

204. On Potent Rings. II

By Hidetoshi MARUBAYASHI

College of General Education, Osaka University

(Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1970)

In [7], we defined residue-finite *CPI*-rings which are *s*-complemented with respect to $L_{r_2}^*$. In this paper we shall give characterizations of such rings. Let R be a residue-finite *CPI*-ring and let \hat{R} be the maximal right quotient ring of R . We shall give also a necessary and sufficient condition that \hat{R} is a left quotient ring of R . This is a generalization of Faith's result [1] on prime rings. Terminology and notation will be taken from [6] and [7].

1. Triangular-block matrix rings with infinite dimension.

We shall give examples of residue-finite *CPI*-rings which are *s*-complemented with respect to $L_{r_2}^*$. Let F be a division ring and let ω be a countable ordinal number. We denote by $(F)_\omega$ the ring of all column-finite $\omega \times \omega$ matrices over F . Let F_{ij} be additive subgroups of F such that

$$(1.1) \quad F_{ij}F_{jk} \subseteq F_{ik} \quad (i, j, k=1, 2, \dots).$$

Let

$$(1.2) \quad S = \{a \in (F)_\omega \mid a = (a_{ij}), a_{ij} \in F_{ij}\}.$$

Clearly S is the subring of $(F)_\omega$. The ring S will be called a *T*-ring (triangular-block matrix ring) with type (A) in $(F)_\omega$ iff there exist integers $0 = d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_n < \dots$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad F_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ iff } i > d_p \text{ and } d_p < j \leq d_{p+1} \quad (p=0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

The ring S will be called a *T*-ring with type (B) in $(F)_\omega$ iff there exist integers $0 = d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_p$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad F_{ij} \neq 0 \iff \text{(i) if } j \leq d_p, \text{ then } i > d_k \text{ and } d_{k-1} < j \leq d_k \text{ for some } k(1 \leq k \leq p), \text{ (ii) if } j > d_p, \text{ then } i > d_p.$$

In both cases, associated with S is the full *T*-ring

$$(1.5) \quad M = \{a \in (F)_\omega \mid a = (a_{ij}), a_{ij} \in F'_{ij}\}, \text{ where } F'_{ij} = F \text{ whenever } F_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ and } F'_{ij} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Following R. E. Johnson, we shall call M the full cover of S . Let A and B be subsets of a division ring F . The set $\{ab^{-1} \mid a \in A, 0 \neq b \in B\}$ will be denoted by AB^{-1} . A ring Q is called a right quotient ring of a subring R if for each $a, 0 \neq b \in Q$, there exist $r \in R$ and $n \in Z$ such that $ar + na \in R$ and $br + nb \neq 0$, where Z is the ring of integers; in symbols: $R \leq Q$. A left quotient ring is defined similarly. If Q is a left and right quotient ring of a ring R , then we write $R \leq_i Q$. If R has the zero right singular ideal, then Q is a right quotient ring of R if and only if Q is

a right quotient ring of R in the sense of R. E. Johnson (see [1]). It is well known that an infinite-dimensional I -ring R has a full ring \hat{R} of linear transformations of an infinite-dimensional vector space over a division ring as a maximal right quotient ring and that $L_r^*(\hat{R}) \cong L_r^*(R)$ under the correspondence $\hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A} \cap R, \hat{A} \in L_r^*(\hat{R})$. Let A be an element of $L_r^*(R)$. Then we denote by \hat{A} an element of $L_r^*(\hat{R})$ which corresponds to A . As is well known, \hat{A} is a right R -injective hull of A and is right \hat{R} -injective. Since $(F)_\omega$ is a column-finite, we obtain the following four theorems by the same arguments as in Theorems 3.5, 3.7 and 3.9 of [6].

Theorem 1. *Let S be a T -ring $(F)_\omega$ given by (1.3) or (1.4). Then $S \leq (F)_\omega$ if and only if $F_{11}F_{11}^{-1} = F$.*

Theorem 2. *Let S be a T -ring in $(F)_\omega$ given by (1.3) or (1.4) such that $S \leq (F)_\omega$. Then S is potent if and only if $F_{jj}F_{kk}^{-1} = F$ for $j < k$ ($j, k = 2, 3, \dots$).*

Theorem 3-1. *Let S be a T -ring with type (A) in $(F)_\omega$ whose blocks are defined by the numbers $0 = d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_n < \dots$ in (1.3). If $S \leq (F)_\omega$ and if S is potent, then $L_{r_2}^* = \{T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n, \dots\}$, where $T_0 = R$ and $T_p = \{a \in S \mid a = (a_{ij}), a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \leq d_p\}$ for every p .*

Theorem 3-2. *Let S be a T -ring with type (B) in $(F)_\omega$ whose blocks are defined by the numbers $0 = d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_p$ as in (1.4). If $S \leq (F)_\omega$ and if S is potent, then $L_{r_2}^* = \{T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_p, T_{p+1}\}$, where $T_0 = R, T_{p+1} = 0$ and $T_k = \{a \in S \mid a = (a_{ij}), a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \leq d_k\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq p$.*

2. Residue-finite CPI-rings as matrix rings.

Let R be a residue-finite CPI-ring which is s -complemented with respect to $L_{r_2}^*$ and let $\{A_i\}$ and $\{B_i\}$ be as given in Theorem 2 of [7]. Then $\{\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \dots, \hat{A}_n, \dots\}$ is an atomic basis of $L_r^*(\hat{R})$ which corresponds to the atomic basis $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots\}$ of $L_r^*(R)$. By Theorem 1, 11 of [1; p. 108], there exist matrix units $\{e_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ in \hat{R} such that $\hat{A}_i = e_{ii}\hat{R}$ and $\hat{R} = (F)_\omega$, where F is a division ring. Clearly $A_i = e_{ii}\hat{R} \cap R$ and $B_i = (\cup_{j \neq i} A_j)^t = \hat{R}e_{ii} \cap R$. Let $A_i \cap B_j = F_{ij}e_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots$). Then F_{ij} are additive subgroups of F satisfying (1.1). If we put $S = \{a \in R \mid a = (a_{ij}), a_{ij} \in F_{ij}\}$, then S is a subring of R . By Theorem 2 of [7], $F_{ij} \neq 0$ if and only if $i > d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_p$ and $d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_p < j \leq d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_{p+1}$ for some p . Thus, S is a T -ring in $(F)_\omega$ with the same block numbers as in R . Let M be the full cover of S . Then we have $S \leq R \leq M \leq \hat{R}$.

Theorem 4. *Let R be a left faithful ring and let \hat{R} be the maximal right quotient ring of R . Then in order that R is a residue-finite CPI-ring with type (A) and s -complemented with respect to $L_{r_2}^*$, it is necessary and sufficient that $S \leq R \leq M \leq \hat{R} = (F)_\omega$, where F is a division ring, S is a potent T -ring with type (A) in $(F)_\omega$ and M is the full cover of S .*

Lemma 1. *Let R be a residue-finite CPI-ring with type (A). If R is complemented with respect to $L_{r_2}^*$ and if \hat{R} is a left self-injective ring, then R is s -complemented with respect to $L_{r_2}^*$.*

By Theorem 4 and Lemma 1, we have ;

Theorem 5. *Let R be a left faithful ring and let \hat{R} be the maximal right quotient ring of R . If \hat{R} is a left self-injective ring, then R is a residue-finite CPI-ring with type (A) and is complemented with respect to $L_{r_2}^*$ if and only if $S \leq R \leq M \leq \hat{R} = (F)_\omega$, where F is a division ring, S is a potent T -ring with type (A) in $(F)_\omega$ and M is the full cover of S .*

Theorem 6. *Let R be a residue-finite CPI-ring and let \hat{R} be the maximal right quotient ring of R . If \hat{R} is a left quotient ring of R , then R is of type (B).*

By Theorem 6, we have ;

Theorem 7. *Let R be a left faithful ring and let \hat{R} be the maximal right quotient ring of R . Then R is a residue-finite CPI-ring and \hat{R} is a left quotient ring of R if and only if $S \leq_l R \leq_l M \leq_l \hat{R} = (F)_\omega$, where F is a division ring, S is a potent T -ring with type (B) in $(F)_\omega$ and M is the full cover of S .*

3. Left and right quotient rings.

In view of Theorem 7, it is interesting to consider conditions which imply that the maximal right quotient ring is also a left quotient ring.

Theorem 8. *Let R be a residue-finite CPI-ring and let \hat{R} be the maximal right quotient ring of R . Then \hat{R} is a left quotient ring of R if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied.*

(1) *There exists an atom A of L_r^* such that $A^r = 0$.*

(2) *Let A be an atom satisfying $A^r = 0$. Put $\Gamma = \text{Hom}_R(A, A)$ and $\Delta = \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{A}, \hat{A})$. Then Δ is a left quotient ring of Γ and $\Delta A = \hat{A}$.*

Proof. Assume that \hat{R} is also a left quotient ring of R . Let $\{A_i\}$ and $\{B_i\}$ be potent atom-bases of L_r^* and J_t^* respectively as in the proof of Theorem 7. For each nonzero $x \in A_i \cap B_i$, $x^t = (e_{ii}\hat{R})^t = \hat{R}(1 - e_{ii}) \cap R$ is a maximal closed left ideal of R . Since $Z_i(R) = 0$ by Lemma 1.2 of [5], R^1x is a uniform left ideal of R by Theorem 6.9 of [3], where R^1x is the principal left ideal generated by x . Therefore, since $(\sum_{i=1}^\infty A_i \cap B_i)^t = 0$, R is a left stable ring in the sense of R. E. Johnson [4]. Now, By Theorem 6, R is of type (B) and hence there exists an atom A of L_r^* such that $A^r = 0$. Let θ and ϕ be nonzero elements of Γ and let u be a nonzero element of A . Then $\theta(u) \neq 0$, $\phi(u) \neq 0$, because every nonzero element of Γ is a non-singular mapping by Lemma 5.4 of [2]. Since $(\theta u)^r = u^r$, we obtain $(\theta u)^r = (\phi u)^r$ and $(\theta u)^{r^t} = (\phi u)^{r^t}$. Since u^r is a maximal closed right ideal, $(\theta u)^{r^t}$ is a minimal annihilator left ideal and hence $(\theta u)^{r^t} = (\phi u)^{r^t}$ is an atom of L_t^* by Corollary 2.3 of [4]. Hence there exist $a, b \in R$ such that $a\theta(u) = b\phi(u) \neq 0$. Since $A^r = 0$, $Aa\theta(u) \neq 0$, and hence

there exists $v \in A$ such that $va\theta(u) = vb\phi(u) \neq 0$. This means that $(\lambda_{va}\theta)(u) = (\lambda_{vb}\phi)(u)$, where $\lambda_{va}(x) = vax$ for $x \in A$. From which we obtain $\lambda_{va}\theta = \lambda_{vb}\phi$, because the elements of Γ , other than zero, are non-singular mappings. Evidently $\lambda_{va}, \lambda_{vb} \in \Gamma$ and $\Gamma\theta \cap \Gamma\phi \neq 0$; thus Γ is a left Ore domain. Let δ be any nonzero element of Δ . Since \hat{A} is \hat{R} -right injective, there exists $e = e^2 \in \hat{R}$ such that $\hat{A} = e\hat{R}$. For $0 \neq \delta(e)$, there exists $r \in R$ such that $0 \neq r\delta(e) \in R$. Since $A^r = 0$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $0 \neq ar\delta(e) \in A$ and $0 \neq ar \in A$. Clearly $\lambda_{ar}\delta \in \Gamma$, $\lambda_{ar} \in \Gamma$ and $\lambda_{ar} \neq 0$, because $0 \neq \lambda_{ar}\delta(e)$. This means that Δ is a left quotient ring of Γ . Evidently $\Delta A \subseteq \hat{A}$. Assume that q is a nonzero element of \hat{A} . Then there exists $r \in R$ such that $0 \neq rq \in R$. Since $A^r = 0$, $A_r q \neq 0$ and there exists $u \in A$ such that $0 \neq urq$. Since q^r is a maximal closed right ideal, $(urq)^r = (rq)^r = q^r$. Now define $\phi: urq\hat{R} \rightarrow \hat{A}$ by $\phi(urqy) = qy$ for each $y \in \hat{R}$. Then since \hat{A} is right \hat{R} -injective, ϕ can be extended to $\hat{\phi} \in \Delta$ and $\hat{\phi}(urq) = \phi(urq) = q$, $urq \in A$. This means that $\Delta A \supseteq \hat{A}$. Hence we have $\Delta A = \hat{A}$, as desired.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. If $0 \neq q \in \hat{R}$, then since $A^r = 0$, we have $Aq \neq 0$. There exists $a \in A$ such that $w = aq \neq 0$. Since $w \in \hat{A} = \Delta A$, there exist $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \Delta$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that $w = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i a_i$. Now Δ is a left quotient ring of Γ . Hence there exists $0 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $0 \neq \gamma \delta_i = \gamma_i \in \Gamma$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\Gamma A \subseteq A$, we obtain that $0 \neq \gamma w = (\gamma a)q = \sum \gamma_i a_i \in Aq \cap A$. Thus we have $Rq \cap R \neq 0$. This means that \hat{R} is a left quotient ring of R .

References

- [1] C. Faith: Lectures on Injective Modules and Quotient Rings. Springer-Verlag, New York (1967).
- [2] A. W. Goldie: Torsion-free modules and rings. *J. Algebra*, **1**, 268–287 (1964).
- [3] R. E. Johnson: Structure theory of faithful rings. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **84**, 523–544 (1957).
- [4] —: Rings with zero right and left singular ideals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **118**, 150–157 (1965).
- [5] —: Prime matrix rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **16**, 1099–1105 (1965).
- [6] —: Potent rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **119**, 525–534 (1965).
- [7] H. Murabayashi: On potent rings. I. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **46**, 893–896 (1970).
- [8] Y. Utumi: On rings of which any one-sided quotient rings are two-sided. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **14**, 141–147 (1963).