

## 81. On Block-Schematic Steiner Systems $S(t, t+1, v)$

By Mitsuo YOSHIZAWA

College of General Education, Keio University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1980)

**1. Introduction.** A Steiner system  $S(t, k, v)$  ( $1 < t < k < v$ ) is called block-schematic if the blocks form an association scheme with the relations determined by size of intersection. In this note, we shall give the following theorem. The detailed proof will be given elsewhere.

**Theorem.** *A Steiner system  $S(t, t+1, v)$  is block-schematic if and only if one of the following holds: (i)  $t=2$ , (ii)  $t=3, v=8$ , (iii)  $t=4, v=11$ , (iv)  $t=5, v=12$ .*

It is not difficult to check that  $S(3, 4, 8)$ ,  $S(4, 5, 11)$  and  $S(5, 6, 12)$  are block-schematic. Moreover,  $S(2, k, v)$  is also block-schematic (cf. Bose [1]). Therefore, in order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that if  $S(t, t+1, v)$  is block-schematic ( $t \geq 3$ ), then  $t=3, v=8$ , or  $t=4, v=11$ , or  $t=5, v=12$ .

**2. Notation and preliminaries.** For a Steiner system  $S=S(t, k, v)$  we use  $\lambda_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq t$ ) to represent the number of blocks which contain the given  $i$  points of  $S$ . For a block  $B$  of  $S$  we use  $x_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq k$ ) to denote the number of blocks each of which has exactly  $i$  points in common with  $B$ . By a theorem of Mendelsohn [2], the number  $x_i$  depends on  $S$ , but not on the choice of a block  $B$ .

Let  $B_1, \dots, B_{\lambda_0}$  be the blocks of  $S$ . Let  $A_h$  ( $0 \leq h \leq k$ ) be the  $h$ -adjacency matrix of  $S$  of degree  $\lambda_0$  defined by

$$A_h(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |B_i \cap B_j| = h, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $S$  is block-schematic, then  $A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^k \mu(i, j, h) A_h$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq k$ ), where  $\mu(i, j, h)$  is a non-negative integer defined by the following: When there exist blocks  $B_p$  and  $B_q$  with  $|B_p \cap B_q| = h$ ,  $\mu(i, j, h) = |\{B_r \mid |B_p \cap B_r| = i, |B_q \cap B_r| = j, 1 \leq r \leq \lambda_0\}|$ , and when there exist no blocks  $B_p$  and  $B_q$  with  $|B_p \cap B_q| = h$ ,  $\mu(i, j, h) = 0$ .

**3. Outline of the proof of Theorem.** Let  $S$  be a block-schematic Steiner system  $S(t, t+1, v)$  with  $t \geq 3$ . Since  $\lambda_i$  ( $i=0, \dots, t$ ) is an integer, we have that  $v-t$  is not divisible by any integer  $m$  with  $1 < m \leq t+1$ . By a theorem of Mendelsohn [2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t-1} &= \frac{(v-t-1)(t+1)t}{4}, & x_{t-2} &= \frac{(v-t-1)(t+1)t(t-1)(v-t-5)}{36}, \\ x_{t-3} &= \frac{(v-t-1)(t+1)t(t-1)(t-2)\{v^2 - (2t+9)v + t^2 + 9t + 26\}}{576}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S$  is block-schematic, we have

$$x_{t-1}^2 = \sum_{h=0}^{t+1} \mu_h x_h, \quad \text{where } \mu_h = \mu(t-1, t-1, h).$$

We have that  $\mu_h = 0$  for  $h \leq t-4$ ,  $1 \leq \mu_{t-3} \leq 12$ ,

$$\frac{v-t-3}{2} + 4(t-1) \leq \mu_{t-1} \leq \frac{v-t-3}{2} + 4(t-1) + \left\lceil \frac{t-1}{2} \right\rceil,$$

$$\mu_t = 0, \quad \mu_{t+1} = x_{t-1},$$

and  $9 \leq \mu_{t-2} \leq 18$  for  $t \geq 5$ , and  $9 \leq \mu_{t-2} \leq 17$  for  $t = 3, 4$  except the case  $S = S(3, 4, 8)$ , and  $\mu_1 = 0$  for  $S = S(3, 4, 8)$ . Then, we have  $3 \leq t \leq 43$ , and  $36(t+1)t > (t-1)(t-2)(v-t-8)$ .

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two points of  $S$ . Let  $a_\alpha$  and  $a_\beta$  be column vectors of degree  $\lambda_0$  such that

$$i\text{-th component of } a_\alpha(a_\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in B_i (\beta \in B_i), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $A_j$  has an eigenvalue  $d_i$  ( $j = t-1, t-2, t-3$ ) belonging to  $a_\alpha - a_\beta$  such that

$$d_{t-1} = \frac{t(t-1)(v-t-1)}{4} - \frac{(t+1)t}{2},$$

$$d_{t-2} = \frac{t(t-1)(t-2)(v-t+1)(v-t-1)}{36} - \frac{(t+1)t(t-1)}{6} - (t-1)d_{t-1},$$

$$d_{t-3} = \frac{t(t-1)(t-2)(t-3)(v-t+2)(v-t+1)(v-t-1)}{576} - \frac{(t+1)t(t-1)(t-2)}{24} - \frac{(t-1)(t-2)d_{t-1}}{2} - (t-2)d_{t-2},$$

and

$$d_{t-1}^2 = \mu_{t-3}d_{t-3} + \mu_{t-2}d_{t-2} + \mu_{t-1}d_{t-1} + x_{t-1}.$$

By the above informations, we get the following by computer calculations:  $S$  satisfies one of the following seven cases.

|     | $t$ | $v$ | $x_{t-1}$ | $x_{t-2}$ | $x_{t-3}$ | $\mu_{t-1}$ | $\mu_{t-2}$ | $\mu_{t-3}$ | $d_{t-1}$ | $d_{t-2}$ | $d_{t-3}$ |
|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| (1) | 3   | 8   | 12        | 0         | 1         | 10          | 0           | 12          | 0         | 0         | -1        |
| (2) | 3   | 10  | 18        | 8         | 3         | 11          | 9           | 12          | 3         | -2        | -2        |
| (3) | 3   | 14  | 30        | 40        | 20        | 13          | 9           | 6           | 9         | -2        | -8        |
| (4) | 4   | 11  | 30        | 20        | 15        | 15          | 15          | 8           | 8         | -2        | -7        |
| (5) | 4   | 15  | 50        | 100       | 100       | 17          | 11          | 5           | 20        | 10        | -20       |
| (6) | 5   | 12  | 45        | 40        | 45        | 20          | 18          | 8           | 15        | 0         | -15       |
| (7) | 5   | 16  | 75        | 200       | 300       | 22          | 12          | 5           | 35        | 40        | -20       |

By [3], the cases (3), (5) and (7) do not hold. And it is easy to check that the case (2) does not hold.

### References

- [1] R. C. Bose: Strongly regular graphs, partial geometries, and partially balanced designs. *Pacific J. Math.*, **13**, 389–419 (1963).
- [2] N. S. Mendelsohn: A theorem on Steiner systems. *Can. J. Math.*, **22**, 1010–1015 (1970).
- [3] N. S. Mendelsohn and S. H. Y. Hung: On the Steiner systems  $S(3, 4, 14)$  and  $S(4, 5, 15)$ . *Utilitas Math.*, **1**, 5–95 (1972).