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1. In a previous paper we have considered a family $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathrm{r}}$ of analytic functions which are regular and $p$-valent in the unit-circle $|z|<1$ and have the expansion of the type
(1)

$$
w(z)=z^{p}+a_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\ldots \ldots
$$

and proved that

$$
|w(z)| \geqq\left(\frac{1}{1.0365 \ldots}\right)^{p} \cdot \frac{|z|^{p}}{(1+|z|)^{2 p}}
$$

for $|z| \leqq x_{0}$ and

$$
|w(z)| \geqq\left(\frac{1}{1.0604 \ldots}\right)^{p} \cdot \frac{|z|^{p}}{(1+|z|)^{2 p}}
$$

for $x_{0} \leqq|z| \leqq 1$, where $x_{0}=0.7389 \ldots \ldots$
2. Here we want to ameliorate this result, and our new evaluation is as follows:

For $|z| \leqq x_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|w(z)| \geqq\left(\frac{1}{1.00755 \ldots}\right)^{p} \cdot \frac{|z|^{p}}{(1+|z|)^{2 p}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $x_{1} \leqq|z| \leqq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|w(z)| \geqq\left(\frac{1}{1.031 \overline{42 \ldots}}\right)^{p} \cdot \frac{|z|}{(1+|z|)^{2 p}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1}=0.80458$...
We will give here an outline of the-demonstration of this result, and the detailed proof shall be given in another journal.
3. Our evaluation is based on the following theorems:
(I) $\left.\left|a_{p+1}\right| \leqq 2 p^{2}\right)$
(II) $\quad|w(z)|^{\frac{3}{2 p}} \geqq \frac{|z|^{\frac{3}{3}}}{1+3|z|+2 \sqrt{3}|z| \sqrt{\frac{|z|}{2} \log \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}}}$, for $|z|<1$.

The sketch of the proof of the inequality (II) shall be given in the following lines.

If we write
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$$
\left\{\frac{w(z)}{z^{p}}\right\}^{-\frac{3}{2 p}}=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\ldots+b_{n} z^{n}+\ldots
$$

then we have, by the theorem of the previous paper, ${ }^{1)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty}(2 \nu-3)\left|b_{\nu}\right|^{2} \leqq 12 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and observing that

$$
b_{1}=-\frac{3}{2 p} a_{p+1}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left\{\frac{w(z)}{z^{p}}\right\}^{-\frac{3}{2 p}}+\frac{3}{2 p} a_{p+1} z-1 \right\rvert\, & =\left|\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} b_{\nu} z^{\nu}\right| \\
& \leqq \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty}\left|b_{\nu}\right| \cdot \mid z^{\prime} \nu \\
& =\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} \sqrt{2 \nu-3}\left|b_{\nu}\right| \cdot \frac{|z| \nu}{\sqrt{2 \nu-3}} \\
& \leqq\left\{\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty}(2 \nu-3)\left|b_{\nu}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left\{\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z| 2 \nu}{2 \nu-3}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4) and by an easy calculation ${ }^{2)}$ we obtain, for $|\boldsymbol{z}|<1$,

$$
\left|\left\{\frac{w(z)}{z^{p}}\right\}^{-\frac{3}{3 p}}+\frac{3}{2 p} a_{p+1} z-1\right| \leqq 2 \sqrt{3}|z| \sqrt{\frac{| |}{2} \log \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}}
$$

from which, taking in consideration the inequality (I), we can derive the inequality (II).
4. To attain our main object, therefore, it is sufficient to evaluate the real function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x) \equiv \frac{x^{\frac{2}{3}}}{1+3 x+2 \sqrt{3} x \sqrt{\frac{x}{2} \log \frac{1+x}{1-x}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have proved the following:
(III) The real function (5) has, in the interval $0<x<1$, the greatest value for $x=x_{1}=0.80458 \ldots$
(IV) In the interval $0 \leqq x \leqq x_{1}$, we have

$$
1+3 x+2 \sqrt{3} x \sqrt{\frac{x}{2} \log \frac{1+x}{1-x}} \leqq \bar{x}_{0}(1+x)^{3}
$$

where $x_{0}=1.02913 .$.

1) A. Kobori, loc. cit.
2) For $|z|<1$ we have

$$
\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{2 \nu}}{2 \nu-3}=\frac{|z|^{3}}{2} \log \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}
$$

On use of these results there now follows:

$$
|w(z)| \geqq\left\{\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}^{\frac{2 p}{3}}, \text { for } x_{1} \leqq|z| \leqq 1,
$$

and

$$
|w(z)| \geqq\left(\frac{1}{\bar{x}_{0}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3} p} \frac{x^{p}}{(1+x)^{2 p}}, \text { for }|z| \leqq x \leqq x_{1} .
$$

From the former we can easily derive the second part of our theorem enun ciated in the paragraph 2, and the latter is nothing but the first part of the same theorem.

