

110. Modular Representations of p -Groups with Regular Rings of Invariants

By Haruhisa NAKAJIMA

Department of Mathematics, Keio University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1980)

§ 1. Introduction. Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over a field k of characteristic p and G a finite subgroup of $GL(V)$. Then G acts linearly on the symmetric algebra R of V . We denote by R^G the subring of R consisting of all invariant polynomials under this action of G . The following theorem is well known.

(1.1) **Theorem** (Chevalley-Serre, cf. [2], [3], [5]). *Suppose that $p=0$ or $(|G|, p)=1$. Then R^G is a polynomial ring if and only if G is generated by pseudo-reflections in $GL(V)$ (an element σ of $GL(V)$ is said to be a pseudo-reflection if $\text{rank}(\sigma-1)\leq 1$).*

Now we assume that $p>0$ and that the order of G is divisible by p . Serre obtained a necessary condition for R^G to be a polynomial ring as follows.

(1.2) **Theorem** (Serre, cf. [2], [5]). *If R^G is a polynomial ring, then G is generated by pseudo-reflections.*

However the converse of (1.2) is not always true. For example $R^{O_n(F_q)}$ ($n\geq 4$, p odd) are not polynomial rings, where $O_n(F_q)$ are orthogonal subgroups of $GL(V)$ of dimension n defined over the subfield F_q of k consisting of q elements.

Hereafter we suppose that k is the prime field of characteristic $p(>0)$ and that G is a p -subgroup of $GL(V)$.

The purpose of this note is to announce our results on rings of invariants of p -groups. We can completely determine p -groups G such that R^G are polynomial rings. The main result is

(1.3) **Theorem.** *The following statements on a pair of V and G are equivalent:*

- (1) R^G is a polynomial ring.
- (2) There is a k -basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ of V with the equality

$$\prod_{i=1}^n |GX_i| = |G|$$

such that all $\bigoplus_{i=1}^j kX_i$ ($1\leq j\leq n$) are kG -submodules of V .

In [1] it has been shown that if G is a p -Sylow subgroup of $GL(V)$, R^G is a polynomial ring.

§ 2. Preliminaries. We need some lemmas on invariant sub-rings of polynomial rings:

(2.1) Lemma. *Let N be a subgroup of $GL(V)$ and let H be the inertia group of a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R under the natural action of N . If R^N is a polynomial ring, then R^H is also a polynomial ring.*

Proof. It suffices to treat the case where \mathfrak{p} is generated by $\mathfrak{p} \cap V$.

Then we easily see that

$$\left[\bar{k} \otimes_k R^H \right]_{\mathfrak{m}_1} \cong \left[\bar{k} \otimes_k R^H \right]_{\mathfrak{m}_2}$$

for any maximal ideals \mathfrak{m}_i of $\bar{k} \otimes_k R^H$ which contain \mathfrak{p}^H , where \bar{k} denotes the algebraic closure of k . On the other hand, since \mathfrak{p}^H is unramified over $\mathfrak{p} \cap R^N$, $R_{\mathfrak{p}^H}^H$ is a regular local ring. This implies that $\bar{k} \otimes_k R^H$ is a polynomial ring. Hence R^H is also a polynomial ring.

For a subset A of a ring S , $\langle A \rangle_S$ denotes the ideal of S generated by A .

(2.2) Lemma. *For a subgroup N of $GL(V)$ let W be a kN -submodule of V with $[V/W]^N = V/W$. Then $R^N / [\langle W \rangle_R]^N$ is a polynomial ring.*

Proof. The additive group $\bar{k} \otimes_k V/W$ acts transitively on the set consisting of closed points in the support of the $\bar{k} \otimes_k R^N$ -module $\bar{k} \otimes_k R^N / [\langle W \rangle_R]^N$. Therefore $R^N / [\langle W \rangle_R]^N$ is a polynomial ring.

(2.3) Lemma. *Suppose that N and W are the same as in (2.2). Furthermore let W contain a kN -submodule \tilde{W} such that $\dim W/\tilde{W} = 1$. Then $[R^H / [\langle \tilde{W} \rangle_R]^H]^{N/H}$ is a polynomial ring where H denotes the inertia group of $\langle \tilde{W} \rangle_R$ under the action of N .*

This follows easily from (2.2).

(V, H) , which is called a *couple*, stands for a pair of a group H and an H -faithful kH -module V such that V/V^H is a nonzero trivial kH -module (i.e., H acts trivially on the nonzero vector space V/V^H , and so H is an elementary abelian p -group). (U, L) is said to be a *subcouple* of (V, H) if L is a subgroup of H and U is a kL -submodule of V . Further we say that (V, H) decomposes to subcouples (V_i, H_i) ($1 \leq i \leq m$) if $H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m H_i$, $V^H \subseteq V_i \subseteq V^{H_i}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ with $i \neq j$ and $V/V^H (= \sum_{i=1}^m V_i/V^H) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i/V^H$.

(2.4) Lemma. *Suppose that a couple (V, H) decomposes to subcouples (V_i, H_i) ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Then R^H is a polynomial ring if and only if $R_i^{H_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) are polynomial rings, where each R_i is the symmetric algebra of V_i .*

Proof. The "if" part of (2.4) is obvious. So we assume that R^H is a polynomial ring. Then the ideal $[\langle V^H \rangle_R]^H$ of R^H is generated by V^H . From this we obtain

$$[\langle V_i^{H_i} \rangle_{R_i}]^{H_i} = \langle V_i^{H_i} \rangle_{R_i^{H_i}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq m),$$

since the canonical kH_i -epimorphism $V \rightarrow V_i$ induces a graded epimorphism $R^H \rightarrow R_i^{H_i}$. Hence, by (2.2), $R_i^{H_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) are polynomial rings.

A couple (V, H) is defined to be *indecomposable* if it does not decompose to subcouples (V_i, H_i) ($1 \leq i \leq m$) with $m \geq 2$.

The following theorem, which is a special case of (1.3), plays an essential role in § 3.

(2.5) **Theorem** (cf. [4]). *Let (V, H) be an indecomposable couple. Then R^H is a polynomial ring if and only if $\dim V/V^H = 1$.*

By (1.2), (2.4) and (2.5) we can classify abelian subgroups H of $GL(V)$ such that R^H are polynomial rings (cf. [4]).

(2.6) **Lemma**. *Suppose that for a subgroup N of $GL(V)$ W is a kN -submodule of V . If R^N is a polynomial ring, then $R^N/[\langle W \rangle_R]^N$ is also a polynomial ring.*

Using (2.2), we can easily prove this.

Now let $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be a k -basis of V such that all $\bigoplus_{i=1}^j kX_i$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are kG -submodules of V . The condition (2) of (1.3) is characterized by

(2.7) **Proposition**. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\prod_{i=1}^n |GX_i| = |G|$.
- (2) *There exist subgroups G_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) of G such that $GX_i = G_iX_i$ and $G_iX_j = \{X_j\}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ with $i \neq j$. (In this case $G = G_1 \cdots G_n$.)*
- (3) *There exist homogeneous polynomials $f_i \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) such that $R^G = k[f_1, \dots, f_n]$ and each f_i is divisible by X_i in R .*

The implications (2) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (3) are easy. The result (1.2) of Serre is used in the proof of (3) \Rightarrow (2).

By (2.3) and the Galois descent, we obtain

(2.8) **Proposition**. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) R^G is a polynomial ring.
- (2) *There exists an n -dimensional graded polynomial subalgebra $S = k[f_1, \dots, f_n]$ of R^G with*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \deg f_i \leq |G|$$

such that $S \cap \sum_{i=1}^j RX_i = \sum_{i=1}^j Sf_i$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, where f_i are homogeneous polynomials.

§ 3. **The main theorem**. We adopt the following notation and terminology: Put $V_0 = V$ and for any integer $j \geq 1$ define $V^j = V_{j-1}^G$, $V_j = V_{j-1}/V^j$ respectively. As G is unipotent, $V_j = V^j = 0$ for sufficiently large j . Let $\underline{X} = \{X_i | i \in I\}$ be a k -basis of V . The set \underline{X} is said to be a k -basis relative to G if, for each $j (\geq 1)$ with $V^j \neq 0$, there is a subset of \underline{X} whose canonical image in V_{j-1} is a k -basis of V^j .

In this section we will give an outline of the proof of a stronger result than (1.3).

(3.1) **Theorem**. *The following statements on a pair of V and G are equivalent:*

- (1) R^a is a polynomial ring.
- (2) There is a k -basis $\{X_i | i \in I\}$ of V relative to G which satisfies the equality

$$\prod_{i \in I} |GX_i| = |G|.$$

It suffices to show the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) of this theorem. So we suppose that R^a is a polynomial ring and will prove the assertion (2) by induction on the order of G .

If $G = \{1\}$, there is nothing to prove. Thus we assume $G \neq \{1\}$. Let M be a subspace of V^{m-1} such that $\dim V^{m-1}/M = 1$ where $m = \max \{j | V^j \neq 0\}$. Further let H be the inertia group of the prime ideal of R generated by $\varphi_{m-2}^{-1}(M)$ under the natural action of G . Here φ_{m-2} is the canonical epimorphism $V \rightarrow V_{m-2}$. Then we may assume that $|G| > |H|$. By (2.1) R^H is a polynomial ring. Hence, using the induction hypothesis, we have a k -basis $\{Y_i | i \in I\}$ of V relative to H which satisfies

$$\prod_{i \in I} |HY_i| = |H|.$$

On the other hand, from (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), we get

(3.2) **Proposition.** *If for a k -basis $\{Z_i | i \in I\}$ of V relative to G the equality*

$$\prod_{i \in I} |HZ_i| = |H|$$

holds, then there exists another k -basis $\{Z'_i | i \in I\}$ of V relative to G such that

$$\prod_{i \in I} |GZ'_i| = |G|.$$

To prove our theorem we need only to construct a k -basis $\{Z_i | i \in I\}$ of V relative to G with

$$\prod_{i \in I} |HZ_i| = |H|.$$

Let us put

$$J = \{i \in I | |HY_i| < |HY_{j(i)}| \text{ for some } j(i) \in I\}$$

and set $U = \bigoplus_{i \in J} kY_i$. Then U is a kG -submodule of V . By (2.8) we infer that A^a is a polynomial ring where A denotes the symmetric algebra of U . Let $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(U)$ be the representation of G associated with the kG -module U . As $|G/\text{Ker } \rho| < |G|$, from the induction hypothesis we find a k -basis $\{Z_i | i \in J\}$ of U relative to $G/\text{Ker } \rho$ with

$$\prod_{i \in J} |GZ_i| = |G/\text{Ker } \rho|.$$

Clearly there are bases of V relative to G which contain $\{Z_i | i \in J\}$ respectively. Moreover, using (2.4) and (2.5), we can prove

(3.3) **Lemma.** *There are elements $Z_i (i \in I \setminus J)$ with*

$$\prod_{i \in I \setminus J} |HZ_i| = |H \cap \text{Ker } \rho|$$

such that $\{Z_i | i \in I\}$ is a k -basis of V relative to G .

The set $\{Z_i | i \in I\}$ is a k -basis of V as desired. Thus the proof of

(3.1) is completed.

Detailed accounts will be published elsewhere.

References

- [1] M.-J. Bertin: Sous-anneaux d'invariants d'anneaux de polynomes. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **260**, 5655-5658 (1965).
- [2] N. Bourbaki: Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chs. 4, 5 et 6, Herman, Paris (1968).
- [3] C. Chevalley: Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections. Amer. J. Math., **67**, 778-782 (1955).
- [4] H. Nakajima: Modular representations of abelian groups with regular rings of invariants (to appear in Nagoya Math. J.).
- [5] J.-P. Serre: Groupes finis d'automorphismes d'anneaux locaux réguliers. Colloq. d'Alg. E. N. S. (1967).