

## 54. On Unimodal Linear Transformations and Chaos

By Shunji ITO, Shigeru TANAKA,\*<sup>1</sup>) and Hitoshi NAKADA\*\*<sup>2</sup>)

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1979)

§0. Introduction. Recently, there appeared many works which investigate how the orbit  $\{f_\mu^n(x); n \geq 0\}$  starting from an initial point  $x \in [0, 1]$  behaves asymptotically for a family of continuous maps  $f_\mu$  from the interval  $[0, 1]$  into itself with a parameter  $\mu$ . [1]–[6].

In the present paper we treat the unimodal linear transformations as a simple case of such maps  $f_\mu$ . In general, we call a continuous map  $f$  from  $[0, 1]$  into itself a unimodal linear transformation if  $f$  takes the extremum at  $c$  and  $f$  is linear on each intervals  $[0, c]$  and  $[c, 1]$ , for some  $c \in (0, 1)$ . But we only treat the maps defined by

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 1$  and  $a + b - ab \geq 0$ . Let a unimodal linear transformation  $f_\mu$  with parameter  $\mu = (a, b)$  be

$$(1) \quad f_\mu(x) = \begin{cases} ax + \frac{a+b-ab}{b} & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1 - \frac{1}{b} \\ -b(x-1) & \text{for } 1 - \frac{1}{b} \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that the general unimodal linear transformations are essentially reduced to  $f_\mu$  of the Definition 0.1, with some trivial exceptions.

In the present paper we state the results only. The proofs of these results will be given in forthcoming papers “On unimodal linear transformations and chaos. I, II” which will appear in Tokyo Journal. We will treat the case  $a = b$  in I and the general case in II in detail.

In concluding these introductory remarks, we would like to thank Profs. M. Yamaguti, Y. Ito, Y. Takahashi and T. Niwa for their interest on the problem and valuable advices.

§1. Some notations and definitions. For  $f_\mu$  defined by (1), let a pair of intervals  $\{I_0, I_1\}$ , which we will call the fundamental partition of  $f_\mu$ , be as follows:

Let  $I_0 = \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{b}\right]$  and  $I_1 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{b}, 1\right]$  in the case when  $f_\mu^n(0) = 0$ ,  $f_\mu^i(0) \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  for some natural number  $n$ , and the number  $k$  defined by

\*<sup>1</sup>) Department of Mathematics, Tsuda College, Kodaira, Tokyo.

\*\*<sup>2</sup>) Department of Mathematics, Keio University, Yokohama.

$$(2) \quad k = \#\left\{i; 1 \leq i \leq n-3, 1 - \frac{1}{b} < f_\mu^i(0)\right\}$$

is odd. Let  $I_0 = \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{b}\right)$  and  $I_1 = \left[1 - \frac{1}{b}, 1\right]$  otherwise.

Using this fundamental partition, we can realize  $f_\mu$  as a symbolic dynamical system as follows. Denote by  $\Omega$  the cartesian product space  $\{0, 1\}^{N^*}$ , where  $N^* = N \cup \{0\}$ , with the usual topology, and denote by  $\sigma$  the shift operator on  $\Omega$ . Define a map  $\pi_\mu$  from  $[0, 1]$  into  $\Omega$  by

$$(3) \quad \pi_\mu(x)(n) = i \quad \text{if } f_\mu^n(x) \in I_i \quad (i=0 \text{ or } 1)$$

for any  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \geq 0$ , where  $\pi_\mu(x)(n)$  denotes the  $n$ -th coordinate of  $\pi_\mu(x)$ , and call  $\pi_\mu$  the realization of  $f_\mu$  on  $\Omega$ . Let  $Y_\mu = \pi_\mu[0, 1]$  and  $X_\mu$  be the closure of  $Y_\mu$  in  $\Omega$ . Let us introduce the order relation in  $\Omega$ . Let  $S(n, \omega)$  be

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} S(n, \omega) = (-1)^{i \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \omega^{(\ell)}} & (n \geq 1) \\ S(0, \omega) = 1. \end{cases}$$

For  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$ ,  $\omega < \omega'$  means that, for some  $n$ ,

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \omega(i) = \omega'(i) & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ \omega(n) < \omega'(n) & (\omega(n) > \omega'(n)) \quad \text{if } S(n, \omega) = 1 \quad (= -1, \text{ respectively}). \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Using this order relation, we can characterize  $X_\mu$  by the image of 0 under the realization  $\pi_\mu$  as follows :*

$$(6) \quad X_\mu = \{\omega \in \Omega; \pi_\mu(0) \leq \sigma^n \omega \text{ for any } n \geq 0\}.$$

$\pi_\mu$  is not one-to-one in general, that is to say, the fundamental partition is not a generator in general.

To state the results, we divide the domain of parameter

$$(7) \quad D = \{(a, b); a > 0, b > 1, a + b - ab \geq 0\}$$

as follows :

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} D_0 &= \{(a, b) \in D; ab \leq 1\} \\ D_1 &= \left\{ (a, b) \in D; ab > 1, \frac{a+b-ab}{b} \geq \frac{b}{b+1} \right\} \\ D_2 &= \left\{ (a, b) \in D; ab > 1, \frac{b}{b+1} > \frac{a+b-ab}{b} \geq \frac{b-1}{b} \right\} \\ D^{(k)} &= \{(a, b) \in D; ab > 1, a < 1, 1 + a^{-1} + \dots + a^{-(k-1)} < b \\ &\quad < 1 + a^{-1} + \dots + a^{-k}\}, \quad k \geq 2 \\ D_3^{(k)} &= \{(a, b) \in D^{(k)}; b \leq a^{-k}\}, \quad k \geq 2 \\ D_4^{(k)} &= \{(a, b) \in D^{(k)}; a^{-k} < b, a + b \geq a^k b^2\}, \quad k \geq 2 \\ D_5^{(k)} &= D^{(k)} - (D_3^{(k)} \cup D_4^{(k)}), \quad k \geq 2 \\ D_6 &= \left\{ (a, b) \in D; a > 1, b > 1, \frac{b}{b+1} > \frac{a+b-ab}{b} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**§ 2. Main results.** Now we can state main results.

First of all, note that the fundamental partition is not a generator in the cases  $D_0$  and  $D_3^{(k)}$ . In these cases we have

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $\mu=(a, b) \in D_0$ , then every point of  $[0, 1]$  is asymptotically periodic. More precisely,*

(i) *If  $ab < 1$ , then there exists a periodic orbit with period 2 and every orbit approaches this periodic orbit.*

(ii) *If  $ab = 1$ , then there exist intervals  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  such that (a)  $f_\mu A_0 = A_1$  and  $f_\mu A_1 = A_0$  (b)  $A_0 \cup A_1$  consists of one periodic orbit with period 2 and periodic orbits with period 4. (c) For any  $x \in [0, 1] - (A_0 \cup A_1)$ ,  $f_\mu^n(x) \in A_0 \cup A_1$  for some  $n$ . And the topological entropy of  $f_\mu$  is equal to 0.*

**Theorem 2.2** (the case of “window”). *If  $\mu=(a, b) \in D_3^{(k)}$ , then*

(i) *there exists an interval  $A_0$  which satisfies  $f_\mu^{k+1} A_0 \subset A_0$  and, for any  $x \in [0, 1] - A_0$ ,  $f_\mu^n(x) \in A_0$  for some  $n$ .*

(ii) *In particular, in the case when  $1 + a^{-1} + \dots + a^{-(k-1)} < b \leq a^{-k}$ , there exists a periodic orbit with period  $k+1$  and almost all (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) orbits approach this periodic orbit.*

This is the case of so called “window”. Note that  $f_\mu$  has a periodic point of period 3, and consequently, periodic points of any period in this case. And except for the case when  $\mu$  is on the boundary of  $D_3^{(k)}$ ,  $f_\mu$  has no invariant measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

**Theorem 2.3** (topological entropy in the case of “window”). *If  $\mu=(a, b) \in D_3^{(k)}$ , then the topological entropy of  $f_\mu$  is equal to  $\log \gamma_k$ , where  $\gamma_k$  is the maximal root of the equation  $\gamma^k - \gamma^{k-1} - \dots - \gamma - 1 = 0$ .*

In the case of  $D - \left( D_0 \cup \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} D_3^{(k)} \right)$ , the fundamental partition is a generator, so we obtain the so-called  $f_\mu$ -expansion.

**Lemma 2.4** ( $f_\mu$ -expansion). *Let  $\mu=(a, b) \in D - \left( D_0 \cup \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} D_3^{(k)} \right)$ . If we denote by  $\omega_\mu^x$  the image of  $x$  under the realization  $\pi_\mu$ , then*

$$(9) \quad x = 1 - \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{a} \right)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_\mu^x(i)} \left( -\frac{1}{b} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_\mu^x(i)}.$$

It is known that  $f_\mu$  has an invariant measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure in the case  $a > 1$  and  $b > 1$  [2]. We can prove the same result for a wider class, that is, for the case  $D - \left( D_0 \cup \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} D_3^{(k)} \right)$ , and give an explicit form of the density function of the invariant measure.

**Theorem 2.5** (density function of invariant measure). *Let  $\mu=(a, b) \in D - \left( D_0 \cup \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} D_3^{(k)} \right)$ . Let a function  $h_\mu(x)$  be*

$$(10) \quad h_\mu(x) = c_\mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega_\mu^0(i)} \left(-\frac{1}{b}\right)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega_\mu^0(i)} I_{[f_\mu^n(0), 1]}(x),$$

where  $c_\mu$  is a normalizing constant. Then it follows that

- (i)  $h_\mu$  is a function of bounded variation and  $h_\mu \geq 0$ .
- (ii)  $h_\mu(x)dx$  is an invariant measure for  $f_\mu$ , that is,

$$(11) \quad \int_A h_\mu(x)dx = \int_{f_\mu^{-1}A} h_\mu(x)dx$$

for any Borel set  $A \subset [0, 1]$ .

The results regarding the support of this invariant measure and the maximal period in the sense of Šarkovskii are as follows:

**Theorem 2.6** (the case of even period). *If  $\mu = (a, b) \in D_1$ , then there exist intervals  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{2^n-1}$  for some  $n = n(\mu)$  such that*

- (i)  $f_\mu A_i = A_{i+1}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 2$  and  $f_\mu A_{2^n-1} = A_0$ .
- (ii)  $f_\mu^{2^n} | A_i \cong f_{\mu'}$  (topologically conjugate) for some  $\mu' \in D_8$ .
- (iii) The support of  $h_\mu$  is  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{2^n-1} A_i$ .

In this case,  $f_\mu$  has period  $2^n(2m+1)$  as the maximal period for some  $m = m(\mu)$ .

**Theorem 2.7** (the case of odd period). *In the case of  $D_2, D_8^{(k)}$  and  $D_8$ , we have,*

- (i)  $h_\mu(x) > 0$  a.e. on  $[0, 1]$ .
- (ii) The dynamical system  $(f_\mu, h_\mu(x)dx)$  is weak Bernoulli.
- (iii)  $f_\mu$  has period 3 as the maximal period in the cases  $D_8^{(k)}$  and  $D_8$ .
- (iv)  $f_\mu$  has period  $2j+1$  as the maximal period for some  $j = j(\mu) \geq 2$  in the case  $D_2$ .

**Theorem 2.8** (the case of “islands”). *If  $\mu = (a, b) \in D_4^{(k)}$ , then*

- (i) there exist intervals  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k$  such that (a)  $f_\mu A_i = A_{i+1}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$  and  $f_\mu A_k = A_0$ . (b)  $f_\mu^{k+1} | A_i \cong f_{\mu'}$  for  $\mu' = (a^{k-1}b^2, a^k b)$ .
- (c) If  $a+b < a^k b^3$ , then  $\mu'$  of (b) belongs to  $D_8$  and it follows that the support of  $h_\mu$  is  $\bigcup_{i=0}^k A_i$ .
- (d) If  $a+b \geq a^k b^3$ , then the support of  $h_\mu$  is of the form  $\bigcup_{i=0}^k (A_i^0 \cup A_i^1)$  for some subintervals  $A_i^0$  and  $A_i^1$  of  $A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq k$ ).

- (ii) The topological entropy of  $f_\mu$  is equal to  $\log \gamma_k$ .
- (iii) The dynamical system  $(f_\mu, h_\mu(x)dx)$  is ergodic but not weakly mixing.

In this case  $f_\mu$  is chaotic, for it has period 3. But the support of  $h_\mu$  is not the whole interval  $[0, 1]$ . So we call this case “islands”.

The following table summarizes the results obtained above.

	maximal period	topological entropy	support of $h\mu(x)$	ergodicity w.r.t. $h\mu(x)dx$
$\overset{\circ}{D}_0$	2	0	there exists no a.c. invariant measure	
$\partial D_0$	4	0	$A_0 \cup A_1$	not ergodic
$\overset{\circ}{D}_1$	$2^n(2m+1)$ for some $n$ and $m$		$A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{2^n-1}$ for some $n$	ergodic but not weakly mixing
$\partial D_1$	6	$\log \sqrt{2}$	$[0, 1]$	ergodic but not weakly mixing
$\overset{\circ}{D}_2$	$2m+1$ for some $m \geq 2$		$[0, 1]$	weak Bernoulli
$\partial D_2$	3	$\log \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$[0, 1]$	weak Bernoulli
$\overset{\circ}{D}_3^{(k)}$	3	$\log \gamma_k$	there exists no a.c. invariant measure	
$\partial D_3^{(k)}$	3	$\log \gamma_k$	$A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$	not ergodic
$\overset{\circ}{D}_4^{(k)}$	3	$\log \gamma_k$	$A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$ or $A_0^0 \cup A_0^1 \cup \dots \cup A_k^0 \cup A_k^1$	ergodic but not weakly mixing
$\partial D_4^{(k)}$	3	$\log \gamma_k$	$A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$	ergodic but not weakly mixing
$D_5^{(k)}$	3		$[0, 1]$	weak Bernoulli
$D_6$	3		$[0, 1]$	weak Bernoulli

In the case  $a = b$ , we write  $f_a(\omega_a^x, h_a(x))$  for  $f_\mu(\mu_\mu^x, h_\mu(x))$ , respectively). We can simplify the formulae (9) and (10) as follows:

$$(9) \quad x = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S(n, \omega_a^x) a^{-(n+1)}$$

$$(10) \quad h_a(x) = c_a \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S(n, \omega_a^x) a^{-(n+1)} I_{[f_a^n(0), 1]}(x).$$

And we can determine the topological entropy of  $f_a$  completely.

**Theorem 2.9.** *The topological entropy of  $f_a$  is equal to  $\log a$  for  $1 < a \leq 2$ .*

There is neither “window” nor “islands” in this case.

### References

[1] L. Jonker and D. Rand: A lower bound for the entropy of certain maps of the unit interval (preprint).  
 [2] A. Lasota and J. A. Yorke: On the existence of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **186**, 481–488 (1973).  
 [3] T. Y. Li and J. A. Yorke: Period three implies chaos. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **82**, 985–992 (1975).  
 [4] —: Ergodic transformations from an interval into itself. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **235**, 183–192 (1978).

- [ 5 ] J. Milnor and W. Thurston: On iterated maps of the interval. I (preprint).
- [ 6 ] P. Štefan: A theorem of Šarkovskii on the existence of periodic orbits of continuous endomorphisms of the line. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **54**, 237–248 (1977).