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1. Introduction. The present article concerns the polynomial Hamiltonians associated with the six Painlevé equations. The notation of the previous note [1] will be adopted throughout this paper ; we will refer to the Painlevé equation as $\mathrm{P}_{J}(J=\mathrm{I}, \cdots, \mathrm{VI})$ and denote by $\mathrm{H}_{J}$ the polynomial Hamiltonian $\mathrm{H}_{J}(t ; \lambda, \mu)$ associated with $\mathrm{P}_{J}$, given in Table (H) of [1]. Let $\Xi_{J}$ be the set of fixed critical points of $\mathrm{P}_{J}$ and let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_{J}$ be the universal covering surface of $\boldsymbol{B}_{J}=\boldsymbol{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{C})-\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{J}$. Any solution $(\lambda(t), \mu(t))$ of the Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{J}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda^{\prime}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}}{\partial \mu}  \tag{1}\\
\mu^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}}{\partial \lambda}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is meromorphic on $\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_{J}$ and so is the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{J}(t)=\mathrm{H}_{J}(t ; \lambda(t), \mu(t)) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\tau$-function $\tau=\tau_{J}(t)$ related to $\mathrm{H}_{J}(t)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{J}(t)=\frac{d}{d t} \log \tau_{J}(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is holomorphic on $\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_{J}$ ([1]).
2. Equation $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}{ }^{\prime}}$. Consider firstly the equation
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}} \quad \lambda^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{t} \lambda^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 t^{2}}(\gamma \lambda+\alpha)+\frac{\beta}{4 t}+\frac{\delta}{4 \lambda}$.
We assume that none of $\gamma$ and $\delta$ is zero. In [2], Painlevé showed that $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}$ is the limiting form of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and is transformed to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}$ by the change of variables: $t \rightarrow t^{2}, \lambda \rightarrow t \lambda$. Furthermore, we can derive from $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{V}}$ the polynomial Hamiltonian associated with $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}$,
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{III}} \frac{1}{t}\left[\lambda^{2} \mu^{2}-\left(\eta_{\infty} \lambda^{2}+\theta_{0} \lambda-\eta_{0} t\right) \mu+\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\infty}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{\infty}\right) \lambda\right]$,
by a process of coalescence. Here the constants in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{III}}$ are related to $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ as follows:

$$
\alpha=-4 \eta_{\infty} \theta_{\infty}, \quad \beta=4 \eta_{0}\left(\theta_{0}+1\right), \quad \gamma=4 \eta_{\infty}^{2}, \quad \delta=-4 \eta_{0}^{2}
$$

It follows from the assumption $\gamma \delta \neq 0$ that none of $\eta_{\Delta}(\Delta=0, \infty)$ is zero.

[^0]Proposition 1. System (1) with $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{III}}$ governs the isomonodromic deformation of the linear equation

$$
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+p_{1}(x: t) \frac{d y}{d x}+p_{2}(x: t) y=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}(x: t)=\frac{\eta_{0} t}{x^{2}}+\frac{1-\theta_{0}}{x}-\eta_{\infty}-\frac{1}{x-\lambda}, \\
& p_{2}(x: t)=\frac{\eta_{\infty}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{\infty}\right)}{2 x}-\frac{t \mathrm{H}}{x^{2}}+\frac{\lambda \mu}{x(x-\lambda)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that properties of the equation $P_{\text {III }}$ are derived from those of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}$, and so we will mainly investigate the equation $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HI}}$, Let $\tau_{\mathrm{III}}(t)$ be the $\tau$-function related to the function $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{III}}(t)$.

Proposition 2. $\tau_{\mathrm{III}^{\prime}}(t)$ is holomorphic on $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{II}^{\prime}}$.
We can suppose, without loss of generality, $\eta_{\Delta}=1$ by changing scales of $t$ and $\lambda$. It will be verified by computation that $\lambda_{1}=\mu /(\mu-1)$ satisfies the equation $P_{v}$ with

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{8}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{\infty}\right)^{2}, \quad \beta=-\frac{1}{8}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{\infty}\right)^{2}, \quad \gamma=2, \quad \delta=0 .
$$

This fact leads us to
Proposition 3 (cf. [3], [6]). The equation $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}$ with $\delta=0$ is equivalent to the equation $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}^{\prime}}$ with $\gamma \delta \neq 0$.

Remark 1. In the case when $\gamma=\delta=0$, by substituting $\lambda^{2}$ for $\lambda$ and $t^{2}$ for $t$, we have the equation

$$
\lambda^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{t} \lambda^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha \lambda^{3}}{2 t^{2}}+\frac{\beta}{2 \lambda},
$$

that is, the equation $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}^{\prime}}$ with $\gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{2 \alpha , \delta \rightarrow 2 \beta}$.
3. Differential equations satisfied by the Hamiltonians. By the use of System (1), it will be verified that the function $\mathrm{H}_{J}(t)$ satisfies a non linear differential equation of the second order. The explicit form of this equation $\mathrm{E}_{J}$ is given below in Table ( E ), where we suppose that $\eta_{\Delta} \neq 0$. First we introduce the integer $N(J)$ and the auxiliary constants $\nu_{k}(k=1, \cdots, N(J))$ for the equation $\mathrm{P}_{J}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}} & N(\mathrm{II})=1 ; \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}} & N(\mathrm{III})=2 ; \\
& \nu_{1}=\alpha+\frac{1}{2} ; \\
& \bar{\nu}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{\infty}\right), \quad \nu_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{\infty}\right),\right. \\
\left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}\right) & N\left(\frac{1}{2}-\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right) ; \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}} & N(\mathrm{IV})=2 ;
\end{array} \quad \nu_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{\infty}\right), \quad \nu_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{\infty}\right) ; \quad \nu_{1}=\theta_{0}, \quad \nu_{2}=\theta_{\infty} ; \quad, ~ \$
$$

$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}} \quad N(\mathrm{~V})=3 ; \quad \nu_{1}=\theta_{0}, \quad \nu_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}+\theta_{\infty}\right), \quad \nu_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}-\theta_{\infty}\right) ;$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}} \quad N(\mathrm{IV})=4 ; \quad \nu_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}\right), \quad \nu_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}\right)$, $\nu_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{t}-1+\theta_{\infty}\right), \quad \nu_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{t}-1-\theta_{\infty}\right)$, $\sigma_{j}(\nu)=$ the $j$-th elementary symmetric polynomial of $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}, \nu_{4}$, $\sigma_{j}^{0}(\nu)=$ that of $\nu_{1}, \nu_{3}, \nu_{4}$.
Table (E):
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}} \quad h=H_{\mathrm{I}}(t)$,
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{I}} \quad\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+4\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{3}+2\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)=0$ :
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}} \quad h=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}(t)$,
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {II }} \quad\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+4\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{3}+2 h^{\prime}\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)-\left(\frac{1}{2} \nu_{1}\right)^{2}=0:$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {IIII }} \quad h=t \cdot \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{III}}(t)+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right)^{2}$,
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {III }} \quad\left[\left(t h^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+4\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)\left\{\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2}-16 \eta_{0} \eta_{\infty}\left(t h^{\prime}-h-\bar{\nu}\right)\right\}\right]^{2}$

$$
+16^{3} \eta_{0}^{2} \eta_{\infty}^{2}\left(1+2 \nu_{2}\right)^{2}\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)^{3}=0:
$$

$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}} \quad h=t \cdot \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{III}}(t)$,
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{III}} \quad\left(t h^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-\left[\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right) h^{\prime}-\eta_{0} \eta_{\infty} \nu_{1}\right]^{2}+4 h^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}-\eta_{0} \eta_{\infty}\right)\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)=0:$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}} \quad h=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IV}}(t)$,
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{IV}} \quad\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-4\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)^{2}+4 h^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}+2 \nu_{1}\right)\left(h^{\prime}+2 \nu_{2}\right)=0:$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}} \quad h=t \cdot \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{v}}(t)+\nu_{2} \nu_{3}$,
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}} \quad\left(\eta_{1} t h^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-\left[\eta_{1}^{2}\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)-2\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\eta_{1}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}+\nu_{3}\right) h^{\prime}\right]^{2}$

$$
+4 h^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}+\eta_{1} \nu_{1}\right)\left(h^{\prime}+\eta_{1} \nu_{2}\right)\left(h^{\prime}+\eta_{1} \nu_{3}\right)=0:
$$

$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{vI}} \quad h=t(t-1) \cdot \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{VI}}(t)+\sigma_{2}^{0}(\nu) t-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{2}(\nu)$,
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{VI}} \quad h^{\prime}\left[t(t-1) h^{\prime \prime}\right]^{2}+\left[h^{\prime}\left\{2 h-(2 t-1) h^{\prime}\right\}+\sigma_{4}(\nu)\right]^{2}=\prod_{k=1}^{4}\left(h^{\prime}+\nu_{k}^{2}\right)$.
We can represent a solution $(\lambda(t), \mu(t))$ of System (1) with $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{J}$ by the function $h=h(t)$ and its derivatives; in fact we have the following

Table (R):
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}} \quad \lambda=-h^{\prime}, \quad \mu=-h^{\prime \prime}$ :
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }} \quad \lambda=\frac{2 h^{\prime \prime}+\nu_{1}}{4 h^{\prime}}, \quad \mu=-2 h^{\prime}$ :
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}} \quad \lambda=4 \eta_{0} \cdot \frac{h-t h^{\prime}+\left(1 / 2+\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right) \sqrt{h-t h^{\prime}}}{h^{\prime} \sqrt{h-t h^{\prime}}-t h^{\prime \prime}}$ $\mu=\frac{1}{4 \eta_{0}} \cdot \frac{h^{\prime} \sqrt{h-t h^{\prime}}-t h^{\prime \prime}}{2 \sqrt{h-t h^{\prime}}}:$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}} \quad \lambda=-\frac{\eta_{0}\left[t h^{\prime \prime}+\eta_{0} \eta_{\infty} \nu_{1}-\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right) h^{\prime}\right]}{2 h^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}-\eta_{0} \eta_{\infty}\right)}, \quad \mu=\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} h^{\prime}:$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}} \quad \lambda & \lambda \frac{h^{\prime \prime}-2\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)}{2\left(h^{\prime}+2 \nu_{2}\right)}, \quad \mu=\frac{h^{\prime \prime}+2\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)}{4\left(h^{\prime}+2 \nu_{1}\right)}: \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}} \quad \lambda & \lambda \frac{\eta_{1} t h^{\prime \prime}-\eta_{1}^{2}\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)+2\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\eta_{1}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}+\nu_{3}\right) h^{\prime}}{2\left(h^{\prime}+\eta_{1} \nu_{2}\right)\left(h^{\prime}+\eta_{1} \nu_{3}\right)}, \\
& \mu=\frac{\eta_{1} t h^{\prime \prime}+\eta_{1}^{2}\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right)-2\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\eta_{1}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}+\nu_{3}\right) h^{\prime}}{2 \eta_{1}\left(h^{\prime}+\eta_{1} \nu_{1}\right)}: \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}} \quad \lambda & =\frac{1}{2 A} \cdot\left[\left(\nu_{3}+\nu_{4}\right) B+\left(h^{\prime}-\nu_{3} \nu_{4}\right) C\right], \\
& \lambda(\lambda-1) \mu=\frac{1}{2 A} \cdot\left[-\left(h^{\prime}-\sigma_{2}^{0}(\nu)\right) B+\left(\sigma_{1}^{0}(\nu) h^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}^{0}(\nu)\right) C\right], \\
& A=\left(h^{\prime}+\nu_{3}^{2}\right)\left(h^{\prime}+\nu_{4}^{2}\right), \\
& B=t(t-1) h^{\prime \prime}+\sigma_{1}(\nu) h^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}(\nu), \\
& C=2\left(t h^{\prime}-h\right) .
\end{array}
$$

By means of this table, we obtain from a solution $h(t)$ of the non linear differential equation $\mathrm{E}_{J}$ a pair of functions $(\lambda(t), \mu(t))$, which is a solution of System (1) with the Hamiltonian $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{J}$. Therefore, according to (3) we arrive at

Theorem 1. $\tau_{J}(t)$ satisfies a non linear differential equation of the third order and reciprocally a solution $(\lambda(t), \mu(t))$ of System (1) are determined by this function and its derivatives.

Remark 2. Putting for the equation $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$

$$
g=h+\lambda \mu-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right)^{2},
$$

we obtain the following expressions;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(t g^{\prime \prime}-g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4\left[\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right) g^{\prime}-4 \eta_{0} \eta_{\infty} \nu_{1} t\right]^{2}=g^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}-8 \eta_{0} \eta_{\infty} t\right)\left(4 g-2 t g^{\prime}\right), \\
& \lambda=-4 \eta_{0} \cdot \frac{(1 / 2) t g^{\prime \prime}-\left(1 / 2+\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right) g^{\prime}+8 \eta_{0} \eta_{\infty} \nu_{1} t}{g^{\prime}-8 \eta_{0} \eta_{\infty}}, \quad \mu=\frac{1}{4 \eta_{0}} \cdot g^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Representation of $\lambda(t)$. Now we state the theorem:

Theorem 2. For $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}, \cdots, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$, there exist rational functions, $R_{i}\left(t ; \lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)(i=1,2)$ of $\left(t, \lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $a(t), b(t)$ of $t$ such that
(i) for any solution $\lambda(t)$ of $\mathrm{P}_{J}$, the functions

$$
\tau_{i}(t)=\exp \int^{t} R_{i}\left(s ; \lambda(s), \frac{d \lambda}{d s}(s)\right) d s \quad(i=1,2)
$$

are holomorphic on $\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_{J}$;
(ii) $\quad a(t), b(t)$ are holomorphic on $\boldsymbol{B}_{J}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t) \lambda(t)+b(t)=\frac{d}{d t} \log \frac{\tau_{2}(t)}{\tau_{1}(t)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fact was firstly remarked by P. Painlevé [5] for $P_{\text {II }}$ and $P_{\text {III }}$ without using the Hamiltonian structure. A solution $\lambda(t)$ of $\mathrm{P}_{J}$ and the corresponding $\tau$-function $\tau(t)$ depend on the constants $\nu=\left(\nu_{k}\right)$ ( $k=1, \cdots, N(J)$ ) and $\eta=\left(\eta_{\Delta}\right)(\Delta=0, \infty, 1)$. For simplicity of notation, we represent this dependence by $\tau(\nu ; \eta), \lambda(\nu ; \eta)$. We can prove Theorem

2 by taking as $\tau_{i}(t)$ two $\tau$-functions of $\mathrm{P}_{J}$ with different values of parameters and as $R_{i}\left(t ; \lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ polynomial Hamiltonians of the corresponding equation. In fact the expression (4) for $\lambda(t)=\lambda(\nu ; \eta)$ is given as follows :

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | $a(t)$ | $b(t)$ | $\tau_{1}(t)$ | $\tau_{2}(t)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 1 | 0 | $\tau\left(\nu_{1}\right)$ | $\tau\left(\nu_{1}-1\right)$ |
| III | $2 \eta_{\infty}$ | $4 \eta_{0} \eta_{\infty} t^{2}$ | $\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2} ; \eta_{0}, \eta_{\infty}\right)$ | $\tau\left(\nu_{2}, \nu_{1} ; \eta_{0},-\eta_{\infty}\right)$ |
| III' | $\eta_{\infty}$ | $\frac{\nu_{2}-\nu_{1}}{t}$ | $\tau\left(\nu_{1},-\nu_{2}-1 ; \eta\right)$ | $\tau\left(\nu_{1}+1,-\nu_{2} ; \eta\right)$ |
| IV | $t$ | 1 | 0 | $\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right)$ |
| V | $\frac{\nu_{2}-\nu_{3}}{t}$ | 0 | $\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}+1 ; \eta_{1}\right)$ | $\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}+1, \nu_{3} ; \eta_{1}\right)$ |
| VI | $\frac{\nu_{3}-\nu_{4}}{t(t-1)}$ | 0 | $\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}+1, \nu_{4}\right)$ | $\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}, \nu_{4}+1\right)$ |

Remark 3. We obtain the following expressions for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}} & 2 \eta_{\infty} \lambda\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2} ; \eta\right)+\frac{2 \eta_{0}}{\lambda\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2} ; \eta\right)}=\frac{d}{d t} \log \frac{\tau\left(\nu_{1}+1, \nu_{2} ; \eta\right)}{\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2} ; \eta\right)} ; \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}} & \frac{\eta_{0}}{\lambda\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2} ; \eta\right)}-\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}+1}{t}=\frac{d}{d t} \log \frac{\tau\left(\nu_{1}+1, \nu_{2}+1 ; \eta\right)}{\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2} ; \eta\right)} ; \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}} & \frac{\eta_{1}}{1-\lambda\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3} ; \eta_{1}\right)}-\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}+\nu_{2}+1=\frac{d}{d t} \log \frac{\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}+1, \nu_{3}+1 ; \eta_{1}\right)}{\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3} ; \eta_{1}\right)} ; \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}} & \frac{\nu_{3}+\nu_{4}+1}{t-\lambda\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}, \nu_{4}\right)}+c(t)=\frac{d}{d t} \log \frac{\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}+1, \nu_{4}+1\right)}{\tau\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}, \nu_{4}\right)}, \\
& c(t)=\frac{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}+\nu_{3}+\nu_{4}+1}{t}+\frac{\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}+\nu_{3}+\nu_{4}+1}{t-1} .
\end{array}
$$

Remark 4. In [4], another representation of a solution $\lambda(t)$ by the use of $\tau$-functions is given for each of the equations $\mathrm{P}_{J}$.
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