22. A Note on the Large Sieve. III

By Yoichi Motohashi

Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., March 12, 1979)

1. The purpose of the present note is to prove a large sieve version of a recent sieve result of Selberg [4] by combining his argument with that of our preceding note [1] of this series.

Before stating our results we have to introduce some conventions: For a prime p let $\Omega(p^{\alpha})$ be a set of residues (mod p^{α}), and let us assume that $\Omega(p^{\alpha})$ and $\Omega(p^{\beta})$ are disjoint (mod p^{β}) whenever $0 < \beta < \alpha$. For a composite $d \Omega(d)$ denotes the set of residues (mod d) arising from those of $\Omega(p^{\alpha})$ with $p^{\alpha} || d$ (the maximum power of p dividing d), and we write $n \in \Omega(d)$ to indicate that $n \pmod{p^{\alpha}} \in \Omega(p^{\alpha})$ for each $p^{\alpha} || d$; so $n \in \Omega(1)$ for any n.

Following Selberg we put

$$egin{aligned} & heta(p^lpha)\!=\!1\!-\!\sum\limits_{j=1}^lpha|arOmega(p^j)|\,p^{-j},\ &g(d)\!=\!d^{-1}\!\prod\limits_{p^lpha|arOmega}\{\!|arOmega(p^lpha)|\, heta(p^lpha)|\, heta(p^lpha)/ heta(p^lpha^{-1})\} \end{aligned}$$

 $|\Omega(p^{\alpha})|$ being the cardinality of the set; here and in what follows we may assume $\theta(p^{\alpha}) \neq 0$ always. Also, if d|r, we put

$$t(r,d) = \prod_{\substack{p \in \|r\\ p \notin \|d}} t(p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}), \qquad t^*(r,d) = \prod_{\substack{p \in \|r\\ p \notin \|d}} t^*(p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}),$$

where $t(p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}) = 1$ if $\alpha = \beta$, $= |\Omega(p^{\alpha})| p^{-\alpha}$ if $\beta = 0$, and $= -|\Omega(p^{\alpha})| (\theta(p^{\beta})p^{\alpha})^{-1}$ if $0 < \beta < \alpha$; $t^*(p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}) = 1$ if $\alpha = \beta$, $= -|\Omega(p^{\alpha})| (\theta(p^{\alpha-1})p^{\alpha})^{-1}$ if $\beta = 0$, and $= |\Omega(p^{\alpha})| (\theta(p^{\alpha-1})p^{\alpha})^{-1}$ if $0 < \beta < \alpha$. Further $\Gamma_r(n, \Omega)$ stands for the sum $\sum t^*(r, u)$

$$\sum_{\substack{u\mid r\\ n\in \mathcal{Q}(u)}}t^{*}(r, u)$$

which is equal to $t^*(r, 1)$ if $n \notin \Omega(p^{\beta})$ for each $p^{\beta} | r, (\beta > 0)$.

Then our results are as follows:

Theorem. Uniformly for any complex numbers a_n and for any M, N, Q>0, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{qr \leq Q\\(q,r)=1}}^{\prime} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^{*} \frac{q}{\varphi(q)g(r)} \left| \sum_{M < n \leq M+N} \chi(n)\Gamma_r(n,\Omega)a_n \right|^2 \\ \leq (N+Q^2) \sum_{M < n \leq M+N} |a_n|^2,$$

where φ is the Euler function, \sum^* denotes a sum over primitive Dirichlet characters χ , and \sum' indicates that r is restricted by $g(r) \neq 0$. Corollary. If $a_n = 0$ whenever there exists a p^{α} such that $n \in \Omega(p^{\alpha})$, $\alpha \geq 1$, then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{qr \leq Q\\(q,r)=1}} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^{*} \frac{q}{\varphi(q)} \prod_{p^{\alpha} \parallel r} \left(\frac{1}{\theta(p^{\alpha})} - \frac{1}{\theta(p^{\alpha-1})} \right) \bigg|_{M < n \leq M+N} \chi(n) a_n \bigg|^2$$
$$\leq (N + Q^2) \sum_{M < n \leq M+N} |a_n|^2.$$

The function $\Gamma_r(n, \Omega)$ is obtained from the optimization procedure [4] of Selberg's weights λ_d for the sieve problem with the exclusion residues $\{\Omega(p^a)\}$. And our theorem states that $\{\chi(n)\Gamma_r(n, \Omega)\}$ is a set of orthogonal pseudocharacters,¹⁾ provided the conditions given there, the fact which can be easily generalized for any optimal Selberg weights (see also [2, Section 2]).

2. To prove the theorem we consider the dual form

$$D = \sum_{\substack{M < n \le M+N \\ (q,r) \ge 1}} \left| \sum_{\substack{\chi (\text{mod } q) \\ (q,r) \ge 1}} \sum_{\chi (\text{mod } q)}^{*} \left(\frac{q}{\varphi(q)} \right)^{1/2} \chi(n) \Gamma_r(n,\Omega) b(r,\chi) \right|^2,$$

where $b(r, \chi)$ are arbitrary complex numbers. And we need following lemmas:

Lemma 1. If v|u, then

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta \mid u \\ \delta \equiv 0 \pmod{v}}} t^*(u, \delta) t(\delta, v)$$

is equal to 1 when u=v, and to 0 otherwise.

Lemma 2. Let us put

$$f(u, v) = \prod_{\substack{p^{\alpha} \parallel u \\ p^{\beta} \parallel v}} f(p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta})$$

where $f(p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}) = |\Omega(p^{\alpha})| p^{-\alpha}$ if $\alpha\beta(\alpha - \beta) = 0$, and = 0 otherwise. Then we have

$$f(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{\delta \mid u \\ \delta \mid v}} g(\delta) t(u, \delta) t(v, \delta).$$

Lemma 3. For any complex numbers $c(u, h, \chi)$ and for any M, N, Q>0, we have

$$\sum_{M < n \leq M+N} \left| \sum^{**} \left(\frac{q}{\varphi(q)} \right)^{1/2} \chi(n) \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{h}{u} n\right) c(u, h, \chi) \right|^2$$
$$\leq (N+Q^2) \sum^{**} |c(u, h, \chi)|^2,$$

where \sum^{**} denotes the sum over $uq \leq Q$, (u, q) = 1; $1 \leq h \leq u$, (u, h) = 1; primitive $\chi \pmod{q}$.

Lemmas 1 and 2 are due to Selberg [4], and are immediate consequences from the definitions of functions relevant to those formulas. Lemma 3 can be reduced to the conventional additive large sieve inequality by considering the dual form.

3. Now we estimate D. From the definition of $\Gamma_r(n, \Omega)$ we have

$$D = \sum_{M < n \leq M+N} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{Q}(u) \ \chi(\text{mod } q) \\ uq \leq Q, (u,q) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{\chi(m) \neq q \\ \varphi(q)}}^{*} \left(\frac{q}{\varphi(q)} \right)^{1/2} \chi(n) s(u, \chi) \right|^2,$$

where

No. 3]

¹⁾ For this terminology see [3].

Y. Motohashi

[Vol. 55(A),

$$s(u,\chi) = \sum_{\substack{r \leq Q/q \\ r \equiv 0 \pmod{u} \\ (r,q)=1}} b(r,\chi) t^*(r,u).$$

Then, as in [1], we express the characteristic function of the set of $n \in \Omega(u)$ as a trigonometrical sum, and we get

$$D = \sum_{M < n \leq M+N} \left| \sum^{**} \left(\frac{q}{\varphi(q)} \right)^{1/2} \chi(n) \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{h}{u} n\right) y(u, h, \chi) \right|^2,$$

where \sum^{**} is defined in Lemma 3, and

$$y(u,h,\chi) = \sum_{\substack{w \leq Q/q \\ w \equiv 0 \pmod{u} \\ (w,q)=1}} s(w,\chi) w^{-1} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \in Q(w)}}^{w} \exp\left(-2\pi i \frac{h}{u}l\right).$$

Hence by Lemma 3

$$D \leq (N+Q^2) \sum^{**} |y(u, h, \chi)|^2.$$

Further, expanding out the squares and changing the order of summations in a suitable manner, we infer without difficulty that

$$D \leq (N+Q^2) \sum_{\substack{d_1q \leq Q \\ d_2q \leq Q \\ (d_1q_2,q) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q}}} s(d_1,\chi) \overline{s(d_2,\chi)} f(d_1,d_2),$$

where $f(d_1, d_2)$ is defined in Lemma 2. Thus by the same lemma $D \leq (N+Q^2) \sum_{\substack{\delta q \leq Q \\ (\delta,q)=1}} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q}}} g(\delta) | \sum_{\substack{d \equiv 0 \pmod{\delta} \\ (d,q)=1 \\ d \leq Q/q}} g(d, \chi) t(d, \delta) |^2.$

But the last sum over d is $b(\delta, \chi)$, because of Lemma 1. Therefore we have obtained

$$D \leq (N+Q^2) \sum_{\substack{\delta q \leq Q \ (\delta,q)=1}} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} g(\delta) |b(\delta,\chi)|^2,$$

which is obviously equivalent to the assertion of the theorem.

References

- [1] Y. Motohashi: A note on the large sieve. II. Proc. Japan Acad., 53A(4), 122-124 (1977).
- [2] ——: Primes in arithmetic progressions. Invent. Math., 44, 163-178 (1978).
- [3] A. Selberg: Remarks on sieves. Proc. 1972 Number Theory Conf. Univ. Colorado, pp. 205-216.
- [4] ——: Remarks on multiplicative functions. Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 626, pp. 232-241, Springer (1977).