{\nu, \mu\} \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{|K(z_\nu, z_\mu; D)|}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; D)^{1/2} K(z_\mu, z_\mu; D)^{1/2}} \right) = 0.$$

Proof. We only have to note that

$$\left(\frac{K(\cdot, z_\nu; D)}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; D)^{1/2}}, \frac{K(\cdot, z_\mu; D)}{K(z_\mu, z_\mu; D)^{1/2}} \right) = \frac{K(z_\mu, z_\nu; D)}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; D)^{1/2} K(z_\mu, z_\mu; D)^{1/2}}.$$

*) The author was supported by Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation.

Let us consider the following condition :

(*) $K(z, z; D)$ is exhausting and $H^\infty(D) := \{f; \text{holomorphic, bounded on } D\}$ is dense in $L^2_h(D)$.

Theorem 2 (cf. the proof of Theorem in [4, IV]). *If D satisfies (*), then there is no sequence $\{z_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ as in Lemma 2.*

We also need the following proposition which is a corollary to Satz 1 in [3].

Proposition 1. *If D is an intersection of domains with C^1 -smooth boundaries, then $K(z, z; D)$ is exhausting.*

§ 3. Localization of the problem. We prove the following

Lemma 3. *Assume that for any point $z^* \in \partial D$, there is a neighbourhood U such that $U \cap D$ satisfies (*). Then d_D is complete.*

Proof. If d_D were not complete, then by Lemma 2 there would exist a sequence $\{z_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty \subset D$ converging to a point $z^* \in \partial D$, such that

$$\lim_{\min\{\nu, \mu\} \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{|K(z_\nu, z_\mu; D)|}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; D)^{1/2} K(z_\mu, z_\mu; D)^{1/2}} \right) = 0.$$

By the definition of $K(z, z; D)$, this implies that for any positive number ϵ there exists an integer N such that, for any $\nu, \mu > N$, we can find no square integrable holomorphic function f satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \int_D |f|^2 dv = 1, \\ f(z_\nu) = 0, \\ |f(z_\mu)| > \epsilon \frac{|K(z_\mu, z_\nu; D)|}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; D)^{1/2}}, \end{cases}$$

where dv denotes the Lebesgue measure. On the other hand, there exists a neighbourhood U of z^* such that $U \cap D$ satisfies (*). Thus, by Theorem 2, there exists a positive number δ such that, for any choice of the above ϵ and N , we can find $\nu, \mu > N$ such that

$$\frac{|K(z_\nu, z_\nu; U \cap D)|}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; U \cap D)^{1/2} K(z_\mu, z_\mu; U \cap D)^{1/2}} > 1 - \delta.$$

We put

$$a_{\nu\mu} = \frac{K(z_\nu, z_\mu; U \cap D)}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; U \cap D)^{1/2} K(z_\mu, z_\mu; U \cap D)^{1/2}}$$

and

$$f_\nu = \frac{K(\cdot, z_\nu; U \cap D)}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; U \cap D)^{1/2}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{cases} f_\mu(z_\nu) - a_{\nu\mu} f_\nu(z_\nu) = 0 \\ |f_\mu(z_\mu) - a_{\nu\mu} f_\nu(z_\mu)| \geq (1 - |a_{\nu\mu}|^2) |f_\mu(z_\mu)|. \end{cases}$$

By a standard method*) we can find a square integrable holomorphic function $h_{\nu\mu}$ on D such that

*) L^2 estimate of $\bar{\partial}$ with weight $\exp(-n \log \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |z^\alpha - z_\nu^\alpha|^2 - n \log \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |z^\alpha - z_\mu^\alpha|^2)$.

$$\begin{cases} \int_D |h_{\nu\mu}|^2 dv \leq K \int_D |f_\mu - \alpha_{\nu\mu} f_\nu|^2 dv, \\ h_{\nu\mu}(z_\nu) = 0, \\ h_{\nu\mu}(z_\mu) = f_\mu(z_\mu) - \alpha_{\nu\mu} f_\nu(z_\mu), \end{cases}$$

where K is a constant which does not depend on ν nor μ . Thus, choosing ε sufficiently small, we get a contradiction.

§ 4. Proof of Theorem 1. In virtue of Lemma 3, we only have to find, for any point $z^* \in \partial D$, a neighbourhood U such that $U \cap D$ satisfies the condition (*). Since the boundary of D is C^1 -smooth, we can take a ball B in D such that $\bar{B} \cap \partial D = \{z^*\}$. To obtain a required neighbourhood U of z , we only need to slide B slightly in the direction of the outer normal of ∂D at z^* . That $K(z, z; U \cap D)$ is exhausting follows from Proposition 1, and that $H^\infty(U \cap D)$ is dense in $L_h^2(U \cap D)$ follows from the existence of the homothetic transformation $f(z) \rightarrow f(az)$ ($|a| < 1$) on $L_h^2(U \cap D)$, where the center of U is taken to be the origin. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- [1] Bergman, S.: The kernel function and the conformal mapping. Math. Surveys, no. 5 (1950).
- [2] Kobayashi, S.: Geometry of bounded domains. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **92**, 267–289 (1959).
- [3] Pflug, P.: Quadratintegrable holomorphe Funktionen und die Serre-Vermutung. Math. Ann., **216**, 285–288 (1975).
- [4] —: Various applications of the existence of well growing holomorphic functions (to appear in Progress in Math.).