

32. An Approximate Positive Part of Essentially Self-Adjoint Pseudo-Differential Operators. II

By Daisuke FUJIWARA

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., March 12, 1981)

§ 1. **Introduction.** Let $a(x, \xi)$ be a real valued symbol function belonging to the class $S_{10}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of Hörmander [2], that is, for any pair of multi-indices α and β , we have

$$\sup (1 + |\xi|^2)^{(|\beta| - 1)/2} |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| < \infty,$$

where we used usual multi-index notation. As the continuation of the previous note [1], we treat the Weyl quantization $a^w(x, D)$ of it, which is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad a^w(x, D)u(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} u(y) dy d\xi.$$

Cf. Weyl [6], Voros [5], and Hörmander [3].

Let (\cdot, \cdot) and $\|\cdot\|$ denote the inner product and the norm, respectively, in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In the previous note, we reported the following

Theorem 1. *Let ε be an arbitrary small positive number. Then, using the symbol function $a(x, \xi)$, we can construct three bounded linear operators π^+ , π^- and R in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the following properties:*

- 1) *Both π^+ and π^- are non-negative symmetric operators.*
- 2) *There exists a positive constant C such that we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re}(\pi^+ a^w(x, D)u, u) \geq -C\|u\|^2$$

$$(1.3) \quad -\operatorname{Re}(\pi^- a^w(x, D)u, u) \geq -C\|u\|^2$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- 3) $\pi^+ + \pi^- = I + R$, $\|R\| < \varepsilon$, and
 $\|a^w(x, D)R\| < \infty$, $\|R a^w(x, D)\| < \infty$.

Let

$$\mathcal{C}^+(a) = \{(x, \xi) | a(x, \xi) \geq 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^-(a) = \{(x, \xi) | a(x, \xi) \leq 0\}.$$

We call $\mathcal{C}^0(a) = \mathcal{C}^+(a) \cap \mathcal{C}^-(a)$ the characteristic set of a . The aim of this note is to show the following

Theorem 2. *Let $a(x, \xi)$ and $p(x, \xi)$ be two real valued functions in $S_{10}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose the following two conditions hold:*

$$(A) \quad \mathcal{C}^+(a) \subset \mathcal{C}^+(p), \quad \mathcal{C}^-(a) \subset \mathcal{C}^-(p).$$

(B) *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(1.4) \quad |\operatorname{grad}_x p(x, \xi)| \leq C |\operatorname{grad}_x a(x, \xi)|$$

$$(1.5) \quad |\operatorname{grad}_\xi p(x, \xi)| \leq C |\operatorname{grad}_\xi a(x, \xi)|$$

at every $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}^0(a)$. Let π^+, π^- and R be the linear operators constructed for $a^w(x, D)$ in Theorem 1. Then we have

$$(1.6) \quad \operatorname{Re}(\pi^+ p^w(x, D)u, u) \geq -C \|u\|^2$$

$$(1.7) \quad -\operatorname{Re}(\pi^- p^w(x, D)u, u) \geq -C \|u\|^2 \quad \text{for any } u \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$\|R p^w(x, D)\| < \infty, \quad \|p^w(x, D)R\| < \infty$$

with some positive constant C .

§ 2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2. Let $\{Q_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ be the partition of $\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n$ into closed rectangles $Q_\nu = Q_{\nu x} \times Q_{\nu \xi}$ in [1]. Let $\delta_\mu = \text{diam. of } Q_{\mu x}$ and $\varepsilon_\mu = \text{diam. of } Q_{\mu \xi}$. Let $\varphi_\nu(x, \xi)$ and $\psi_\nu(x, \xi)$ be functions as in [1]. At every point $w = (x, \xi)$ in the interior of the rectangle Q_μ , we assign the quadratic form

$$g_w : \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n \ni (t, \tau) \longrightarrow g_w(t, \tau) = \delta_\mu^{-2} |t|^2 + \varepsilon_\mu^{-2} |\tau|^2.$$

The correspondence $w \rightarrow g_w$ is a discontinuous σ -temperate Riemannian metric in the sense of Hörmander [3]. This metric g_w is equivalent to the metric g_w in [1]. Following [3], we define

$$(2.1) \quad g_w^\sigma(t, \tau) = \varepsilon_\mu^2 |t|^2 + \delta_\mu^2 |\tau|^2$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad h(w) = \delta_\mu^{-1} \varepsilon_\mu^{-1}$$

if $w = (x, \xi)$ is an interior point of Q_μ . We showed in [1] that $a \in S(h^{-1}, g)$ and both sets $\{\varphi_\mu\}, \{\psi_\mu\}$ are bounded in $S(1, g)$. (See Hörmander [3] for the definition of the class $S(h^{-1}, g)$ and $S(1, g)$.)

We can prove

Proposition 1. *Under the assumptions (A) and (B), the function $p(x, \xi)$ belongs to the class $S(h^{-1}, g)$, i.e., for any multi-indices α and β , we have the estimate*

$$(2.3) \quad |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \delta_\mu^{1-|\alpha|} \varepsilon_\mu^{1-|\beta|}$$

if $(x, \xi) \in 4Q_\mu$.

Corresponding to Lemma 2.1 of [1], we can prove

Lemma 2. *Let $h_\mu = \delta_\mu^{-1} \varepsilon_\mu^{-1}$. Let $\pi_\mu^+, \pi_\mu^-, R_\mu$ and ϕ_μ be as in Lemma 2.1 of [1]. Then,*

(i) *There exists a positive constant C such that we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \operatorname{Re}(\pi_\mu^+ p \phi_\mu^w(x, D) \varphi_\mu^w(x, D)u, \varphi_\mu^w(x, D)u) \geq -C N^2 \|\varphi_\mu^w(x, D)u\|^2,$$

$$(2.5) \quad -\operatorname{Re}(\pi_\mu^- p \phi_\mu^w(x, D) \varphi_\mu^w(x, D)u, \varphi_\mu^w(x, D)u) \geq -C N^2 \|\varphi_\mu^w(x, D)u\|^2,$$

for any u in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Sketch of the proof of Lemma 2. In the case (I) of Lemma 1.2 of [1], we have

$$(2.6) \quad |p(x, \xi)| \leq C N^2 \quad \text{for any } (x, \xi) \in 4Q_\mu,$$

because of assumption (A) and Proposition 1. This proves (2.4) and

(2.5). In the case (II) of Lemma 1.2 of [1], we have

$$p(x, \xi) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } (x, \xi) \in 4Q_\mu$$

because of assumption (A). Hence (2.4) and (2.5) hold in this case. Case (III) of Lemma 1.2 of [1] can be treated in the similar manner.

Lemma 3. *If case (IV)_k of Lemma 2.1 of [1] holds, then there exists a non-negative function $q(x, \xi)$ of $(x, \xi) \in 4Q_\mu$ such that*

$$(2.7) \quad p(x, \xi) = q(x, \xi) a(x, \xi) \quad \text{for any } (x, \xi) \in 4Q_\mu.$$

For any multi-indices α and β , we have

$$(2.8) \quad |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta q(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \delta_\mu^{-|\alpha|} \varepsilon_\mu^{-|\beta|} \quad \text{for } (x, \xi) \in 4Q_\mu.$$

Let $\chi_\mu(x, \xi) = \phi_\mu(x, \xi)^{1/2}$, which we may assume of class C^∞ . The function $q\chi_\mu$ belongs to $S(1, g)$. We define the operator $(q\chi_\mu)^w(x, D)$ and we have

$$(p\phi_\mu)^w(x, D) = (q\chi_\mu)^w(x, D)(a\chi_\mu)^w(x, D) + r^w(x, D)$$

where $r_\mu = q\chi_\mu a\chi_\mu - (q\chi_\mu) \# (a\chi_\mu)$. Since $q\chi_\mu(x, \xi) \geq 0$, we can apply the technique of Nirenberg Trévès (cf. Lemma 3.1 of [4]). Thus, in the case (IV)_k of Lemma 1.2, we can prove (2.4) and (2.5).

Similar discussions prove (2.4) and (2.5) in the case (V)_k of Lemma 2.1 in [1].

Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 2 if we can prove that the operators

$$(2.9) \quad R'_1 = \sum_\mu \varphi_\mu^w(x, D) \pi_\mu^+ [\varphi_\mu^w(x, D), p\phi_\mu^w(x, D)]$$

$$(2.10) \quad R'_2 = \sum_\mu \varphi_\mu^w(x, D) \pi_\mu^+ \varphi_\mu^w(x, D) (p^w(x, D) - (p\phi_\mu)^w(x, D))$$

are bounded (cf. (3.7) and (3.8) of [1]). In order to prove the boundedness of these operators as well as estimates (3.5) and (3.9) of [1], we use the fundamental estimate of Hörmander, which is implicit in [3]. Let $p_\mu(x, \xi)$ and $p_\nu(x, \xi)$ be C^∞ functions with compact supports. For any integer $L \geq 0$ and $w = (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n$, we put

$$(2.11) \quad p_{\mu\nu}^L(x, \xi) = p_\mu \# p_\nu(w) - \sum_{j < L} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{i}{2} \sigma(D_x, D_\xi; D_y, D_\eta) \right)^j p_\mu(x, \xi) p_\nu(y, \eta) |_{(y, \eta) = (x, \xi)}.$$

For any $w = (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n$, we put

$$d_\mu(w) = \inf_{w' \in (15/8)Q_\mu} g_w^\mu(w - w').$$

Then Hörmander's estimate can be stated as follows:

Lemma 4. *Let $p_\mu(x, \xi)$ and $p_\nu(x, \xi)$ be C^∞ functions. Suppose that $\text{supp } p_\mu \subset (7/4)Q_\mu$ and $\text{supp } p_\nu \subset (7/4)Q_\nu$. Then, for any non-negative integers k and l , there exist positive constants C, μ and M such that for any $w = (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$(2.12) \quad |p_{\mu\nu}^L|^q(w) \leq C h(w)^L (1 + d_\mu(w) + d_\nu(w))^{-k} \\ \times \sup_{j_1 + j_2 \leq M} \left[\sup_{w_1} |p_\mu|_{j_1}^q(w_1) \right] \cdot \left[\sup_{w_2} |p_\nu|_{j_2}^q(w_2) \right].$$

See [3] for the definition of the seminorm $|p_\mu|_j^q(w)$.

In taking summation with respect to μ , we use

Lemma 5. *There exists a positive number M such that if $k > M$*

we have

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{\mu} (1 + A + d_{\mu}(w))^{-k} < C(1 + A)^{M-k}$$

for any positive number A . Here C is independent of A .

References

- [1] Fujiwara, D.: An approximate positive part of essentially self-adjoint pseudo-differential operators. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **57A**, 1–6 (1981).
- [2] Hörmander, L.: Pseudo-differential operators and hypo-elliptic equations. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **10**, 118–196 (1966).
- [3] —: The Weyl calculus of pseudo-differential operators. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **32**, 359–443 (1979).
- [4] Nirenberg, L., and Trévès, F.: On local solvability of linear partial differential equations, part II, Sufficient conditions. *ibid.*, **23**, 459–510 (1970).
- [5] Voros, A.: An algebra of pseudo-differential operators and the asymptotics of quantum mechanics. J. Funct. Anal., **29**, 104–132 (1978).
- [6] Weyl, H.: Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics. Dover (1950).