

63. On the Possibility of the Weil's Integral Representation.

By Sin HITOTUMATU.

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University.

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., June 12, 1951.)

1. In the space of n complex variables (z_1, \dots, z_n) , we take a closed domain P contained in a domain D . If there exist a finite number of functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ regular in D such that $|\varphi_j(z)| < 1$, ($j = 1, \dots, m$) for all points (z) in the interior of P , while at each boundary point of P at least one of them takes absolute unit value, then P is called a polyhedral domain in D .¹⁾ We also assume that $m \geq n$, and the varieties on its boundary

$$(1) \quad \sigma_{j_1, \dots, j_n} = \{(z) : |\varphi_{j_\mu}(z)| = 1, (\mu = 1, \dots, n)\}$$

have all at most dimension n . This condition shall be satisfied by suitable translations.

Next we assume²⁾ that there exist functions $p_{jk}(\zeta; (z))$, ($j = 1, \dots, m; k = 1, \dots, n$) regular on $(\zeta) \in P$ and $(z) \in P$, satisfying

$$(2) \quad \varphi_j(\zeta) - \varphi_j(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\zeta_k - z_k) p_{jk}(\zeta; (z)).$$

We put

$$\Delta_{j_1 \dots j_n}(\zeta; (z)) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} p_{j_1 1}, \dots, p_{j_1 n} \\ \vdots \\ p_{j_n 1}, \dots, p_{j_n n} \end{vmatrix}}{\prod_{\mu=1}^n [\varphi_{j_\mu}(\zeta) - \varphi_{j_\mu}(z)]}.$$

Under these conditions, a function $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ regular on P ³⁾ is represented by Weil's integral formula in P ⁴⁾

$$(3) \quad f((z)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum \int_{\sigma_{j_1 \dots j_n}} f((\zeta)) \cdot \Delta_{j_1 \dots j_n}(\zeta; (z)) d\zeta_1 \dots d\zeta_n.$$

1) Cf. for example: S. Hitotumatu, Cousin problems for ideals and the domain of regularity, will appear in *Kōdai Math. Sem. Reports*, vol. 3 (1951).

2) We will discuss this assumption later.

3) This means that f is regular in some neighborhood containing the closure of P .

4) A. Weil: Sur les séries de polynomes de deux variables complexes, *C. R. Paris* **194** (1932), 1304-5; *L'intégrale de Cauchy et les fonctions de plusieurs variables*, *Math. Ann.* **111** (1935), 178-182.

where the summation is taken over all n -combinations (j_1, \dots, j_n) , where the indices are chosen from $(1, \dots, m)$.

2. This integral formula is based upon the existence of the functions $p_{jk}(\zeta; z)$ satisfying (2). Mr. A. Weil himself assumed it *a priori*. Later Mr. K. Oka⁵⁾ proved that there exist functions $R(\zeta; z)$ and $p_{jk}^*(\zeta; z)$, which are all regular on $(\zeta) \in P$ and $(z) \in P$, $R(\zeta; \zeta) \equiv 1$ and satisfying

$$(2') \quad R(\zeta; z) \cdot [\varphi_j(\zeta) - \varphi_j(z)] = \sum_{k=1}^n (\zeta_k - z_k) p_{jk}^*(\zeta; z).$$

But this result is rather complicated because of the factor $R(\zeta; z)$.

Recently, Mr. H. Hefer⁶⁾ showed that *there always exist functions $p_{jk}(\zeta; z)$ satisfying (2)*. His proof is elementary, but here, we remark that this result is easily proved by using the *theory of ideals* of analytic functions due to Messrs. K. Oka and H. Cartan.⁷⁾

One of their important results is the following:⁸⁾

Lemma.⁹⁾ *Suppose that an ideal \mathfrak{F} with finite bases in a polyhedral domain P and a function $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ regular on P satisfy the condition that for every point α of P , f belongs to the ideal \mathfrak{F}_α generated by \mathfrak{F} at α . Then f belongs to \mathfrak{F} itself.*

3. Now we will prove the above Hefer's result by using this lemma.

The closed domain $Q = P \times P$ in the space of $2n$ complex variables $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n; z_1, \dots, z_n$ is also a polyhedral domain in $D \times D$. We consider there, the ideal \mathfrak{F} with finite bases $\zeta_k - z_k$, ($k = 1, \dots, n$). Any point α of Q has the form $(a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n)$ where $(a), (b) \in P$. If $(a) \neq (b)$, the ideal \mathfrak{F}_α generated by \mathfrak{F} at α is the unit-ideal, i.e., the family consisting of all functions regular at α . Therefore it is evident that \mathfrak{F}_α contains the functions $\varphi_j(\zeta) - \varphi_j(z)$, ($j = 1, \dots, m$). If $(a) = (b)$, there exists a neighborhood

$$U = \{(\zeta; z); |\zeta_k - a_k| < \varepsilon, |z_k - a_k| < \varepsilon, (k = 1, \dots, n)\}.$$

5) K. Oka: L'intégrale de Cauchy, Jap. J. of Math. **17** (1941), 523-531.

6) H. Hefer: Über eine Zerlegung analytischer Funktionen und die Weilsche Integraldarstellung, Math. Ann. **122** (1950), 276-9.

7) K. Oka: Sur quelques notions arithmétiques, Bull. Soc. Math. France **78** (1950), 1-27; H. Cartan, Idéaux et modules de fonctions analytiques de variables complexes, Bull. Soc. Math. France **78** (1950), 29-64. See also Hitotumatu, loc. cit. 1).

8) For the terminologies used here, see Hitotumatu, loc. cit. 1).

9) "Théorème 4 bis" in Cartan, loc. cit. 7); see also Hitotumatu, loc. cit. 1) "Lemma 5a".

in which all the $\varphi_j(\zeta)$ and $\varphi_j(z)$ are regular. We now obtain the following expression in U :

$$(4) \quad \varphi_j(\zeta) - \varphi_j(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} (\zeta_k - z_k) \cdot \gamma_{jk}(\zeta; (z))$$

where

$$\gamma_{jk}(\zeta; (z)) = \frac{1}{\zeta_k - z_k} \left[\varphi_j(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \zeta_n) - \varphi_j(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_k, \zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \zeta_n) \right].$$

These functions $\gamma_{jk}(\zeta; (z))$ are evidently regular in U except on the variety $\zeta_k = z_k$. But if ζ_k tends to z_k , $\gamma_{jk}(\zeta; (z))$ converges to the function

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z_k}(z_1, \dots, z_k, \zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \zeta_n)$$

and so $\gamma_{jk}(\zeta; (z))$ is bounded in U . Hence by the theorem on the removable singularities¹⁰⁾, $\gamma_{jk}(\zeta; (z))$ is also regular on the variety $\zeta_k = z_k$. Therefore (4) means that the function $\varphi_j(\zeta) - \varphi_j(z)$ belongs to the ideal \mathfrak{I}_α generated by \mathfrak{I} at the point $\alpha = ((a); (a))$. Therefore the hypothesis of the above Lemma is satisfied, and so $\varphi_j(\zeta) - \varphi_j(z)$ belongs to the ideal \mathfrak{I} itself. This means the existence of $p_{jk}(\zeta; (z))$ which are regular on Q and satisfy (2). Thus our assertion is proved.

10) Cf. for example: S. Bochner—W. T. Martin, *Several Complex Variables*, Princeton 1948, Chap. VIII, § 9.