

## 22. Some Remarks on Nonlinear Ergodic Theorems in Banach Spaces

By Kazuo KOBAYASI\*) and Isao MIYADERA\*\*\*)

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., March 12, 1980)

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this note we assume that  $X$  is a *uniformly convex* real Banach space and  $C$  is a *closed convex* nonempty subset of  $X$ . The value of  $x^* \in X^*$  at  $x \in X$  will be denoted by  $(x, x^*)$ . The *duality mapping*  $F$  (multi-valued) from  $X$  into  $X^*$  will be defined by  $F(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : (x, x^*) = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}$  for  $x \in X$ . We say that  $X$  is  $(F)$  if the norm of  $X$  is Fréchet differentiable, i.e. for each  $x \in X$  with  $x \neq 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1}(\|x + ty\| - \|x\|)$  exists uniformly in  $y \in B(0, 1)$ , where  $B(x, r) = \{z \in X : \|z - x\| \leq r\}$ . By  $T \in \text{Cont}(C)$  we mean that  $T : C \rightarrow C$  and  $T$  is a *contraction*, i.e.  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for  $x, y$  in  $C$ . The set of fixed points of  $T$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{F}(T)$ .

Recently R. E. Bruck and S. Reich established a nonlinear mean ergodic theorem, and R. E. Bruck [3] gave a simple proof of the theorem: *If  $X$  is  $(F)$ ,  $C$  is bounded and  $T \in \text{Cont}(C)$ , then for each  $x$  in  $C$   $\{T^n x\}$  is weakly almost-convergent to a point of  $\mathcal{F}(T)$ .* In this note we deal with the weak almost-convergence of almost-orbits of  $\{T_n\}$ ,  $T_n \in \text{Cont}(C)$ , and obtain an extension of the above-mentioned theorem (see § 3). To this end we prove Proposition 2.2 in § 2. This proposition is also used to show the weak convergence of almost-orbits of resolvents for  $m$ -dissipative operators in § 4.

**2. Almost-orbits of contractions.** Let  $T_n \in \text{Cont}(C)$  for  $n \geq 1$  and set  $P_n^m = T_m T_{m-1} \cdots T_n$  for  $m \geq n \geq 1$ . A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $C$  is called an *almost-orbit* of  $\{T_n\}$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\sup_{m \geq 0} \|x_{n+m} - P_n^{n+m} x_{n-1}\|] = 0.$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of strictly increasing, continuous and convex functions  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\gamma(0) = 0$ . According to Bruck [3] we say that  $T : C \rightarrow X$  is of *type*  $(\gamma)$  if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and for all  $x, y \in C$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$\gamma(\|\lambda Tx + (1-\lambda)Ty - T(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)\|) \leq \|x - y\| - \|Tx - Ty\|.$$

It is known that if  $C$  is bounded then there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that every contraction  $T : C \rightarrow X$  is of type  $(\gamma)$ . (See [3, Lemma 1.1].)

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $T_n \in \text{Cont}(C)$  for  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be almost-orbits of  $\{T_n\}$ . Then we have the following:*

- (a)  $\{\|x_n - y_n\|\}$  is convergent.
- (b) If  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded, then for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$   $\{\lambda x_n + (1-\lambda)y_n\}$  is an

---

\*) Department of Mathematics, Sagami Institute of Technology, Fujisawa.

\*\*) Department of Mathematics, Waseda University, Tokyo.

almost-orbit of  $\{T_n\}$ .

**Proof.** (a) can be proved by the same way as in [3, Lemma 2.1], and therefore we omit the proof of it. Let us now show (b). Put  $z_n = \lambda x_n + (1-\lambda)y_n$ . Since  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded, so is  $\{x_n\}$  by (a). Choose an  $r > 0$  such that  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset B(0, r)$ , and put  $C_r = C \cap B(0, r)$  and  $Q_n^{n+m} = P_n^{n+m}|_{C_r}$  (the restriction of  $P_n^{n+m}$  to  $C_r$ ). Then  $C_r$  is a bounded closed convex subset and  $Q_n^{n+m}: C_r \rightarrow X$  is a contraction. Thus there is  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that every  $Q_n^{n+m}$  is of type  $(\gamma)$ . Hence, by a similar way to that of [3, Lemma 2.2] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|z_{n+m} - Q_n^{n+m}z_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq \lambda\alpha_n + (1-\lambda)\beta_n + \gamma^{-1}(\|x_{n-1} - y_{n-1}\| - \|x_{n+m} - y_{n+m}\| + \alpha_n + \beta_n) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 0$ , where  $\alpha_n = \sup_{m \geq 0} \|x_{n+m} - Q_n^{n+m}x_{n-1}\|$  and  $\beta_n = \sup_{m \geq 0} \|y_{n+m} - Q_n^{n+m}y_{n-1}\|$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\|$  exists by (a) and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$ , it readily follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\sup_{m \geq 0} \|z_{n+m} - P_n^{n+m}z_{n-1}\|] = 0. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose that  $X$  is  $(F)$ ,  $T_n \in \text{Cont}(C)$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $D = \{f \in C : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_n f - f\| < \infty\} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is an almost-orbit of  $\{T_n\}$ , then the sequence  $\{(x_n, F(f-g))\}$  is convergent for all  $f, g \in D$ .

**Proof.** If  $f \in D$ , the constant sequence  $\{f\}$  is an almost-orbit of  $\{T_n\}$ . Indeed,  $\sup_{m \geq 0} \|f - P_n^{n+m}f\| \leq \sup_{m \geq 0} \{\|f - T_{n+m}f\| + \sum_{k=1}^m \|P_{n+k}^{n+m}f - P_{n+k-1}^{n+m}f\|\} \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \|f - T_k f\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$  and  $f, g \in D$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\{\|\lambda x_n + (1-\lambda)f - g\|\}$  is convergent as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . However, since  $\{x_n - f\}$  is bounded, the Fréchet differentiability of the norm of  $X$  implies that

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} (2\lambda)^{-1} (\|f - g + \lambda(x_n - f)\|^2 - \|f - g\|^2) = (x_n - f, F(f-g))$$

uniformly in  $n$ . Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - f, F(f-g)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, \lambda \downarrow 0} (2\lambda)^{-1} (\|\lambda x_n + (1-\lambda)f - g\|^2 - \|f - g\|^2)$  exists. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 2.3.** Suppose that  $X$  is  $(F)$ ,  $T_n \in \text{Cont}(C)$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $D = \{f \in C : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_n f - f\| < \infty\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be an almost-orbit of  $\{T_n\}$ , and let  $\omega_w(\{x_n\})$  denote the set of weak subsequential limits of  $\{x_n\}$ . Then  $D \cap \text{clco } \omega_w(\{x_n\})$  is at most a singleton, where  $\text{clco } E$  denotes the closed convex hull of  $E$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 2.2 that for all  $u, v \in \omega_w(\{x_n\})$  and  $f, g \in D$ ,  $(u, F(f-g)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n, F(f-g)) = (v, F(f-g))$  and hence  $(u-v, F(f-g)) = 0$ . But this is also true for all  $u, v \in \text{clco } \omega_w(\{x_n\})$ . Thus  $D \cap \text{clco } \omega_w(\{x_n\})$  is at most a singleton. Q.E.D.

**3. Weak almost-convergence of almost-orbits.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $X$  is said to be *weakly almost-convergent* to  $x$  if  $w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_{k+i} = x$  uniformly in  $i \geq 0$ . By virtue of [3, Theorem 1.1] we have the following

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that  $T \in \text{Cont}(C)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a bounded sequence in  $C$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - Tx_n\| = 0$ . Then for

every weak neighborhood  $W$  of  $\mathcal{F}(T)$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_{k+i} \in W$  for all  $n \geq N$  and  $i \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be  $(F)$  and  $T, T_n \in \text{Cont}(C)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that*

(i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x = Tx$  uniformly in  $x \in B$  for every bounded set  $B \subset C$ ,

(ii)  $\mathcal{F}(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{F}(T) \subset D = \{f \in C : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T_n f - f\| < \infty\}$ .

*Then every almost-orbit  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of  $\{T_n\}$  is weakly almost-convergent to the unique point of  $\mathcal{F}(T) \cap \text{clco } \omega_w(\{x_n\})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $i(n)$  be any sequence of nonnegative integers, and set  $s_n = n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_{k+i(n)}$ . It suffices to show that  $\{s_n\}$  converges weakly to a point of  $\mathcal{F}(T) \cap \text{clco } \omega_w(\{x_n\})$ . Since  $\{\|x_n - f\|\}$  is convergent for  $f \in D$  (see the proof of Proposition 2.2),  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Hence, (i) implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - Tx_n\| = 0$ . Consequently,  $\omega_w(\{s_n\}) \subset \mathcal{F}(T)$  by Theorem 3.1. Moreover  $\omega_w(\{s_n\}) \subset \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{clco } \{x_k : k \geq i\} = \text{clco } \omega_w(\{x_n\})$ . Thus we have  $\omega_w(\{s_n\}) \subset \mathcal{F}(T) \cap \text{clco } \omega_w(\{x_n\}) \subset D \cap \text{clco } (\{x_n\})$ . However, since  $D \cap \text{clco } (\{x_n\})$  is a singleton by Corollary 2.3, we obtain that  $\omega_w(\{s_n\})$  is a singleton and is equal to  $\mathcal{F}(T) \cap \text{clco } \omega_w(\{x_n\})$ . Q.E.D.

**Remarks.** 1) Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 we have  $\mathcal{F}(T) = D$ . 2) If  $X$  is a Hilbert space,  $x_0 \in C$  and  $x_n = T_n x_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$  in Theorem 3.2, then the condition (i) can be replaced by a weaker condition “ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x = Tx$  for each  $x \in C$ ”.

Applying Theorem 3.2 with  $T_n = \lambda_n T + (1 - \lambda_n)I$ , we have the following corollary which extends a result due to S. Reich [4, Note added in Proof]:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be  $(F)$ ,  $T \in \text{Cont}(C)$  and  $0 \leq \lambda_n \leq 1$  for  $n \geq 1$ . If  $\mathcal{F}(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 1$ , then every almost-orbit  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of  $\{\lambda_n T + (1 - \lambda_n)I\}$  is weakly almost-convergent to the unique point of  $\mathcal{F}(T) \cap \text{clco } \omega_w(\{x_n\})$ .*

**4. Weak convergence of almost-orbits of resolvents.** Throughout this section, it is assumed that  $A$  is an  $m$ -dissipative operator in  $X$  with  $A^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a positive sequence. For  $\lambda > 0$   $J_\lambda$  denotes the resolvent of  $A$ , i.e.  $J_\lambda = (I - \lambda A)^{-1}$ . Clearly,  $J_\lambda : X \rightarrow D(A)$  is a contraction.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is an almost-orbit of  $\{J_{\lambda_n}\}$  and  $y_n = \lambda_n^{-1}(J_{\lambda_n} - I)x_{n-1}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \|y_n\| = 0$ .*

**Proof.** If  $f \in A^{-1}0$ , the constant sequence  $\{f\}$  is an almost-orbit of  $\{J_{\lambda_n}\}$  and hence  $r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - f\|$  exists by Lemma 2.1. If  $r = 0$ , then  $\lambda_n \|y_n\| \leq \|J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1} - x_n\| + \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \rightarrow 0$ . (Note that  $\|J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , for  $\{x_n\}$  is an almost-orbit of  $\{J_{\lambda_n}\}$ .) Next, let  $r > 0$  and choose an  $n_0$  such that  $\|x_n - f\| > r/2$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Let  $\delta$  be the modulus of uniform convexity of  $X$ . Then  $\delta(\|x - y\|) \leq 1 - \|x + y\|/2$  for  $x, y \in B(0, 1)$ . Putting  $x = (J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1} - f)/a_n$  and  $y = (x_{n-1} - f)/a_n$ , where  $a_n = \|x_{n-1} - f\|$ , we

have

$$\alpha_n \delta(\lambda_n \|y_n\|/\alpha_n) \leq \alpha_n - \|J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1} - f - 2^{-1} \lambda_n y_n\| \quad \text{for } n > n_0.$$

However, since  $y_n \in A J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}$  and  $0 \in Af$ , the dissipativity of  $A$  implies that  $\|J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1} - f\| \leq \|J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1} - f - \lambda y_n\|$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . Therefore we have

$$(r/2) \delta(\lambda_n \|y_n\|/M) \leq \|x_{n-1} - f\| - \|J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1} - f\| \quad \text{for } n > n_0,$$

where  $M = \sup_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \|y_n\| = 0$ .

Q.E.D.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose that  $X$  is (F) and let  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be an almost-orbit of  $\{J_{\lambda_n}\}$ . If  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n > 0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is weakly convergent to a point of  $A^{-1}0$ .*

**Proof.** Put  $y_n = \lambda_n^{-1}(J_{\lambda_n} - I)x_{n-1}$ . Then  $\lambda_n \|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by Lemma 4.1. Combining this with  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n > 0$ , we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = 0$ . Since  $y_n \in A J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}$ , we have

$$\|(J_1 - I)J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}\| \leq \|y_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $\{n'\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{n\}$  and  $u = w\text{-}\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} x_{n'}$ . Then  $u = w\text{-}\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda_{n'}} x_{n'-1}$  by  $\|x_n - J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore by the demiclosedness of  $J_1 - I$  we have  $(J_1 - I)u = 0$ , i.e.  $u \in A^{-1}0$ . This shows that  $\omega_w(\{x_n\}) \subset A^{-1}0$ . However, since  $A^{-1}0 \subset D = \{f \in X : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|J_{\lambda_n} f - f\| < \infty\}$ , it follows from Corollary 2.3 that  $\omega_w(\{x_n\})$  is a singleton. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $B : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous dissipative operator which maps bounded sets of  $D(A)$  into bounded sets in  $X$ . Let  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a nonnegative sequence and let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_n = (I - \lambda_n(A + \varepsilon_n B))^{-1} x_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . (Note that each  $A + \varepsilon_n B$  is  $m$ -dissipative (see [1]).) Suppose that  $X$  is (F) and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n < \infty$ . Then we have the following:*

(a) *If  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n > 0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is weakly convergent to a point of  $A^{-1}0$ .*

(b) *If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n > 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n+1}| < \infty$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is weakly convergent to a point of  $A^{-1}0$ .*

**Proof.** Put  $A_n = A + \varepsilon_n B$  and  $T_n = (I - \lambda_n A_n)^{-1}$ , and let  $f \in A^{-1}0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - f\| &\leq \|T_n x_{n-1} - T_n f\| + \|T_n f - f\| \leq \|x_{n-1} - f\| + \lambda_n \|A_n f\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n-1} - f\| + \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \|B f\|, \text{ where } \|A_n f\| = \inf_{z \in A_n f} \|z\|. \end{aligned}$$

From this and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \varepsilon_n < \infty$  we see that  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded in  $D(A)$ . Put  $K = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|B x_n\|$ . Since  $\|x_n - J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}\| = \|J_{\lambda_n}(x_{n-1} - \lambda_n \varepsilon_n B x_n) - J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}\| \leq \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \|B x_n\| \leq K \lambda_n \varepsilon_n$ , we obtain

$$\|x_{n+m} - J_{\lambda_{n+m}} \cdots J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}\| \leq \sum_{k=n}^{n+m} \|x_k - J_{\lambda_k} x_{k-1}\| \leq K \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k \varepsilon_k$$

for  $n \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 0$ . This shows that  $\{x_n\}$  is an almost-orbit of  $\{J_{\lambda_n}\}$ . Thus (a) is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.2.

To prove (b) it suffices to show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = 0$  as seen from the proof of Theorem 4.2, where  $y_n = \lambda_n^{-1}(J_{\lambda_n} - I)x_{n-1}$ . To this end set  $v_n = \lambda_n^{-1}(x_n - x_{n-1})$ . Since  $v_n \in A_n x_n$  and  $v_{n+1} + (\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n+1})B x_{n+1} \in A_n x_{n+1}$ , the dissipativity of  $A_n$  implies

$$\|v_{n+1}\| \leq \|v_n\| + |\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n+1}| \|B x_{n+1}\| \leq \|v_n\| + K |\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n+1}|.$$

Combining this with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n+1}| < \infty$ , we have that  $\{\|v_n\|\}$  is convergent. Moreover  $\|v_n - y_n\| = \lambda_n^{-1} \|x_n - J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}\| \leq K\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (note that  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  converges by  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n+1}| < \infty$ ). Therefore  $\{\|y_n\|\}$  is also convergent, and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n)^{-1} (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \|y_n\|) = 0$ . Q.E.D.

Taking  $\varepsilon_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$  in Corollary 4.3 we have the following which is due to S. Reich [4].

**Corollary 4.4.** *Suppose that  $X$  is (F). Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_n = J_{\lambda_n} x_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n > 0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is weakly convergent to a point of  $A^{-1}0$ .*

**Remark.** In Theorem 4.2 and Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4 the assumption “ $X$  is (F)” may be replaced by “ $X$  satisfies Opial’s condition”.

**Acknowledgement.** We are grateful to Profs. R. E. Bruck and S. Reich who provided us with reprints and preprints of their work.

### References

- [1] V. Barbu: Continuous perturbations on nonlinear  $m$ -accretive operators in Banach spaces. *Boll. U.M.I.*, **6**, 270–278 (1972).
- [2] R. E. Bruck: On the almost-convergence of iterates of a nonexpansive mapping in Hilbert space and the structure of the weak  $\omega$ -limit set. *Israel J. Math.*, **29**, 1–16 (1978).
- [3] —: A simple proof of the mean ergodic theorem for nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces. *Ibid.*, **32**, 107–116 (1979).
- [4] S. Reich: Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **67**, 274–276 (1979).