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1. In this note we are concerned with the existence of $P_{2}$ (numbers having at most two prime factors) in almost all short intervals. The hitherto best result in this field is due to Heath-Brown [1], who has shown, using the weighted linear sieve, that for almost all $x$ there exists a $P_{2}$ such that $x<P_{2} \leqq x+x^{1 / 11}$. Improving on this we shall prove, by an easy variant of Jutila's argument [2], the following result:

Theorem. Let $\varepsilon$ be an arbitrary small positive constant. Then for almost all $x$ there exists a $P_{2}$ such that $x<P_{2} \leqq x+x^{\varepsilon}$.
Before giving the proof we make some remarks. One may consider the possibility of reducing the length of intervals from $x^{6}$ to a power of $\log x$, which is desirable especially when Selberg's result [4] on primes in short intervals is taken into account. But the best result our argument can yield seems to be only $\exp \left(C(\log x)^{2 / 3}(\log \log x)^{4 / 3}\right)$ with a large constant $C$, instead of $x^{8}$ in our theorem. Also one may ask how the situation is if we consider the least $P_{2}$ in almost all arithmetic progressions modulo a fixed integer. Because of the lack of a result on Dirichlet's $L$-functions comparable with Vinogradov's zero-free region for $\zeta(s)$ the Riemann zeta-function, our argument in the present note cannot be modified so as to be applicable to arithmetic progressions. Thus our result in [3] remains so far to be the best.
2. Now we enter into the proof. Let $x$ be sufficiently large, and let us assume that $x>U, V>x^{1 / 3}$. And we put, denoting primes by $p, p^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(s)=\sum_{U<p \leq 2 U} p^{-s}, \\
\Phi(y)=\sum_{\substack{y<p p^{\prime} \leq \frac{3}{U}(1+1 / V) \\
U<p \leq 2 U}} \log p^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Further we put

$$
I_{x}=\frac{1}{x} \int_{x}^{2 x}\left|\Phi(y)-\frac{y}{V} P(1)\right|^{2} d y
$$

We have

$$
\Phi(y)-\frac{y}{V} P(1)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\eta-i U}^{\eta+i U}\left\{G(s)-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s)\right\} P(s)\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{V}\right)^{s}-1\right) y^{s} \frac{d s}{s} \\
& +O\left(\frac{x}{U}(\log x)^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above we have put

$$
\eta=1-(\log x)^{-3 / 4}, \quad G(s)=\sum_{p} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}\left(1-p^{s}\right)}
$$

and have appealed to the well-known estimate

$$
\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(\sigma+i t) \ll \log |t|
$$

for

$$
\sigma \geqq 1-c(\log |t|)^{-2 / 3-s}, \quad|t| \geqq 2
$$

Thus we get, after performing the integration over $y$,

$$
I_{x} \ll \frac{x^{2 \eta}}{V^{2}}(\log x)^{2} \int_{-U}^{U} \int_{-U}^{U} \frac{|P(\eta+i u) P(\eta+i v)|}{1+|u-v|} d u d v+\left(\frac{x}{U}\right)^{2}(\log x)^{8} .
$$

The double integral is obviously

$$
\ll \int_{-U}^{U}|P(\eta+i u)|^{2} d u \int_{-U}^{U} \frac{d v}{1+|u-v|} \ll U^{2(1-\eta)} \log U
$$

So we find

$$
I_{x} \ll\left(\frac{x}{V} P(1)\right)^{2}\left\{(\log x)^{5}\left(\frac{U}{x}\right)^{2(1-\eta)}+\left(\frac{V}{U}\right)^{2}(\log x)^{8}\right\} .
$$

Setting in this

$$
V=x^{1-\varepsilon}, \quad U=V \exp \left((\log x)^{1 / 4}\right)
$$

we get

$$
I_{x} \ll\left(\frac{x}{V} P(1)\right)^{2} \exp \left(-(\log x)^{1 / 5}\right)
$$

This ends the proof.
Added in Proof (June 18, 1979). Combining quite ingeniously the zero-density argument with our method, D. Wolke (Univ. Freiburg) has succeeded in reducing the length of the intervals of our theorem to a power of $\log x$. See his forthcoming paper entitled "Fast-Primzahlen in kurzen Intervallen".
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