

96. On the Mordell-Weil Group of Certain Elliptic Curve

By Fumio HAZAMA

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1979)

§ 1. Introduction. Let us consider the elliptic curve

$$(1.1) \quad E_j: y^2 = x^3 - (27j/4(j-1728))(x-1) \quad (j \neq 0, 1728, \infty)$$

which is a well known example of an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbf{Q}(j)$ with the absolute invariant j . For any value of j , the point $P_0: (x, y) = (1, 1)$ is a \mathbf{Q} -rational point of E_j . The purpose of this paper is to prove the following

Theorem 1.1. *For every $j \in \mathbf{Q}$ ($j \neq 0, 1728$), P_0 is a \mathbf{Q} -rational point of E_j of infinite order.*

Corollary 1.2. *For any $j \in \mathbf{Q}$, there exists an elliptic curve E defined over \mathbf{Q} such that 1) the absolute invariant is j and 2) $\text{rank}(E(\mathbf{Q})) \geq 1$.*

The proof depends on the following remarkable theorem due to Barry Mazur:

Theorem 1.3 (Mazur [6]). *The order of a \mathbf{Q} -rational torsion point of an elliptic curve defined over \mathbf{Q} is one of the following:*

$$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12\}.$$

For the proof of our theorem, we first show that, in case j is a variable over \mathbf{Q} , P_0 is a rational point of E_j of infinite order, by considering the associated elliptic surface over the j -line P^1 . Given a positive integer m , the set $A(m)$ of $j_0 \in \mathbf{Q} - \{0, 1728\}$ such that P_0 is a point of exact order m on E_{j_0} is obviously finite (cf. Proposition 3.2). Then by Mazur's theorem 1.3, $A(m)$ is empty if $m > 12$ or $m = 11$. Thus we have only to prove that $A(m)$ is also empty for $1 \leq m \leq 10$ or $m = 12$. This will be done case by case.

Here the author would like to thank Prof. T. Shioda who provided several valuable suggestions.

§ 2. Rational points on the generic fibre. Put $t = 27j/4(j-1728)$, then the equation of E_j becomes $y^2 = x^3 - tx + t$. From now on, we call this E_t . We note that if $j = 0, 1728, \infty$, then $t = 0, \infty, 27/4$, respectively. We note first the following

Proposition 2.1. $\text{rank}(E_t(\mathbf{Q}(t))) = 1$, where t denotes a variable over \mathbf{Q} .

Proof. Let $B \xrightarrow{\phi} P^1$ be the elliptic surface associated to E_t , then we have (Shioda [9])

$$\rho = r + 2 + \sum_{v \in S} (m_v - 1),$$

ρ ; the rank of $NS(B)$,

r ; the rank of $E_t(C(t))$,

S ; the finite set of points v of P^1 for which $\Phi^{-1}(v)$ is a singular fibre,

m_v ; number of irreducible components of $\Phi^{-1}(v)$ for $v \in S$.

As is easily seen, the types of the singular fibres are II ($t=0$), I_1 ($t=27/4$), III^* ($t=\infty$) in Kodaira's notation ([5]). Therefore $m_0=1$, $m_{27/4}=1$, $m_\infty=8$. On the other hand, we have $\rho=b_2=10$, since B is a rational elliptic surface ($t=(x^3-y^2)/(x-1)$). Hence the above formula shows that $r=1$. Now the point $(x, y)=(1, 1)$ is a $Q(t)$ rational point of E_t , which cannot be of finite order since there is a singular fibre of additive type such as $\Phi^{-1}(0)$ ([7], [8]). Therefore $\text{rank}(E_t(Q(t)))=1$.

Q.E.D.

Actually we can determine the structure of the abelian group $E_t(Q(t))$ completely:

Proposition 2.2. *$E_t(Q(t))$ is an infinite cyclic group generated by $P_0=(1, 1)$.*

Proof (due to N. Maruyama). As is shown in the proof of the above proposition, there is no element of finite order in $E_t(Q(t))$. Furthermore we can show that $P_0 \neq nQ$ for any $n \geq 2$, $Q \in E_t(Q(t))$ as follows. First we recall that the fibre $C=\Phi^{-1}(27/4)$:

$$y^2z = x^3 - (27/4)xz^2 + (27/4)z^3$$

(in homogeneous coordinates) is a singular fibre of type I_1 , i.e. a rational curve with one ordinary double point. Therefore, if we denote by $C^\#$ the set of non-singular points on C , there is an isomorphism of $C^\#$ to the multiplicative group. By elementary computation, we find that such an isomorphism is given by the following map:

$$f(x, y, z) = (3x + 2y - (9/2)z) / (-3x + 2y + (9/2)z).$$

Let us consider the induced group homomorphism

$$f; E_t(Q(t)) \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} C^\#(Q) \xrightarrow{f} Q(\sqrt{2}).$$

Note that $f(P_0) = -(1 - \sqrt{2})^4$. Suppose that we have $P_0 = nQ$, for some $Q \in E_t(Q(t))$. Then

$$f(Q)^n = f(nQ) = f(P_0) = -(1 - \sqrt{2})^4.$$

But since the algebraic integer $1 - \sqrt{2}$ is a fundamental unit of $Q(\sqrt{2})$ (cf. [1, Chapter 2, § 5]), n must be 1 or 2 or 4. The last two cases do not occur because $f(Q)^n < 0$. This proves that P_0 is a generator of $E_t(Q(t))$.

Q.E.D.

§ 3. Preliminaries. We use the following propositions to prove our theorem.

Proposition 3.1 (Cassels [2]). *Let $P=(X, Y)$ be a point on the elliptic curve*

$$E: y^2 = x^3 - Ax - B$$

and let m be a positive integer. Then the point mP has the coordinates $(\varphi_m\psi_m^{-2}, \omega_m\psi_m^{-3})$ where $\varphi_m, \psi_m, \omega_m$ are polynomials in X, Y, A, B , with integer coefficients such that if $2^k \parallel m$ then $2^k \parallel \psi_m$ (\parallel denotes exact divisibility) and they are given inductively by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= 1, & \psi_2 &= 2Y, & \psi_3 &= 3X^4 - 6AX^2 - 12BX - A_2, \\ \psi_4 &= 4Y(X^6 - 5AX^4 - 20BX^3 - 5A^2X^2 - 4ABX - 8B^2 + A^3), \\ \varphi_m &= X\psi_m^2 - \psi_{m-1}\psi_{m+1}, & 4Y\omega_m &= \psi_{m+2}\psi_{m-1}^2 - \psi_{m-2}\psi_{m+1}^2, \\ \psi_{2m} &= 2\psi_m\omega_m, & \psi_{2m+1} &= \psi_{m+2}\psi_m^3 - \psi_{m-1}\psi_{m+1}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2 (Cassels [3]). *Let (x_1, y_1) be a point of finite order on the E in Proposition 3.1, where $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$; moreover $y_1 = 0$ or $y_1^2 \mid D(E) = -4A^3 + 27B^2$.*

§ 4. Rational points on special fibres. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Now we go back to the elliptic curve (1.1) with $P = P_0$. Then $\varphi_m, \psi_m, \omega_m$ in Proposition 3.1 are polynomials in t with integer coefficients. Let us write these $\varphi_m(t), \psi_m(t), \omega_m(t)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0, 27/4\}$. If $P_0 = (1, 1)$ is a point of finite order on E_{t_0} , then t_0 must be one of the following values:*

$$(4.1) \quad t_0 = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 9, \pm 1/3, \pm 1/5.$$

Proof. If $P_0 = (1, 1)$ is a point of finite order m on E_{t_0} , then $\psi_m(t_0) = 0$ for $2 \leq m \leq 10$ or $m = 12$ by Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 3.1. Here we compute the leading coefficients (=l.c.) and constant terms (=const.) of $\psi_m(t)$:

	degree	l.c.	const.		degree	l.c.	const.
ψ_1	0	1	1	ψ_7	12	-1	7
ψ_2	0	2	2	ψ_8	15	8	8
ψ_3	2	-1	3	ψ_9	20	1	9
ψ_4	3	4	4	ψ_{10}	24	10	10
ψ_5	6	1	5	ψ_{11}	30	-1	11
ψ_6	8	6	6	ψ_{12}	35	12	12

We note that $2 \mid \psi_2, 4 \mid \psi_4, 2 \mid \psi_6, 8 \mid \psi_8, 2 \mid \psi_{10}$ and $4 \mid \psi_{12}$ by (3.1). Therefore t_0 must be one of the values in (4.1). Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.2. *For any value of t_0 in (4.1), $P_0 = (1, 1)$ is not a point of finite order on E_{t_0} .*

Proof. For $t_0 = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 9$, we can use Proposition 3.2 directly to show $P_0 = (1, 1)$ is not a point of finite order on E_{t_0} . For instance, if $t_0 = 1$, we get $2P_0 = (-1, 1), 3P_0 = (0, -1), 4P_0 = (3, -5)$. But $(-5)^2 \nmid D(E_1) = 23$, hence P_0 cannot be a point of finite order on E_1 by Proposition 3.2. We sum up the computation for $t_0 = -1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 9$ as follows:

$$E_{-1}: 2P_0 = (2, -3), (-3)^2 \nmid D_{-1} = 31.$$

$$E_3: 3P_0 = (13/9, -35/27) \notin Z \times Z.$$

$$E_{-3}: 2P_0 = (7, -19), (-19)^2 \chi D_{-3} = 351.$$

$$E_5: 2P_0 = (-1, -3), (-3)^2 \chi D_5 = 25 \cdot 7.$$

$$E_{-5}: 2P_0 = (14, -53), (-53)^2 \chi D_{-5} = 25 \cdot 47.$$

$$E_7: 4P_0 = (9/4, -13/8) \notin Z \times Z.$$

$$E_{-7}: 2P_0 = (23, -111), (-111)^2 \chi D_{-7} = 49 \cdot 55.$$

$$E_9: 2P_0 = (7, 17), 17^2 \chi D_9 = -3^6.$$

$$E_{-9}: 2P_0 = (34, -199), (-199)^2 \chi D_{-9} = 3^6 \cdot 7.$$

In case $t_0 = \pm 1/3, \pm 1/5$, we can transform the coefficients of E_{t_0} into integers by appropriate birational transformations fixing zero, therefore by isomorphisms of abelian varieties. Then we can proceed as above.

$E_{1/3} \cong E'_{1/3}: y'^2 = x'^3 - 3^3x' + 3^5$ by $x' = 3^2x, y' = 3^3y$. P_0 corresponds to $P'_0 = (9, 27)$. $2P'_0 = (-2, 17), 17^2 \chi D'_{1/3} = 3^9 \cdot 77$.

$E_{-1/3} \cong E'_{-1/3}: y'^2 = x'^3 + 3^3x' - 3^5$ by $x' = 3^2x, y' = 3^3y$. P_0 corresponds to $P'_0 = (9, 27)$. $2P'_0 = (7, -17), (-17)^2 \chi D'_{1/3} = 3^9 \cdot 85$.

$E_{1/5} \cong E'_{1/5}: y'^2 = x'^3 - 5^3x' + 5^5$ by $x' = 5^2x, y' = 5^3y$. P_0 corresponds to $P'_0 = (5^2, 5^3)$. $2P'_0 = (-1, 57), 57^2 \chi D'_{1/5} = 5^9 \cdot 131$.

$E_{-1/5} \cong E'_{-1/5}: y'^2 = x'^3 + 5^3x' - 5^5$ by $x' = 5^2x, y' = 5^3y$. P_0 corresponds to $P'_0 = (5^2, 5^3)$. $2P'_0 = (14, -37), (-37)^2 \chi D'_{-1/5} = 5^9 \cdot 139$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from these two lemmas.

To show the corollary, it suffices to find elliptic curves defined over \mathbf{Q} with $j=0, 1728$ and rank ≥ 1 . But this is a well known fact. For example, if we take $E': y^2 = x^3 - 2$, and $E'': y^2 = x^3 - 2x$, then $j(E')=0$ and $j(E'')=1728$. Moreover, by Proposition 3.2, we see that $(3, 5) \in E'(\mathbf{Q})$ and $(2, 2) \in E''(\mathbf{Q})$ are not points of finite order.

§ 5. Remark. The family E_j (see (1.1)) has connection with the theory of universal families of elliptic curves with level N structure. For $N \geq 3$, there exists such a family E_N parametrized by an affine curve C_N . Moreover, in case the base field is \mathbf{C} , Shioda proved $E_N(K_N) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^2$, where K_N denotes the function field of the base curve C_N (Shioda [9], [10]). On the other hand, there is no such family for $N \leq 2$. However, for $N=2$, it is known that the Legendre form, $E_\lambda: y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$, gives an "almost" universal family and $E_\lambda(k(\lambda)) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^2$, where k denotes the base field (see [4], [10]). For $N=1$, the situation is quite different. In fact, the family E_j , defined by (1.1), for variable j , does have a rational point of infinite order (Proposition 2.1). This observation was the starting point of the present work.

References

- [1] Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich: *Number Theory*. Academic Press, New York (1966).
- [2] J. W. S. Cassels: A note on the division value of $\wp(u)$. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **45**, 167–172 (1949).
- [3] —: Diophantine equations with special reference to elliptic curves. *J. London Math. Soc.*, **41**, 193–291 (1966).
- [4] J. Igusa: Fibre systems of Jacobian varieties (III. Fibre systems of elliptic curves). *Amer. J. Math.*, **81**, 453–476 (1959).
- [5] K. Kodaira: On compact complex analytic surfaces. II, III. *Ann. of Math.*, **77**, 563–626 (1963); **78**, 1–40 (1963).
- [6] B. Mazur: Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal. *Publ. Math. IHES*, **47**, 33–186 (1977).
- [7] A. P. Ogg: Cohomology of abelian varieties over function fields. *Ann. of Math.*, **76**, 185–212 (1962).
- [8] I. R. Shafarevich: Principal homogeneous spaces defined over a function field. *Amer. Math. Soc. Trans.*, **37**(2), 85–114 (1964).
- [9] T. Shioda: On elliptic modular surfaces. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **24**, 20–59 (1972).
- [10] —: On rational points of the generic elliptic curve with level N structure over the field of modular functions of level N . *Ibid.*, **25**, 144–157 (1973).