

## 10. A Reciprocity Law in Some Relative Quadratic Extensions

By Hideji ITO

Department of Mathematics, Akita University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1980)

**Introduction.** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and  $\ell$  a rational prime ( $\neq 2$ ). Put  $E_\ell = \{a \in E \mid \ell a = 0\}$  and  $K_\ell = \mathbf{Q}(E_\ell)$ , i.e. the number field generated over  $\mathbf{Q}$  by all the coordinates of the points of order  $\ell$  on  $E$ .  $K_\ell$  contains a subfield  $K'_\ell$  which is generated over  $\mathbf{Q}$  by all the  $x$ -coordinates of the points of order  $\ell$  on  $E$ . The degree of  $K_\ell/K'_\ell$  is 1 or 2, and usually the latter is the case, for example, when  $\text{Gal}(K_\ell/\mathbf{Q}) \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})$  or when  $E$  has complex multiplication (see Remark in § 2).

The aim of this note is to investigate the law of decomposition of primes in these extensions  $K_\ell/K'_\ell$ .

Let  $p$  be a good prime for  $E$ . Put  $\pi = \pi_p$  be the Frobenius endomorphism of  $E \bmod p$ , and  $\alpha_p = \text{tr}(\pi)$ , where trace is taken with respect to the  $\ell$ -adic representation of  $E \bmod p$ . Then the main result of this note is the following: If  $\left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right) = -1$ , then the relative degree of  $p$  (= any extension of  $p$  to  $K'_\ell$ ) in  $K_\ell/K'_\ell$  coincides with the absolute degree of  $\ell$  in  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a_p^2 - 4p})/\mathbf{Q}$ . One might say that this is some sort of reciprocity law, although in case  $\left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right) = 1$  that cannot always hold.

§ 1. The following two fields are contained in  $K_\ell$ :

- i)  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ , where  $\zeta_\ell$  is a primitive  $\ell$ -th root of unity,
- ii)  $M_\ell = \mathbf{Q}(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\ell+1})$ , where  $j_i$ 's are the  $j$ -invariants of elliptic curves which are  $\ell$ -isogenous to  $E$ , in other words,  $M_\ell$  is the splitting field of the modular equation  $J_\ell(X, j(E)) = 0$ , where  $j(E)$  is the  $j$ -invariant of  $E$ .

Both of them are Galois extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Put  $G = \text{Gal}(K_\ell/\mathbf{Q})$ . Then we can identify  $G$  with a subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})$ . And the corresponding subgroups for  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$  and  $M_\ell$  by the Galois theory are

$$S = G \cap \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z}), \quad H = G \cap \{aI \mid a \in (\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})^*\},$$

where  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , respectively.

**Proposition 1.** 1)  $K'_\ell = M_\ell(\zeta_\ell)$ , 2)  $M_\ell \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell) \supset \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{\pm \ell})$ . Here we take  $+\ell$  when  $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $-\ell$  when  $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

**Proof.** 1) Note that  $K'_\ell$  corresponds to  $G \cap \{\pm I\}$  and  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/\ell\mathbf{Z})$

$\cap \{aI | a \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^*\} = \{\pm I\}$ . 2) Put  $N = \{A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) | \det A \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^2\}$ . Then we see easily that  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{\pm\ell})$  corresponds to  $N \cap G$  and  $N$  contains  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\{aI | a \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^*\}$ . So  $N \cap G \supset SH$ . This means  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{\pm\ell}) \subset M_\ell \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ . Q.E.D.

When  $G \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ , we have  $M_\ell \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell) = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{\pm\ell})$ . But there are cases where  $M_\ell \supset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ . See Serre [3, p. 309].

Letting  $f_0, f_1$  and  $f'$  be the absolute degrees of  $P$  in  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell), M_\ell$  and  $K'_\ell$  respectively, we have the following

**Corollary.**  $f' = \langle f_0, f_1 \rangle$ , i.e. the least common multiple of  $f_0$  and  $f_1$ .

As is well-known,  $f_0$  is the smallest positive integer  $a$  for which  $p^a \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$  holds. From the action of  $\pi$  on  $(E \bmod p)_\ell = \{a \in E \bmod p | \ell a = 0\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ , we can represent  $\pi$  by a matrix  $S(\pi)$  in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$  and the characteristic polynomial of  $S(\pi)$  is  $X^2 - a_p X + p$ . Considering the Jordan normal form of  $S(\pi)$ , if  $\ell \nmid (a_p^2 - 4p)$ , then  $f_1$  is the smallest positive integer  $b$  such that the characteristic polynomial of  $S(\pi^b)$  has multiple roots in  $F_\ell = \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\ell | (a_p^2 - 4p)$ , then  $f_1$  is 1 or  $\ell$  according as  $\ell | (\text{tr} : \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$  or not (here  $\text{tr} = \text{End}_{F_p}(E \bmod p)$ , see [1, Theorem 1]). Let  $f$  be the absolute degree of  $p$  in  $K_\ell/\mathbf{Q}$  and put  $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a_p^2 - 4p})$ .

**Proposition 2.** Suppose  $\ell \nmid (a_p^2 - 4p)$ . If  $\ell$  splits in  $k/\mathbf{Q}$ , then  $f_1$  and  $f$  divide  $\ell - 1$ , while if  $\ell$  remains prime in  $k/\mathbf{Q}$ , then  $f_1$  divides  $\ell + 1$ .

**Proof.** Our assumptions mean that  $X^2 - a_p X + p$  splits into two different linear factors or is irreducible over  $F_\ell$ . In the former case,  $S(\pi)$  is conjugate to  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $a, b \in F_\ell$ ,  $a \neq b$ . So  $S(\pi)^{\ell-1} = \text{identity}$ . In the latter case,  $S(\pi)$  is conjugate to  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $a, b \in F_{\ell^2} - F_\ell$ . As  $a$  is conjugate to  $b$  over  $F_\ell$ , we have  $a^{\ell+1} = \text{Norm of } a \text{ relative to } F_{\ell^2}/F_\ell = b^{\ell+1} \in F_\ell$ . So  $f_1$  divides  $\ell + 1$ . Q.E.D.

§ 2. For a natural number  $n = 2^a b$ ,  $2 \nmid b$ , we put  $e(n) = a$ .

**Theorem 1.** The following three cases occur.

- (i) If  $e(f_0) \neq e(f_1)$ , then  $f = 2f'$ .
- (ii) If  $e(f_0) = e(f_1) > 0$ , then  $f = f'$ .
- (iii) If  $e(f_0) = e(f_1) = 0$ , that is, both  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are odd, then we have both cases. If  $a_p = a$  gives  $f = f'$ , then in case  $a_p = -a$  we have  $f = 2f'$  (and vice versa).

**Proof.** In any case as  $[K_\ell : K'_\ell] = 1$  or  $2$ , we know that  $f = f'$  or  $2f'$ . Note that in the cases (i) and (ii),  $f' = \langle f_0, f_1 \rangle$  is even by Corollary of Proposition 1.

- (i) Suppose  $e(f_0) > e(f_1)$ . Then  $f_1 | (f'/2)$ ,  $f_0 \nmid (f'/2)$ . Hence if

$S(\pi^{f'}) = \text{identity}$ , then  $S(\pi^{f'/2}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $a^2 = \det S(\pi^{f'/2}) = -1$ ,  $a \in F_\ell$ . But then, as  $S(\pi^{f'}) = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $a^2 = 1$ . This is a contradiction. So  $f = 2f'$ .

(i)' Suppose  $e(f_0) < e(f_1)$ . Then  $f_0 | (f'/2)$ ,  $f_1 \nmid (f'/2)$ . So, if  $S(\pi^{f'}) = \text{id.}$ , then  $S(\pi^{f'/2})$  is conjugate to  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $a \neq b$ ,  $ab = 1$ ,  $a, b \in F_\ell$ .

As  $S(\pi^{f'}) = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & b^2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{id.}$ , we have  $a^2 = b^2 = 1$ . Hence  $1 = ab = a^2$ .

Therefore  $a(a-b) = 0$ , a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose  $S(\pi^{f'}) \neq \text{id.}$  As  $S(\pi^{2f'}) = \text{id.}$ , we have  $S(\pi^{f'}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $f'$  is even and both  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  do not divide  $f'/2$ , we see that  $S(\pi^{f'/2})$  is conjugate to  $\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $c, d \in F_\ell$ ,  $c^2 = d^2 = -1$ ,  $c \neq d$ ,  $cd = -1$ . Hence  $c^2 = -1 = cd$ . So we have  $c(c-d) = 0$ . A contradiction.

(iii) Note that  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  (and hence  $f'$ ) take the same value for  $a_p = \pm a$ . Take  $A, B \in \text{GL}_2(F_\ell)$  which satisfy  $\text{tr } A = -\text{tr } B$  and  $\det A = \det B = p$ . Suppose the orders of their images into  $\text{PGL}_2(F_\ell)$  coincide. What we have to show is that if  $A$  has order  $m$  then  $B$  has order  $2m$  or  $m/2$  according as  $2 \nmid m$  or  $2 \parallel m$ . But, for odd  $n$ , we easily see that

$$\text{tr}(A^n) = \text{tr}(A)^n - np \text{tr}(A^{n-2}) - \binom{n}{2} p^2 \text{tr}(A^{n-4}) - \dots - np^{(n-1)/2} \text{tr}(A).$$

Hence by induction we get  $\text{tr}(A^n) = -\text{tr}(B^n)$ . So our assertion is clear. This completes our proof.

**Proposition 3.** *If  $\ell$  remains prime in  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a_p^2 - 4p})$ , then the case (ii) in Theorem 1 never occurs.*

**Proof.** If  $\ell | (a_p^2 - 4p)$ , then  $f_1 = 1$  or  $\ell$ . So the assertion is clear.

Now suppose  $\ell \nmid (a_p^2 - 4p)$ . Then  $S(\pi)$  is conjugate to  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $a, b \in F_\ell^* - F_\ell$ ,  $a \neq b$ . If  $e(f_0) = e(f_1) > 0$ , then by Theorem 1 we have  $S(\pi^{f'}) = \text{identity}$ . So  $a^{f'} = b^{f'} = 1$ . As  $f'$  is even and both  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  do not divide  $f'/2$ , we have  $\{a^{f'/2}, b^{f'/2}\} = \{+1, -1\}$ . But  $a$  is conjugate to  $b$  over  $F_\ell$ , so their orders in  $\bar{F}_\ell^*$  must coincide. This is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

**Remark.** As an application of Theorem 1, we can show that if  $E$  has complex multiplication (say by  $\sqrt{-q}$ ) we have  $K_\ell \neq K'_\ell$  for all  $\ell > 2$  and  $\ell \neq q$ . Indeed, put  $k_0 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ . Let  $p$  be a prime which remains prime in  $k_0$  and satisfies  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ . Then  $a_p = 0$  and the order  $f_0$  of  $p$  in  $F_\ell^*$  is 1. Hence  $f_1 = 2$  and  $e(f_1) > e(f_0) = 0$ . So the case (i) occurs. This means that  $K_\ell \neq K'_\ell$ .

§ 3. When  $\left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right) = -1$ , we have the following simple decomposition law of primes.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $\left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right) = -1$ . Then the relative degree of  $\mathfrak{p}$  (= any prime in  $K'_\ell$  lying above  $p$ ) in  $K_\ell/K'_\ell$  coincides with the absolute degree of  $\ell$  in  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a_p^2 - 4p})/\mathbf{Q}$ .

**Proof.** By our assumption, we see  $\ell \nmid (a_p^2 - 4p)$  and both  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are even. Indeed, by Proposition 1,  $M_\ell \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_\ell) \supset \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{\pm \ell})$ . If  $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right) = -1$ . When  $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , we easily see that

$\left(\frac{-\ell}{p}\right) = -1$ . Now put  $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a_p^2 - 4p})$ . Suppose  $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then

we clearly have  $e(f_0) = 1$ . If  $\ell$  remains prime in  $k$ , then by Proposition 3 the case (ii) of Theorem 1 never occurs, so the case (i) occurs (by the way, this means especially that  $4 \mid f_1$ ). If  $\ell$  splits in  $k$ , then by Proposition 2,  $f_1 \mid (\ell - 1)$ . Therefore  $e(f_1) = 1$ . So we have the case (ii). Now suppose  $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . If  $2^n$  exactly divides  $\ell - 1$ , then  $e(f_0) = n \geq 2$ , because  $\left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right) = -1$ . If  $\ell$  remains prime in  $k$ , then by Prop-

osition 2,  $f_1 \mid (\ell + 1)$ , so  $e(f_1) = 1$ . Hence the case (i) occurs. If  $\ell$  splits in  $k$ , then  $f \mid (\ell - 1)$ . Assume  $f = 2f' = 2\langle f_0, f_1 \rangle$ . Then  $2^{n+1}$  divides  $f$ , because  $e(f_0) = n$ . So we have  $2^{n+1} \mid (\ell - 1)$ , a contradiction. Therefore we must have  $f = f'$ . This completes the proof of our theorem.

§ 4. We can explain the reason why in the case both  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are odd (and only in that case) the relation between  $f$  and  $f'$  cannot be determined in terms of  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  (as in Theorem 1, (iii)).

First note that  $K'_\ell$  is unchanged when we replace  $E$  with any other  $C$ -isomorphic elliptic curves/ $\mathbf{Q}$ , while  $K_\ell$  is not. Suppose  $A$  is an elliptic curve/ $\mathbf{Q}$  which is  $C$ -isomorphic to  $E$ , but is not  $\mathbf{Q}$ -isomorphic to  $E$ . Put  $L_\ell = \mathbf{Q}(A)$ . If  $j(E) \neq 0, 1728$ , then over some quadratic field  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ,  $d \in \mathbf{Z}$ , they become isomorphic. Hence  $K_\ell(\sqrt{d}) = L_\ell(\sqrt{d})$ . By a simple reasoning, when  $f'$  is even, we see that any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K'_\ell$  lying above  $p$  always splits in  $K'_\ell(\sqrt{d})/K'_\ell$ . Therefore the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K_\ell/K'_\ell$  agrees with that in  $L_\ell/K'_\ell$ . If  $f'$  is odd and  $p$  splits in  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , then the situation is the same as before, but when  $f'$  is odd and  $p$  remains prime in  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K_\ell/K'_\ell$  differs from that in  $L_\ell/K'_\ell$ , because above  $\mathfrak{p}$  remains prime in  $K'_\ell(\sqrt{d})/K'_\ell$ .

### References

- [1] H. Ito: A note on the law of decomposition of primes in certain galois extension. Proc. Japan Acad., **53A**, 115–118 (1977).
- [2] S. Lang: Elliptic Functions. Addison Wesley, Reading (1973).
- [3] J. P. Serre: Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques. Invent. Math., **15**, 259–331 (1972).