

179. On Some Invariant Subspaces

By Yoshiki OHNO

The College of General Education, Tohoku University, Sendai

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1970)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let A be a function algebra on X . Throughout this paper, ϕ will be a fixed multiplicative linear functional on A which admits a unique representing measure m . Further we assume that the Gleason part of ϕ is non trivial. We denote by A_0 the maximal ideal associated with ϕ ; $A_0 = \{f \in A : \phi(f) = 0\}$. Let $H^2 = H^2(dm)$ be the closure $[A]_2$ of A in $L^2 = L^2(dm)$. We put $H_0^2 = \left\{ f \in H^2 ; \int f dm = 0 \right\}$. We shall refer to Browder [1] for the abstract function theory in this situation.

Let M be a closed subspace of H^2 . M is called simply invariant if $[A_0 M]_2 \subset M$. We call M complementary invariant if $H^2 \ominus M$, the orthogonal complement of M in H^2 , is simply invariant. The purpose of this paper is a characterization of the complementary invariant subspace.

It is well known that L^2 admits the orthogonal decomposition $L^2 = H^2 \oplus \bar{H}_0^2$, where the bar denotes the complex conjugation. We denote by P the orthogonal projection of L^2 onto H^2 . As Wermer has shown, there exists an inner function Z such that $H_0^2 = ZH^2$. (See [1] Lemma 4.4.3 for our situation.) We define the backward shift operator T on H^2 by

$$Tf = \frac{f - \int f dm}{Z} \quad (f \in H^2).$$

Theorem. *The complementary invariant subspaces of H^2 are precisely the subspaces of the form*

$$P[Tq \cdot \bar{H}^2],$$

where q is an inner function. q is determined by the subspace up to a constant factor.

Proof. Let M be a complementary invariant subspace of H^2 . Then $N = H^2 \ominus M$ is a simply invariant subspace of H^2 . Therefore, by the generalized Beurling theorem (for instance, see [1] Theorem 4.3.5), N has the form $N = qH^2$, where q is inner. For simplicity, we put $h = Tq$. Evidently $h \in L^\infty \cap H^2$. Since $\int Z dm = 0$ and q is inner, we have

$$(h, qf) = \left(\frac{q - \int q dm}{Z}, qf \right) = (1, Zf) - \int q dm \cdot (1, Zqf) = 0^*)$$

for every $f \in H^2$. Thus $h \perp qH^2 = N$. Hence $h \in M = H^2 \ominus N$. We next show that $M \supset P[h \cdot \bar{H}^2]$. Let $f \in N$. Since N is A -invariant and $h \in M$, we have $(f, h\bar{g}) = (gf, h) = 0$ for all $g \in A$. Thus $(f, P(h\bar{g})) = (f, h\bar{g}) = 0$ for all $g \in H^2$. Hence $N \subset H^2 \ominus P[h \cdot \bar{H}^2]$ and so $M \supset P[h \cdot \bar{H}^2]$. Let now $f \in M \ominus P[h \cdot \bar{H}^2]$. Then, for all $g \in H^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (P(h\bar{g}), f) = (h\bar{g}, f) = (\bar{f}h, g) \\ &= \left(\bar{f} \frac{q - \int q dm}{Z}, g \right) = \left(\frac{q}{Z} \bar{f}, g \right) - \int q dm \cdot \int \bar{g} f Z dm = \left(\frac{q}{Z} \bar{f}, g \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\frac{q}{Z} \bar{f} \perp H^2$, and $\frac{q}{Z} \bar{f} \in \bar{H}_0^2$, so $\frac{Z}{q} f \in H_0^2$. Therefore $f \in \frac{q}{Z} H_0^2 = qH^2 = N$. But $f \in M \perp N$. Hence $f = 0$ a.e., so $M = P[h \cdot \bar{H}^2]$.

Conversely, suppose that $M = P[Tq \cdot \bar{H}^2]$ for some inner function q . We show that M is complementary invariant. By the generalized Beurling theorem, it suffices to see that $H^2 \ominus M$ has the form $q \cdot H^2$. Clearly $qH^2 \subset H^2$. If $f \in H^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (qf, P(Tq \cdot \bar{g})) &= (qf, Tq \cdot \bar{g}) = \left(qf, \frac{q - \int q dm}{Z} \bar{g} \right) \\ &= (f, \bar{Z}\bar{g}) - \int \bar{q} dm (qf, \bar{Z}\bar{g}) = 0 \quad (\forall g \in H^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $qH^2 \subset H^2 \ominus M$. Next, suppose that $f \in \{H^2 \ominus M\} \ominus qH^2$. Since $f \perp M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (f, P(Tq \cdot \bar{g})) = (f, Tq \cdot \bar{g}) = \left(f, \frac{q - \int q dm}{Z} \bar{g} \right) \\ &= (f\bar{q}, \bar{Z}\bar{g}) - \int \bar{q} dm \cdot (f, \bar{Z}\bar{g}) = (f\bar{q}, \bar{Z}\bar{g}) \quad (\forall g \in H^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f\bar{q} \perp \bar{Z}\bar{H}^2 = \bar{H}_0^2$. But $f\bar{q} \perp H^2$ as $f \perp qH^2$. Hence $f\bar{q} \perp H^2 \oplus \bar{H}_0^2 = L^2$. Therefore $f\bar{q} = 0$ a.e., hence $f = 0$ a.e.. Thus $H^2 \ominus M = q \cdot H^2$.

Corollary. *The following properties are equivalent.*

- (I) H^2 and the classical Hardy space $H^2(d\theta)$ are isometrically isomorphic to each other.
- (II) For every non trivial closed subspace N of H^2 invariant under multiplication by functions in A , $M = H^2 \ominus N$ has the form

$$M = P[Tq \cdot \bar{H}^2]$$

where q is an inner function.

Further, if these conditions hold, then every complementary in-

*) $(,)$ denotes the usual inner product in L^2 .

variant subspace M is the closed linear span of $\{T^n q\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for some inner function q .

Proof. (I) \Rightarrow (II). It is easy to see that the simple invariance and the A -invariance are equivalent in the classical case. The assertion follows from Theorem.

(II) \Rightarrow (I). Suppose that (I) fails. Then $N = \left\{ f \in H^2; \int f \cdot \bar{Z}^n dm = 0 (\forall n) \right\}$ is non trivial and A -invariant. By the assumption, $H^2 \ominus N = P[Tq \cdot \bar{H}^2]$ for some inner function q . As in the proof of Theorem, we have $N = qH^2$. But this contradicts the fact that N is not simply invariant.

Now suppose that (I) or (II) holds. Then H^2 is the closed linear span of $\{Z^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. It follows that M is the closed linear span of $\{P(Tq \cdot \bar{Z}^n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$. It suffices to see that for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$(1) \quad P(Tq \cdot \bar{Z}^n) = T^{n+1}q.$$

Clearly $P(Tq) = Tq$. By the induction on n , we show that for $n=1, 2, \dots$,

$$(2) \quad Tq \bar{Z}^n = T^{n+1}q \oplus \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n T^j q dm \bar{Z}^{n-j+1} \right\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Tq \cdot \bar{Z} &= \frac{Tq - \int Tq dm}{Z} + \left(\int Tq dm \right) \cdot \bar{Z} \\ &= T^2q \oplus \left(\int Tq dm \right) \cdot \bar{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $n > 1$ and we know (2) for $n-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Tq \cdot \bar{Z}^n &= \frac{Tq \bar{Z}^{n-1}}{Z} = \frac{1}{Z} \left[T^n q + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} T^j q dm \bar{Z}^{n-j} \right\} \right] \\ &= \frac{T^n q - \int T^n q dm}{Z} + \bar{Z} \left[\int T^n q dm + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int T^j q dm \bar{Z}^{n-j} \right\} \right] \\ &= T^{n+1}q \oplus \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \int T^j q dm \bar{Z}^{n-j+1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2) holds. This implies (1), completing the proof.

Remark. The first part of Collorary is suggested by Merrill [3] and the second part is the same of Theorem 4 in Douglas, Shapiro and Shields [2]. (See Ann. Inst. Fourier, **20**, 37-76 (1970) for the proof.)

References

- [1] A. Browder: Introduction to Function Algebras. Benjamin, New York (1969).
- [2] R. G. Douglas, H. S. Shapiro, and A. L. Shields: On cyclic vectors of the backward shift. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73**, 156-159 (1967).
- [3] S. Merrill: Maximality of Certain Algebras $H^\infty(dm)$. Math. Zeitschr., **106** 262-266 (1968).