

25. Class Number Calculation and Elliptic Unit. II

Quartic Case

By Ken NAKAMULA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1981)

Let K be a real quartic number field which is not totally real and contains a (real) quadratic subfield K_2 . Let $D(<0)$, h and E_+ respectively be the discriminant, the class number and the group of positive units of K . In the following, an effective algorithm will be given to calculate h and E_+ at a time.

Our method is the same as in our preceding note [3] except for a slight change. We shall show a method to compute the relative class number with respect to K/K_2 , assuming that the class number of K_2 is known.

§ 1. Illustration of algorithm. Let d_2 , h' and $\eta_2 (>1)$ respectively be the discriminant, the class number and the fundamental unit of K_2 . We can compute h' and η_2 in a usual manner if d_2 is given. So we assume that h' and η_2 are explicitly given. The group E_+ of positive units of K is a free abelian group of rank 2. Let H_+ be the group of positive units of K/K_2 , and $\varepsilon_1 (>1)$ be the generator of H_+ , i.e.

$$H_+ := \{\varepsilon \in E_+ \mid N_{K/K_2}(\varepsilon) = 1\} = \langle \varepsilon_1 \rangle.$$

Then, as in [2], the relative unit ε_1 generates E_+ together with another unit $\varepsilon_2 (>1)$, i.e. $E_+ = \langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rangle$, where

$$(1) \quad \varepsilon_2 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \eta_2}, \quad \sqrt{\eta_2} \quad \text{or} \quad \eta_2.$$

Let η_e be the so-called "elliptic unit" of K , of which the definition will be given in § 5. Then, applying the results of Schertz [4], we see that $\eta_e > 1$ and $\eta_e \in H_+$, and obtain the following relation between η_e and the class number h of K :

$$(2) \quad h/h' = (E_+ : \langle \varepsilon_1, \eta_2 \rangle)(H_+ : \langle \eta_e \rangle)/2.$$

Therefore, the calculation of the relative class number h/h' is reduced to the determination of the group index $(H_+ : \langle \eta_e \rangle)$ and the unit ε_2 . Our method consists of the following steps:

- (i) to compute an approximate value of η_e (§ 5),
- (ii) to compute the minimal polynomial of η_e over \mathbf{Q} (Lemma 2),
- (iii) for $\xi \in H_+$ ($\xi > 1$), to give an explicit upper bound $B(\xi)$ of $(H_+ : \langle \xi \rangle)$ (Proposition 1),
- (iv) for $\xi \in H_+$ ($\xi \neq 1$), and for a natural number μ , to judge whether a real number $\sqrt[\mu]{\xi}$ belongs to K or not, and to compute the

minimal polynomial of $\sqrt[\mu]{\xi}$ over \mathbf{Q} if it belongs to K (Proposition 2),
 (v) to determine ε_2 and to compute the minimal polynomial of ε_2 over \mathbf{Q} (§ 4).

Now, the computation of $(H_+ : \langle \eta_e \rangle)$ and ε_1 goes similarly as described in § 1 of [3] by using (i) to (iv), and then h/h' and ε_2 are decided by (v) on account of (2).

§ 2. Upper bound of h/h' . The following lemma essentially gives an upper bound of the index of a subgroup of H_+ .

Lemma 1. *Let $\varepsilon \in H_+$ ($\varepsilon > 1$). Then the absolute value of the discriminant $D(\varepsilon)$ of ε is smaller than $4((\varepsilon^2 + 7)^3 - 8^3)$, i.e.*

$$|D(\varepsilon)| < 4((\varepsilon^2 + 7)^3 - 8^3).$$

Note that $D(\varepsilon)$ is a non-zero multiple of the discriminant D of K , since ε does not belong to K_2 . Then we have

Proposition 1. *Let $\xi \in H_+$ ($\xi > 1$), then*

$$(H_+ : \langle \xi \rangle) < 2 \log(\xi) / \log(\sqrt[3]{|D|/4 + 8^3} - 7).$$

On account of (1) and (2), we have

Corollary. *Let η_e be the elliptic unit of K . Then*

$$h/h' < 2 \log(\eta_e) / \log(\sqrt[3]{|D|/4 + 8^3} - 7).$$

§ 3. μ -th root of relative unit. For any element ξ of K , which does not belong to K_2 , let

$$X^4 - s(\xi)X^3 + t(\xi)X^2 - u(\xi)X + v(\xi)$$

be the minimal polynomial of ξ over \mathbf{Q} .

If $\xi \in H_+$ ($\xi \neq 1$), then $u(\xi) = s(\xi)$ and $v(\xi) = 1$. The following lemma enables us to compute the minimal polynomial of ξ from an approximate value of ξ .

Lemma 2. *Let $\xi \in H_+$ ($\xi \neq 1$). Then $s(\xi)$ is a rational integer such that $|s(\xi) - \alpha| < 2$ and that $2 + \alpha(s(\xi) - \alpha)$ is a rational integer, and $t(\xi)$ is given by $t(\xi) = 2 + \alpha(s(\xi) - \alpha)$, where $\alpha = \xi + \xi^{-1}$.*

For any rational integers s and t , define $r_\mu = r_\mu(s, t)$ ($\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= s, & r_2 &= sr_1 - 2t, & r_3 &= sr_2 - tr_1 + 3s, & r_4 &= sr_3 - tr_2 + sr_1 - 4, \\ r_\mu &= sr_{\mu-1} - tr_{\mu-2} + sr_{\mu-3} - r_{\mu-4} & & & & & \text{if } \mu \geq 5. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

Proposition 2. *Let $\xi \in H_+$ ($\xi \neq 1$), and μ be a natural number. Put $\varepsilon = \sqrt[\mu]{\xi}$ (> 0) and $\alpha = \varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-1}$. The real number ε belongs to K if and only if there exists a rational integer s such that*

$$|s - \alpha| < 2, \quad r_\mu(s, t) = s(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad r_\mu(t - 2, s^2 - 2t + 2) = t(\xi) - 2,$$

where t is the nearest rational integer to $2 + \alpha(\alpha - s)$. If ε belongs to K , then

$$s(\varepsilon) = s \quad \text{and} \quad t(\varepsilon) = t.$$

This proposition gives us an effective method of judge whether the μ -th root of $\xi \in H_+$ ($\xi \neq 1$) is an element of H_+ or not. It only uses

$s(\xi)$, $t(\xi)$ and an approximate value of ξ .

§ 4. Determination of ε_2 . Let the polynomial

$$X^2 - lX + c; \quad l \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad c = \pm 1$$

be the minimal polynomial of the fundamental unit $\eta_2 (> 1)$ of K_2 over \mathbf{Q} .

We observe that $v(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) = 1$. The following lemma enables us to calculate $s(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2)$, $t(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2)$ and $u(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2)$ from ε_1 and η_2 .

Lemma 2'. Put $\alpha = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_1^{-1}$. Then $s(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2)$ is a rational integer such that $|s(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) - \alpha \eta_2| < 2\eta_2^{-1} (< 2)$ and that $l^2 - 2c + \alpha \eta_2 (s(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) - \alpha \eta_2)$ and $\alpha \eta_2^{-1} + \eta_2^2 (s(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) - \alpha \eta_2)$ are rational integers, and $t(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2)$ and $u(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2)$ are given by $t(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) = l^2 - 2c + \alpha \eta_2 (s(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) - \alpha \eta_2)$ and $u(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) = \alpha \eta_2^{-1} + \eta_2^2 (s(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) - \alpha \eta_2)$.

We can judge whether $\varepsilon_2 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \eta_2}$ or not by the following proposition, using $s(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2)$, $t(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2)$, $u(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2)$ and an approximate value of ε_1 .

Proposition 3. Put $\alpha = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1} + c\sqrt{1/\varepsilon_1}$. The real number $\sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \eta_2}$ belongs to K if and only if there exists a rational integer s such that

$$|s - \alpha \sqrt{\eta_2}| < 2\sqrt{1/\eta_2} (< 2),$$

$$s(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) = s^2 - 2t, \quad t(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) = t^2 - 2su + 2c \quad \text{and} \quad u(\varepsilon_1 \eta_2) = u^2 - 2ct,$$

where t and u are the nearest rational integers respectively to

$$cl + \alpha \sqrt{\eta_2} (s - \alpha \sqrt{\eta_2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \sqrt{1/\eta_2} + c\eta_2 (s - \alpha \sqrt{\eta_2}).$$

If $\varepsilon_2 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \eta_2} \in K$, then

$$s(\varepsilon_2) = s, \quad t(\varepsilon_2) = t, \quad u(\varepsilon_2) = u \quad \text{and} \quad v(\varepsilon_2) = c.$$

It is easy to see

Lemma 3. If $\varepsilon_2 = \sqrt{\eta_2} \in K$, then $c = -1$.

We can judge whether $\varepsilon_2 = \sqrt{\eta_2}$ or not by the following proposition, using ε_1 and η_2 .

Proposition 4. Assume $c = -1$, and let $\delta = \eta_2(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_1^{-1})^2$. Put

$$b = (2s(\varepsilon_1))^2 - (t(\varepsilon_1) + 2)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad a = \delta + b/\delta.$$

Then a and b are natural numbers. The real number $\sqrt{\eta_2}$ belongs to K if and only if there exist rational integers a' and b' such that

$$b'^2 = b \quad \text{and} \quad a'^2 - 2b' = a.$$

If $\varepsilon_2 = \sqrt{\eta_2} \in K$, then

$$s(\varepsilon_2) = u(\varepsilon_2) = 0, \quad t(\varepsilon_2) = -l \quad \text{and} \quad v(\varepsilon_2) = -1.$$

On account of (1), Propositions 3 and 4 give an effective method to determine ε_2 . It only uses ε_1 and η_2 .

§ 5. Elliptic unit. In order to define the elliptic unit η_e of K , let us prepare some notations. Denote by d_2 the discriminant of K_2 . Let the imaginary quadratic number field $\Sigma := \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{Dd_2})$ and the discriminant of Σ be $-d$. Then the galois closure of K/\mathbf{Q} is the composite field $L := K\Sigma$, which is dihedral of degree 8 over \mathbf{Q} and cyclic quartic over Σ . The abelian extension L/Σ has a rational conductor (f) with a natural number f , and $D = -f^2 dd_2$. Moreover, L is contained in the ring class field Σ_f modulo f over Σ . All these facts are known by

Halter-Koch [1]. Let $\mathfrak{R}(f)$ be the ring class group of Σ modulo f , and λ be the canonical isomorphism

$$\lambda : \mathfrak{R}(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\Sigma_f/\Sigma)$$

as in § 4 of [3]. Let $\mathfrak{U} := \lambda^{-1}(\text{Gal}(\Sigma_f/L))$, take and fix a class \mathfrak{h} of $\mathfrak{R}(f)$ such that $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{U}$ generates the cyclic quotient group $\mathfrak{R}(f)/\mathfrak{U}$. For $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{R}(f)$, denote by $\gamma_{\mathfrak{f}}$ a complex number with positive imaginary part such that the module $Z\gamma_{\mathfrak{f}} + Z$ belongs to the class \mathfrak{f} . Then the elliptic unit η_e of K is defined, independent of the choice of \mathfrak{h} and $\gamma_{\mathfrak{f}}$, by the following:

$$(4) \quad \eta_e := \prod_{\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{U}} \sqrt{\text{Im}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{h}})/\text{Im}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{f}})} |\eta(\gamma_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{h}})/\eta(\gamma_{\mathfrak{f}})|^2.$$

Here $\eta(z)$ is the Dedekind eta-function, of which an estimate as in Lemma 3 of [3] holds. Thus, when $\mathfrak{R}(f)$ and \mathfrak{U} are explicitly given, an approximate value of η_e can be computed.

If the discriminant D of K is given, there are finite possible pairs $\{d, d_2\}$, and it is easy to compute f . Therefore, we can count out explicitly every subgroup \mathfrak{U} of $\mathfrak{R}(f)$ which may correspond to K similarly as in the cubic case, using the results in [1]. Thus *the class numbers and the fundamental units of all quartic fields K with the same discriminant D can be computed as described above.*

§ 6. Appendix. (i) The following propositions help to determine ε_2 .

Proposition 5. *If $\sqrt{\eta_e}$ does not belong to K , then $\varepsilon_2 \neq \eta_2$.*

Proposition 6. *If $\sqrt{\eta_e}$ belongs to K , then*

$$d = d_2 \equiv 8 \pmod{16}, f = 4;$$

or

$$d = 4d_2 \equiv 4 \pmod{16}, f = 1, 2, 4 \text{ or } 8.$$

The former follows from (2) and the fact that h' divides h , and the latter is proved by the results in [1].

(ii) The galois closure L of K/\mathbb{Q} contains a totally complex quartic subfield F not conjugate to K . Further algorithm to compute the class number and the group of units of F exists. It uses the results in [2].

References

- [1] F. Halter-Koch: Arithmetische Theorie der Normalkörper von 2-Potenzgrad mit Diedergruppe. *J. Number Theory*, **3**, 412–443 (1971).
- [2] K. Nakamura: On the group of units of a non-galois quartic or sextic number field. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **56A**, 77–81 (1980).
- [3] —: Class number calculation and elliptic unit. I. *ibid.*, **57A**, 56–59 (1981).
- [4] R. Schertz: Die Klassenzahl der Teilkörper abelscher Erweiterungen imaginärquadratischer Zahlkörper. I. *J. reine angew. Math.*, **295**, 151–168 (1977); ditto. II. *ibid.*, **296**, 58–79 (1977).