

52. Best Possibility of an Integral Test for Sample Continuity of L_p -Processes ($p \geq 2$)

By Norio KÔNO

Institute of Mathematics, Yoshida College, Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1978)

§ 1. Introduction. Let $\{X(t, \omega); 0 \leq t \leq 1, \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a real valued separable stochastic process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, P)$. We concern a best possible integral test for sample continuity of all processes belonging to an indicated class. For this aim, we define for $p \geq 1$,

S_p = a collection of all separable stochastic processes $\{X(t, \omega); 0 \leq t \leq 1, \omega \in \Omega\}$ up to equivalent class such that

$$(E[|X(t)|^p])^{1/p} = \|X(t)\|_p < +\infty \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

Σ = a collection of all continuous function on $[0, 1]$ which are non-negative, non-decreasing and zero at the origin,

and for $\sigma \in \Sigma$

$$S_p(\sigma) = \{\{X(t)\} \in S_p; \|X(t) - X(s)\|_p \leq \sigma(|t - s|)\}.$$

Then the following integral test for sample continuity of all processes belonging to $S_p(\sigma)$ is known ([1]).

Theorem A. *If*

$$I_p(\sigma) = \int_{+0} h^{-(1+1/p)} \sigma(h) dh < +\infty,$$

then all processes belonging to $S_p(\sigma)$ have continuous sample paths with probability 1.

The converse statement is not true in general, but Hahn-Klass [2] have proved the following theorem using a rearrangement of σ in case of $p=2$.

$$\text{Set } \bar{\sigma}(h) = \inf_{y \geq 1} y \sigma(h/y).$$

Theorem B. *All processes belonging to $S_2(\sigma)$ have continuous sample paths with probability 1 if and only if $I_2(\bar{\sigma})$ converges.*

In this paper, we establish some relation concerning about $\bar{\sigma}$ and extend Theorem B to $p \geq 2$ by just the analogous method as them.

§ 2. Set

$$\sigma_*(h) = \sup_{\{X(t)\} \in S_p(\sigma)} \sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq h \\ 0 \leq t \leq t+s \leq 1}} \|X(t+s) - X(t)\|_p,$$

and

$$\sigma^*(h) = \text{the largest sub-additive minorant of } \sigma,$$

that is, σ^* is characterized by the following :

(i) $\sigma^* \in \Sigma$,

- (ii) $\sigma^* \leq \sigma$,
- (iii) $\sigma^*(s+t) \leq \sigma^*(s) + \sigma^*(t)$,
- (iv) if σ' satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii), then $\sigma' \leq \sigma^*$.

Lemma 1. $\sigma^* = \sigma_*$.

In fact, obviously we have $\sigma_* \leq \sigma$ and $\sigma_* \in \Sigma$. By the triangular inequality and the definition of σ_* , σ_* satisfies (iii) which implies $\sigma_* \leq \sigma^*$ by (iv). Conversely, choose an arbitral random variable X such that $\|X\|_p = 1$ and set $X(t) = \sigma^*(t)X$, then

$$\|X(t) - X(s)\|_p \leq |\sigma^*(t) - \sigma^*(s)| \leq \sigma^*(|t-s|) \leq \sigma(|t-s|).$$

Therefore we have $\{X(t)\} \in S_p(\sigma)$ and it follows from the definition of σ_* that

$$\sigma^*(t) = \|X(t) - X(0)\|_p \leq \sigma_*(t). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Lemma 2. *Hahn-Klass' function $\bar{\sigma}$ has the following properties:*

- (i) $0 \leq \bar{\sigma} \leq \sigma$,
- (ii) $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma$,
- (iii) $x\bar{\sigma}(1/x)$ is continuous, non-decreasing on $[1, +\infty)$,
- (iv) $\bar{\sigma}$ is sub-additive,
- (v) $\bar{\sigma} \leq \sigma^* = \sigma_* \leq 2\bar{\sigma}$.

Proof. In case of $p=2$, the properties (i)–(iii) have been proved in [2], and one can easily apply their proofs to the general case. To prove (iv), assume $h \geq h' \geq 0$ and $h+h' \leq 1$, then it follows by (iii) that

$$\bar{\sigma}(h+h')/(h+h') \leq \bar{\sigma}(h)/h,$$

and again by (iii) we have

$$\bar{\sigma}(h+h') \leq \bar{\sigma}(h) + h'\bar{\sigma}(h)/h \leq \bar{\sigma}(h) + \bar{\sigma}(h').$$

The first inequality of (v) follows from sub-additivity of $\bar{\sigma}$ and the definition of σ^* . For the second inequality of (v), we notice that

$$\|X(h) - X(0)\|_p \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|X(kh/n) - X((k-1)h/n)\|_p \leq n\sigma(h/n), \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore for any y with $n \leq y < n+1$, it follows that

$$y\sigma(h/y) \geq n\sigma(h/(n+1)) \geq (n+1)\sigma(h/(n+1))/2 \geq \|X(h) - X(0)\|_p/2$$

holds for any $\{X(t)\} \in S_p(\sigma)$, which yields $2\bar{\sigma} \geq \sigma_*$.

§ 3. Now we establish an extension of Theorem B.

Theorem. *When $p \geq 2$, all processes belonging to $S_p(\sigma)$ have continuous sample paths with probability 1 if and only if one of the following three conditions is fulfilled:*

- (i) $I_p(\bar{\sigma}) < +\infty$,
- (ii) $I_p(\sigma^*) < +\infty$,
- (iii) $I_p(\sigma_*) < +\infty$.

Remark. One can easily construct an example such that $I_p(\bar{\sigma}) < +\infty$ but $I_p(\sigma) = +\infty$ by the same way as that of [2], who have given such example in case of $p=2$.

For the proof of Theorem, it is sufficient by virtue of Theorem A and Lemma 2 that we construct a stochastic process $\{X(t)\}$ belonging

to $S_p(\sigma)$ which does not have continuous sample paths with probability 1 under the condition $I_p(\bar{\sigma}) = +\infty$. For this aim, we will modify the proof of [2]. First we need several lemmas.

Lemma 3 ([4, p. 129]). *Let $\{a_n\}$ be a non-negative, non-increasing sequence with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$. Then a Fourier cosine series*

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos 2\pi nx, \quad x \in [0, 1]$$

converges uniformly on any compact subset of the open interval $(0, 1)$. Moreover, for $p > 1$, $g(x)$ belongs to $L_p[0, 1]$ (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p n^{p-2} < +\infty.$$

Lemma 4 ([4, p. 109]). *Let $\{c_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be complex numbers such that $\sum |c_n|^p (|n|+1)^{p-2}$ converges for $p \geq 2$. Then, there exists an f in $L^p[0, 1]$ such that*

$$c_n = \int_0^1 f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx,$$

and

$$\left(\int_0^1 |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq A_p \left(\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n|^p (|n|+1)^{p-2} \right)^{1/p},$$

where, A_p is a constant independent of f or $\{c_n\}$.

Lemma 5. *If $I_p(\bar{\sigma}) = +\infty$ ($p > 1$), then $x\bar{\sigma}(1/x) \uparrow +\infty$ as $x \uparrow +\infty$.*

Lemma 6. *If $I_p(\bar{\sigma}) = +\infty$ ($p > 1$), then there exists a non-negative random variable Y such that*

- (i) for any $y \geq 1$
 $\|Y \wedge y\|_p \leq 6y\bar{\sigma}(1/y)/\bar{\sigma}(1), \quad (a \wedge b = \min(a, b))$
- (ii) $\int_1^{+\infty} P(Y > y)^{1/p} y^{1/p-1} dy = +\infty.$

Outline of the proof. Analogously as that of [2], set

$$t_0 = 1, \quad t_n = \sup \{x; x\bar{\sigma}(1/x) \leq 2^n \bar{\sigma}(1)\},$$

then there exists a random variable Y such that

- a) $p(Y > t_n) = (2^n / t_n)^p = (\bar{\sigma}(1/t_n) / \bar{\sigma}(1))^p,$
- b) $p(Y > y) = p(Y > t_{n+1}) \quad \text{for } 2t_n \leq y < t_{n+1},$

and

$$p(Y > y) = p(Y > t_n)(2t_n - y)/t_n + p(Y > t_{n+1})(y - t_n)/t_n,$$

for $t_n \leq y < 2t_n$.

It is easy to check (i) and (ii) for the above Y .

Lemma 7. *Let $\{a_n, n=1, 2, \dots\}$ be a non-negative sequence and set for $p > 1$,*

$$g_n^p = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k^p k^{p-2}.$$

Then there exist positive constants B_p and C_p depending only on p such that

$$(i) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n n^{1/p-1} \geq B_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n,$$

(ii) if a_n is non-increasing,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n n^{1/p-1} \leq C_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \quad (\text{Boas' inequality}).$$

In case of $p=2$, one can find a proof in [3]. Lemma 7 is also proved analogously.

Lemma 8. Set $b_n = P(Y > n)^{1/p}$, ($p \geq 2$) for the random variable Y in Lemma 6. Then there exists a rearrangement \bar{b}_n of b_n such that

$$(i) \bar{b}_n \leq b_n,$$

$$(ii) a_n = (\bar{b}_n^p - \bar{b}_{n+1}^p)^{1/p} n^{2/p-1} \text{ is positive, non-increasing,}$$

$$(iii) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_n n^{1/p-1} = +\infty,$$

$$(iv) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = +\infty,$$

$$(v) \sum_{k=1}^j a_k^p k^{2p-2} + j^p \sum_{k>j}^{\infty} a_k^p k^{p-2} \leq E[(Y \wedge j)^p].$$

In fact, \bar{b}_n is defined as the largest convex minorant of b_n^p , then all conditions (i)–(v) are fulfilled by Lemmas 6 and 7, (ii).

Proof of Theorem. We choose $\{a_n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$ in Lemma 8 and set

$$X(t, x) = D_p g(x-t) = D_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos 2\pi n(x-t),$$

and

$$D_p = \bar{\sigma}(1) / (A_p \pi \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{3-1/p}).$$

Then, $\{X(t, x); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is a stochastic process on the probability space $([0, 1], dx)$ and belongs to S_p by the definition of $\{a_n\}$ and by Lemma 3.

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \int_0^1 (X(t+h)x) - X(t, x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \\ &= D_p a_{|n|} (e^{-2\pi i n(t+h)} - e^{-2\pi i n t}) / 2, \end{aligned}$$

it follows by Lemmas 4 and 8, (v) that for $1/j \leq h < 1/(j-1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[|X(t+h) - X(t)|^p]^{1/p} &\leq A_p \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n|^p (|n|+1)^{p-2} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq A_p D_p \left(\pi^p 2^{p-1} h^p \sum_{nh \leq 1} a_n^p n^{2p-2} + 2^{p-1} \sum_{nh > 1} a_n^p n^{p-2} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2^{2-1/p} \pi A_p D_p (E[(Y \wedge j)^p])^{1/p} / j \\ &\leq 3 \cdot 2^{3-1/p} \pi A_p D_p \bar{\sigma}(1/j) / \bar{\sigma}(1) \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}(h) \leq \sigma(h). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{X(t)\}$ belongs to $S_p(\sigma)$, having discontinuous (unbounded) sample paths with probability 1 because of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = +\infty$ by Lemma 8, (iv).

References

- [1] Hahn, M. G.: Conditions for sample continuity and the central limit theorem. *Ann. Prob.*, **5**, 351–360 (1977).
- [2] Hahn, M. G., and Klass, M. J.: Sample continuity of square integrable processes. *Ibid.*, **5**, 361–370 (1977).
- [3] Jain, N. C., and Marcus, M. B.: A new proof of a sufficient condition for discontinuity of Gaussian processes. *Z. Wahr. Geb.*, **27**, 293–296 (1973).
- [4] Zygmund, A.: *Trigonometric Series*, vol. II, Cambridge Univ. Press (1959).