

### 73. Irreducible Characters of $p$ -Solvable Groups

By Tetsuro OKUYAMA\*) and Masayuki WAJIMA\*\*)

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1979)

1. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  a prime number. Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  with a defect group  $D$  and  $b$  a  $p$ -block of  $N_G(D)$  with  $b^G = B$ . It is conjectured in [1] that the number of irreducible complex characters in  $B$  of height 0 equals the number of those in  $b$ . In this note we shall show that this conjecture holds for  $p$ -solvable groups. A complete proof will be given elsewhere.

2. For a finite group  $G$  let  $\text{Ch}(G)$  (resp.  $\text{Irr}(G)$ ) denote the set of all characters (resp. irreducible characters) of  $G$ . If  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\theta$  is an irreducible character of  $K$ , then we put  $\text{Irr}(G|\theta) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid (\chi_K, \theta) \neq 0\}$  and denote the set of all sums of elements in  $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$  by  $\text{Ch}(G|\theta)$ . If  $B$  is a  $p$ -block of  $G$ , let  $\text{Irr}(B)$  be the set of irreducible characters of  $G$  in  $B$ .

The following theorem by Fong plays an important role in this note. We describe it using notation in a book of Isaacs [5, § 11].

**Theorem (Fong [3]).** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $K$  a normal  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(K)$ . If  $\theta$  is  $G$ -invariant, then there are a finite group  $\hat{G}$ , its cyclic central subgroup  $\hat{K}$  and  $\hat{\theta} \in \text{Irr}(\hat{K})$  such that the following hold:*

- (1) *there is an isomorphism  $\tau: G/K \cong \hat{G}/\hat{K}$ ,*
- (2) *for  $K \subseteq H \subseteq G$  let  $\hat{H}$  denote the inverse image in  $\hat{G}$  of  $\tau(H/K)$ .*

*For such subgroup  $H$ , there is a map  $\sigma_H: \text{Ch}(H|\theta) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\hat{H}|\hat{\theta})$  such that the following conditions hold for any  $\chi, \psi \in \text{Ch}(H|\theta)$ :*

- (a)  $\sigma_H(\chi + \psi) = \sigma_H(\chi) + \sigma_H(\psi)$
- (b)  $(\chi, \psi) = (\sigma_H(\chi), \sigma_H(\psi))$
- (c)  $\sigma_H(\psi^e) = (\sigma_H(\psi))^{\hat{e}}$ .

(3) *In (2), if  $b$  is a  $p$ -block of  $H$  such that  $\text{Irr}(b) \subseteq \text{Irr}(H|\theta)$ , then  $\sigma_H(\text{Irr}(b)) = \text{Irr}(\hat{b})$  for some  $p$ -block  $\hat{b}$  of  $\hat{H}$ . Furthermore  $b$  and  $\hat{b}$  have isomorphic defect groups and  $\sigma_H$  gives a 1-1 height preserving correspondence between  $\text{Irr}(b)$  and  $\text{Irr}(\hat{b})$ .*

The following result gives a connection between the above correspondence and Brauer's block correspondence.

**Corollary.** *In (3) in the above theorem assume that  $DC_p(D) \subseteq H$*

\*) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Osaka City University.

\*\*) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Hokkaido University.

where  $D$  is a defect group of  $b$ . Then  $b^G$  and  $\hat{b}^G$  are defined and  $\hat{b}^G = \hat{b}^{\hat{G}}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $D_1$  be a defect group of  $\hat{b}$  and put  $B = b^G$ . From the proof of Lemma (2C) [3], it follows that  $D_1$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\widehat{DK}$ . So  $DC_G(D) \subseteq H$  implies  $D_1C_{\hat{G}}(D_1) \subseteq \hat{H}$  as  $K$  is of  $p'$ -order. Thus  $\hat{b}^{\hat{G}}$  is defined. Now it suffices to show  $\hat{b}^{\hat{G}}$  is in fact  $\hat{B}$ . Let  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(b)$  and  $\zeta^G = \sum a_\chi \chi$ . For an integer  $n$  we denote the  $p$ -part of  $n$  by  $n_p$ . Then by the result of Brauer ([2, (3A)]) we have  $(\zeta^G(1))_p = \left( \sum_{\chi \in \hat{B}} a_\chi \chi(1) \right)_p$ . From the properties of  $\sigma_H$  in Fong's theorem, we have  $\sigma_H(\zeta)^{\hat{G}} = \sum a_\chi \sigma_G(\chi)$  and  $(\sigma_H(\zeta)^{\hat{G}}(1))_p = \left( \sum_{\sigma_G(\chi) \in \hat{B}} a_\chi \sigma_G(\chi)(1) \right)_p$ . Thus again by the result of Brauer it follows that  $\hat{b}^{\hat{G}} = \hat{B}$  and the theorem is proved.

**Proposition.** Let  $G$  be a finite group with a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  and a normal  $p'$ -subgroup  $K$  such that  $G = KN_G(P)$ . Let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(K)$  be  $G$ -invariant. Put  $N = N_G(P)$  and  $L = N \cap K$ . Then there is a unique  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(L)$  such that  $(\theta_L, \phi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and the number of  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$  such that  $\chi(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  equals the number of  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(N|\phi)$  such that  $\psi(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

**Proof.** The existence and the uniqueness of  $\phi$  follow from the result of Glauberman [4]. From Corollary 6.28 [5] there is a unique extension  $\theta_0 \in \text{Irr}(PK)$  of  $\theta$  such that  $p$  does not divide  $|\det(\theta_0)|$ . Also there is a unique extension  $\phi_0 \in \text{Irr}(PL)$  such that  $p$  does not divide  $|\det(\phi_0)|$ . It is easily proved that if  $\theta$  is extendible to  $G$  then so is  $\theta_0$  and if  $\phi$  is extendible to  $N$  then so is  $\phi_0$ . First we claim that  $|\text{Irr}(G|\theta_0)| = |\text{Irr}(N|\phi_0)|$ . This follows from

(\*) Assume  $G/PK$  is abelian. Then  $\theta$  is extendible to  $G$  if and only if  $\phi$  is extendible to  $N$ .

We shall prove (\*) by induction on the order of  $G$ . Let  $M$  be a  $p$ -complement in  $N$ . As  $M/L$  is abelian, there is a subgroup  $U$  with  $L \subseteq U \subseteq M$  such that  $M/U$  is cyclic and  $C_{P/P'}(U) \neq 1$ . Assume  $C_{P/P'}(U) = P/P'$ . Then  $C_P(U) = P$  and every irreducible character in  $\text{Irr}(UK|\theta)$  or  $\text{Irr}(U|\phi)$  is  $P$ -invariant. Furthermore there is a 1-1 correspondence between  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(UK|\theta)$  and  $\eta \in \text{Irr}(U|\phi)$  such that  $(\xi_U, \eta) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  by Theorem 13.1 and 13.29 [5]. If  $\phi$  is extendible to  $N$ , so is to  $M$ . Let  $\hat{\phi}$  be an extension of  $\phi$  to  $M$  and let  $\eta = \hat{\phi}_U$ . Then  $|\text{Irr}(UK|\theta)| = |\text{Irr}(U|\phi)| = |U/L| = |UK/K|$ . So  $\theta$  is extendible to  $UK$ . If  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(UK|\theta)$  such that  $(\xi_U, \eta) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $\xi$  is  $MK$ -invariant since  $\eta$  is  $M$ -invariant. As  $MK/UK$  is cyclic,  $\xi$  is extendible to  $MK$  and therefore  $\theta$  is extendible to  $MK$ . Then  $\theta$  is extendible to  $G$  by Corollary 11.31 [5]. Conversely if  $\theta$  is extendible to  $G$ , then the similar argument as above shows that  $\phi$  is extendible to  $N$ . Next assume  $C_{P/P'}(U) = Q/P' \neq P/P'$ . As  $U$  is normal in  $M$ ,  $Q$  and  $QK$  are normal in  $N$  and  $G$

respectively. Let  $H = QMK$  and  $J = C_K(Q)$ . There is a unique  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(J)$  such that  $(\theta_J, \psi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $(\psi_L, \phi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  by the result of Glauberman [4]. Considering a group  $N_G(Q)/Q$  we have by induction that  $\phi$  is extendible to  $N$  if and only if  $\psi$  is extendible to  $N_G(Q)$ . Also by induction we have that  $\psi$  is extendible to  $N_H(Q)$  if and only if  $\theta$  is extendible to  $H$ . As  $|G:H|$  and  $|N_G(Q):N_H(Q)|$  are powers of  $p$ , we can conclude from Corollary 11.31 [5] that  $\phi$  is extendible to  $N$  if and only if  $\theta$  is extendible to  $G$ . Thus (\*) is proved.

Our claim that  $|\text{Irr}(G|\theta_0)| = |\text{Irr}(N|\phi_0)|$  follows from (\*) and the result of Gallagher (see [5, Exercise 11.10]). Now we can prove the proposition. Let  $\lambda$  be a linear character of  $P$ . It suffices to show that  $|\text{Irr}(G|\theta_0\lambda)| = |\text{Irr}(N|\phi_0\lambda)|$ . We may assume  $\lambda$  is  $G$ -invariant and then the result follows from the above claim. Thus the proposition is proved.

3. In this section we shall prove our main theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -solvable group. Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  with a defect group  $D$  and  $b$  a  $p$ -block of  $N_G(D)$  with  $b^G = B$ . Then the number of irreducible characters in  $B$  of height 0 equals the number of those in  $b$ .*

**Proof.** The result is proved by induction on the index  $|G:O_{p'}(G)|$ . First we consider the case that the subgroup  $H = N_G(D)O_{p'}(G)$  is properly contained in  $G$ . Let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(O_{p'}(G))$  such that  $\text{Irr}(B) \subseteq \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$ . If  $\theta$  is not  $G$ -invariant, then the result follows from the result of Fong ([3, Theorem (2B)]) and by induction. If  $\theta$  is  $G$ -invariant, then by Theorem of Fong and Corollary in §2 we may assume that  $O_{p'}(G)$  is contained in the center of  $G$  and  $D$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Put  $P = O_p(G)$ . Since the kernel of every irreducible character of  $G$  and  $N_G(D)$  contains  $P'$ , we may assume that  $P$  is abelian. Let  $K$  be a  $p$ -complement of  $O_{p,p'}(G)$ . If  $N_G(K) = G$ , then  $G = K \times P$  and the result follows easily. So we may assume  $N_G(K) \neq G$ . Put  $Q = [P, K]$ . Then  $G \triangleright Q \neq 1$  and  $G = N_G(K)Q$ ,  $Q \cap N_G(K) = 1$ . Let  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(Q)$ . From the method of Wigner (see [6, Proposition 2.5])  $\text{Irr}(G|\lambda)$  is obtained as follows. Put  $N = N_G(K)$  and  $N_1 = I_N(\lambda)$ , the inertia subgroup of  $\lambda$  in  $N$ . Let  $\tilde{\lambda}$  be an extension of  $\lambda$  to  $N_1Q$ . Then  $\text{Irr}(G|\lambda) = \{(\tilde{\lambda}\zeta)^G | \zeta \in \text{Irr}(N_1) \subseteq \text{Irr}(N_1Q)\}$ . Thus the theorem follows by applying the induction hypothesis to certain subgroups of  $N$ . Next we consider the case that  $N_G(D)O_{p'}(G) = G$ . Set  $K = O_{p'}(G)$  and  $L = K \cap N_G(D)$ . There is  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(K)$  such that  $\text{Irr}(B) \subseteq \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$ . The result of Glauberman [4] there is a unique  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(L)$  such that  $(\theta_L, \phi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . It is clear that  $\text{Irr}(b) \subseteq \text{Irr}(N|\phi)$ . If  $\theta$  is not  $G$ -invariant, then as in the above the result follows. If  $\theta$  is  $G$ -invariant, then  $D$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and we have  $\text{Irr}(B) = \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$  and  $\text{Irr}(b) = \text{Irr}(N|\phi)$ . Then the theorem follows from Proposition in §2. Thus the theorem is proved.

4. We remark that a similar argument as in §§ 2–3 gives the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $\pi$  be a set of primes. If  $G$  is a finite  $\pi$ -solvable group and  $S$  is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then the number of irreducible characters of  $G$  of degree not divisible by any prime in  $\pi$  equals the number of those of  $N_G(S)$ .*

The above theorem for  $\pi'$ -solvable groups was proved by Wolf in [7]. Therefore combining our theorem with Wolf's, we see that the theorem also holds for  $\pi$ -separable groups.

### References

- [1] J. L. Alperin: The main problem of block theory. Proc. of Conf. on Finite Groups, Academic Press, pp. 341–356 (1975).
- [2] R. Brauer: On blocks and sections in finite groups. I. Amer. J. Math., **89**, 1115–1136 (1967).
- [3] P. Fong: On the characters of  $p$ -solvable groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **98**, 263–284 (1961).
- [4] G. Glauberman: Correspondences of characters for relatively prime operator groups. Canad. J. Math., **20**, 1465–1488 (1968).
- [5] I. M. Isaacs: Character Theory of Finite Groups. Academic Press (1976).
- [6] J. P. Serre: Représentation Linéaires des Groupes Finis. Herman S. A., Paris (1971).
- [7] T. R. Wolf: Characters of  $p'$ -degree in solvable groups. Pacific J. Math., **74**, 267–271 (1978).