

## 179. On Some Invariant Subspaces

By Yoshiki OHNO

The College of General Education, Tohoku University, Sendai

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1970)

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $A$  be a function algebra on  $X$ . Throughout this paper,  $\phi$  will be a fixed multiplicative linear functional on  $A$  which admits a unique representing measure  $m$ . Further we assume that the Gleason part of  $\phi$  is non trivial. We denote by  $A_0$  the maximal ideal associated with  $\phi$ ;  $A_0 = \{f \in A : \phi(f) = 0\}$ . Let  $H^2 = H^2(dm)$  be the closure  $[A]_2$  of  $A$  in  $L^2 = L^2(dm)$ . We put  $H_0^2 = \left\{ f \in H^2 ; \int f dm = 0 \right\}$ . We shall refer to Browder [1] for the abstract function theory in this situation.

Let  $M$  be a closed subspace of  $H^2$ .  $M$  is called simply invariant if  $[A_0 M]_2 \subset M$ . We call  $M$  complementary invariant if  $H^2 \ominus M$ , the orthogonal complement of  $M$  in  $H^2$ , is simply invariant. The purpose of this paper is a characterization of the complementary invariant subspace.

It is well known that  $L^2$  admits the orthogonal decomposition  $L^2 = H^2 \oplus \bar{H}_0^2$ , where the bar denotes the complex conjugation. We denote by  $P$  the orthogonal projection of  $L^2$  onto  $H^2$ . As Wermer has shown, there exists an inner function  $Z$  such that  $H_0^2 = ZH^2$ . (See [1] Lemma 4.4.3 for our situation.) We define the backward shift operator  $T$  on  $H^2$  by

$$Tf = \frac{f - \int f dm}{Z} \quad (f \in H^2).$$

**Theorem.** *The complementary invariant subspaces of  $H^2$  are precisely the subspaces of the form*

$$P[Tq \cdot \bar{H}^2],$$

*where  $q$  is an inner function.  $q$  is determined by the subspace up to a constant factor.*

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be a complementary invariant subspace of  $H^2$ . Then  $N = H^2 \ominus M$  is a simply invariant subspace of  $H^2$ . Therefore, by the generalized Beurling theorem (for instance, see [1] Theorem 4.3.5),  $N$  has the form  $N = qH^2$ , where  $q$  is inner. For simplicity, we put  $h = Tq$ . Evidently  $h \in L^\infty \cap H^2$ . Since  $\int Z dm = 0$  and  $q$  is inner, we have

$$(h, qf) = \left( \frac{q - \int q dm}{Z}, qf \right) = (1, Zf) - \int q dm \cdot (1, Zqf) = 0^*)$$

for every  $f \in H^2$ . Thus  $h \perp qH^2 = N$ . Hence  $h \in M = H^2 \ominus N$ . We next show that  $M \supset P[h \cdot \bar{H}^2]$ . Let  $f \in N$ . Since  $N$  is  $A$ -invariant and  $h \in M$ , we have  $(f, h\bar{g}) = (gf, h) = 0$  for all  $g \in A$ . Thus  $(f, P(h\bar{g})) = (f, h\bar{g}) = 0$  for all  $g \in H^2$ . Hence  $N \subset H^2 \ominus P[h \cdot \bar{H}^2]$  and so  $M \supset P[h \cdot \bar{H}^2]$ . Let now  $f \in M \ominus P[h \cdot \bar{H}^2]$ . Then, for all  $g \in H^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (P(h\bar{g}), f) = (h\bar{g}, f) = (\bar{f}h, g) \\ &= \left( \bar{f} \frac{q - \int q dm}{Z}, g \right) = \left( \frac{q}{Z} \bar{f}, g \right) - \int q dm \cdot \int \bar{g} f Z dm = \left( \frac{q}{Z} \bar{f}, g \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\frac{q}{Z} \bar{f} \perp H^2$ , and  $\frac{q}{Z} \bar{f} \in \bar{H}_0^2$ , so  $\frac{Z}{q} f \in H_0^2$ . Therefore  $f \in \frac{q}{Z} H_0^2 = qH^2 = N$ . But  $f \in M \perp N$ . Hence  $f = 0$  a.e., so  $M = P[h \cdot \bar{H}^2]$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $M = P[Tq \cdot \bar{H}^2]$  for some inner function  $q$ . We show that  $M$  is complementary invariant. By the generalized Beurling theorem, it suffices to see that  $H^2 \ominus M$  has the form  $q \cdot H^2$ . Clearly  $qH^2 \subset H^2$ . If  $f \in H^2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (qf, P(Tq \cdot \bar{g})) &= (qf, Tq \cdot \bar{g}) = \left( qf, \frac{q - \int q dm}{Z} \bar{g} \right) \\ &= (f, \bar{Z}\bar{g}) - \int \bar{q} dm (qf, \bar{Z}\bar{g}) = 0 \quad (\forall g \in H^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $qH^2 \subset H^2 \ominus M$ . Next, suppose that  $f \in \{H^2 \ominus M\} \ominus qH^2$ . Since  $f \perp M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (f, P(Tq \cdot \bar{g})) = (f, Tq \cdot \bar{g}) = \left( f, \frac{q - \int q dm}{Z} \bar{g} \right) \\ &= (f\bar{q}, \bar{Z}\bar{g}) - \int \bar{q} dm \cdot (f, \bar{Z}\bar{g}) = (f\bar{q}, \bar{Z}\bar{g}) \quad (\forall g \in H^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f\bar{q} \perp \bar{Z}\bar{H}^2 = \bar{H}_0^2$ . But  $f\bar{q} \perp H^2$  as  $f \perp qH^2$ . Hence  $f\bar{q} \perp H^2 \oplus \bar{H}_0^2 = L^2$ . Therefore  $f\bar{q} = 0$  a.e., hence  $f = 0$  a.e.. Thus  $H^2 \ominus M = q \cdot H^2$ .

**Corollary.** *The following properties are equivalent.*

(I)  $H^2$  and the classical Hardy space  $H^2(d\theta)$  are isometrically isomorphic to each other.

(II) For every non trivial closed subspace  $N$  of  $H^2$  invariant under multiplication by functions in  $A$ ,  $M = H^2 \ominus N$  has the form

$$M = P[Tq \cdot \bar{H}^2]$$

where  $q$  is an inner function.

Further, if these conditions hold, then every complementary in-

---

\*)  $(,)$  denotes the usual inner product in  $L^2$ .

variant subspace  $M$  is the closed linear span of  $\{T^n q\}_{n=1}^\infty$  for some inner function  $q$ .

**Proof.** (I) $\Rightarrow$ (II). It is easy to see that the simple invariance and the  $A$ -invariance are equivalent in the classical case. The assertion follows from Theorem.

(II) $\Rightarrow$ (I). Suppose that (I) fails. Then  $N = \left\{ f \in H^2; \int f \cdot \bar{Z}^n dm = 0 (\forall n) \right\}$  is non trivial and  $A$ -invariant. By the assumption,  $H^2 \ominus N = P[Tq \cdot \bar{H}^2]$  for some inner function  $q$ . As in the proof of Theorem, we have  $N = qH^2$ . But this contradicts the fact that  $N$  is not simply invariant.

Now suppose that (I) or (II) holds. Then  $H^2$  is the closed linear span of  $\{Z^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . It follows that  $M$  is the closed linear span of  $\{P(Tq \cdot \bar{Z}^n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . It suffices to see that for  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$(1) \quad P(Tq \cdot \bar{Z}^n) = T^{n+1}q.$$

Clearly  $P(Tq) = Tq$ . By the induction on  $n$ , we show that for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(2) \quad Tq \bar{Z}^n = T^{n+1}q \oplus \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n T^j q dm \bar{Z}^{n-j+1} \right\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Tq \cdot \bar{Z} &= \frac{Tq - \int Tq dm}{Z} + \left( \int Tq dm \right) \cdot \bar{Z} \\ &= T^2q \oplus \left( \int Tq dm \right) \cdot \bar{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $n > 1$  and we know (2) for  $n-1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Tq \cdot \bar{Z}^n &= \frac{Tq \bar{Z}^{n-1}}{Z} = \frac{1}{Z} \left[ T^n q + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} T^j q dm \bar{Z}^{n-j} \right\} \right] \\ &= \frac{T^n q - \int T^n q dm}{Z} + \bar{Z} \left[ \int T^n q dm + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int T^j q dm \bar{Z}^{n-j} \right\} \right] \\ &= T^{n+1}q \oplus \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \int T^j q dm \bar{Z}^{n-j+1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2) holds. This implies (1), completing the proof.

**Remark.** The first part of Collorary is suggested by Merrill [3] and the second part is the same of Theorem 4 in Douglas, Shapiro and Shields [2]. (See Ann. Inst. Fourier, **20**, 37-76 (1970) for the proof.)

### References

- [1] A. Browder: Introduction to Function Algebras. Benjamin, New York (1969).
- [2] R. G. Douglas, H. S. Shapiro, and A. L. Shields: On cyclic vectors of the backward shift. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73**, 156-159 (1967).
- [3] S. Merrill: Maximality of Certain Algebras  $H^\infty(dm)$ . Math. Zeitschr., **106** 262-266 (1968).