

196. The Theory of Nuclear Spaces Treated by the Method of Ranked Space. IV

By Yasujirô NAGAKURA
Science University of Tokyo

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., June 12, 1971)

§ 5. The completion of the linear ranked space Φ , (2).

Lemma 20. Let $\hat{\Phi}_0$ be the subset of $\hat{\Phi}$ consisting of those equivalence classes which contain an R -Cauchy sequence $\{g_n\}$ for which $g_1 = g_2 = g_3 = \dots$.

The mapping T of Φ onto $\hat{\Phi}_0$, which maps $g \in \Phi$ to the class \hat{g} containing the sequence consisting of a single element g , is bijective and we have $g \in V_i(0, r, m)$ if and only if $\hat{g} \in \hat{V}_i(0, r, m)$.

Proof. Let g and f be two different elements in Φ . Then there exists no class containing two sequences $\{g_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ with $g_n = g, f_n = f$ for every n .

Because if it is not true, $\{g_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ are equivalent. And then there exists a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ such that $g_i - f_i \in V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)$ for every i , that is, $g - f \in V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)$ for every i . This implies $g = f$ by Lemma 8 in [4].

Next, we shall prove that $g \in V_i(0, r, m)$ implies $\hat{g} \in \hat{V}_i(0, r, m)$. Since we have $V_i(0, 1, m) = U_i(0, \varepsilon_i, m)$ by the paper [4], we obtain $V_i(0, r, m) = U_i(0, r\varepsilon_i, m)$. Hence we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}(g, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\| < r\varepsilon_i.$$

Then there exists some number r' , $0 < r' < r$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}(g, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\| < r'\varepsilon_i < r\varepsilon_i.$$

Consequently we obtain $g \in V_i(0, r', m)$. By Definition 5, this shows

$$\hat{g} \in \hat{V}_i(0, r, m).$$

Conversely, if we have $\hat{g} \in \hat{V}_i(0, r, m)$, there exist some number r' , $0 < r' < r$ and some integer N such that

$$g_n = g \in V_i(0, r', m) \quad \text{if } n \geq N.$$

And then we obtain $g \in V_i(0, r, m)$.

Theorem 2. The set $\hat{\Phi}_0$ is dense in $\hat{\Phi}$.

Proof. Let \hat{g} be any element in $\hat{\Phi}$. And let an R -Cauchy sequence $\{g_n\}$ belong to \hat{g} . Then there exists a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$, such that the relations $n \geq i$ and $m \geq i$ imply

$$g_n - g_m \in V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i).$$

Let \hat{g}_n be the class containing the repetitive sequence g_n, g_n, \dots .

Then we have $\hat{g}_n \in \hat{\Phi}_0$. Since by Lemma 20 we have that the relations $n \geq i$ and $m \geq i$ imply $\hat{g}_n - \hat{g}_m \in \hat{V}_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)$, the sequence $\{\hat{g}_n\}$ in $\hat{\Phi}_0$ is an R -Cauchy sequence.

On the other hand, since we have $g_i - g_m \in V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)$ for $m \geq i$, we obtain $\hat{g}_i - \hat{g} \in \hat{V}_{r_i}(0, 2r_i, m_i)$ for every i . Since $\{\hat{V}_{r_i}(0, 2r_i, m_i)\}$ is a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods, we obtain $\hat{g}_n \xrightarrow{R} \hat{g}$.

Definition 7. Let $P_{i,m}$ be a real valued function defined on the linear ranked space Φ such that

$$P_{i,m}(g) = \inf r, \quad \text{where } g \in V_i(0, r, m).$$

Lemma 21. We have $P_{i,m}(g) = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} / \varepsilon_i)(g, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\|_{n_{i-1}}$.

Proof. It is easily verified.

Lemma 22. The function $P_{i,m}$ is a semi-norm on Φ .

Lemma 23. We have $P_{i,m}(g) \geq P_{j,m}(g)$ if $j \leq i$.

Lemma 24. We have $P_{i,m}(g) \geq P_{i,m'}(g)$ if $m' \leq m$.

Definition 8. Let $\hat{P}_{i,m}$ be a real valued function defined on the linear ranked space $\hat{\Phi}$ such that $\hat{P}_{i,m}(\hat{g}) = \inf r$, where $\hat{g} \in \hat{V}_i(0, r, m)$.

Lemma 25. If an R -Cauchy sequence $\{g_n\}$ belongs to \hat{g} , we have

$$\hat{P}_{i,m}(\hat{g}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{i,m}(g_n).$$

Proof. Set briefly $\hat{P}_{i,m}(\hat{g}) = \alpha$. Then if $\alpha < r$, we have $\hat{g} \in \hat{V}_i(0, r, m)$. By Definition 5, there exist some number $r', 0 < r' < r$ and some integer N such that $g_n \in V_i(0, r', m)$ for every $n \geq N$. And then we have $P_{i,m}(g_n) \leq r' < r$. Since the sequence $\{g_n\}$ is an R -Cauchy sequence, there exists a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods, $\{V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$, such that the relations $k \geq i$ and $h \geq i$ imply $V_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i) \ni g_k - g_h$.

On the other hand, there exists some integer j such that $V_i(0, r_j, m_i) \supseteq V_{r_j}(0, r_j, m_j)$. And then we have that the relations $k \geq j$ and $h \geq j$ imply $|P_{i,m}(g_k) - P_{i,m}(g_h)| \leq P_{i,m}(g_k - g_h) < r_j$. Hence $\{P_{i,m}(g_n)\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{i,m}(g_n)$ exists and we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{i,m}(g_n) \leq \alpha$.

Conversely, if $\alpha > r > 0$, we have $\hat{g} \notin \hat{V}_i(0, r, m)$. And hence for every l with $0 < l < r$ and every integer N , there exists some integer $k > N$ such that $g_k \notin V_i(0, l, m)$, that is, $P_{i,m}(g_k) \geq l$.

Since $\{P_{i,m}(g_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, it follows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{i,m}(g_n) \geq l$.

And hence we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{i,m}(g_n) \geq r$. Consequently we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{i,m}(g_n) \geq \alpha$. This finishes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 26. The function $\hat{P}_{i,m}$ is a semi-norm on $\hat{\Phi}$.

Proof. It is evident.

Lemma 27. The quotient space $\hat{\Phi}/M_{i,m}$, where $M_{i,m} = \{\hat{g} \in \hat{\Phi}; \hat{P}_{i,m}(\hat{g}) = 0\}$, is a finite dimensional space.

Proof. Let \hat{g} be an element in $\hat{\Phi}$, and let an R -Cauchy sequence $\{g_n\}$ belong to \hat{g} . Then there exists a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods $\{V_{r_j}(0, r_j, m_j)\}$ such that the relations $h \geq j$ and $l \geq j$ imply $V_{r_j}(0, r_j, m_j) \ni g_h - g_l$. And then the relations $\gamma_j \geq i$ and $m_j \geq m$ imply $V_i(0, r_j, m) \ni g_h - g_l$ for $h, l \geq j$. Hence we have

$$(1/\varepsilon_i) \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} (g_h - g_l, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\| < r_j,$$

and it follows

$$|(g_h, \varphi_{k, n_i}) - (g_l, \varphi_{k, n_i})| < \varepsilon_i r_j / \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Then $\{(g_h, \varphi_{k, n_i})\}_h$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers, thus there exists some number α_k such that $(g_h, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \rightarrow \alpha_k$ $h \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we set $(1/\varepsilon_i) \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} \alpha_k \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right) = \hat{g}_{(i, m)}$. Thus the mapping $F_{i, m}$ of \hat{g} to $\hat{g}_{(i, m)}$ is a homomorphism of $\hat{\Phi}$ onto $\hat{\Phi}_{(i, m)}$, where

$$\hat{\Phi}_{(i, m)} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} (g, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}}; g \in \hat{\Phi} \right\}.$$

Moreover we can easily verify $\hat{P}_{i, m}(\hat{g}) = P_{i, m}(\hat{g}_{(i, m)})$. And hence $F_{i, m}$ induces an isomorphism of $\hat{\Phi}/\ker(F_{i, m})$ onto $\hat{\Phi}_{(i, m)}$. Next, we shall prove $\ker(F_{i, m}) = M_{i, m}$. Because if \hat{g} belongs to $\ker(F_{i, m})$, we have $\hat{g}_{(i, m)} = 0$. Then there exists an R -Cauchy sequence $\{g_n\}$ belonging to \hat{g} such that $(g_n, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $k=1, \dots, m$. And hence we have $P_{i, m}(g_n) = (1/\varepsilon_i) \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} (g_n, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\| \rightarrow 0$. It follows $\hat{P}_{i, m}(\hat{g}) = 0$, that is, $\hat{g} \in M_{i, m}$.

Conversely if \hat{g} belongs to $M_{i, m}$, we have $\hat{P}_{i, m}(\hat{g}) = 0$. Then there exists an R -Cauchy sequence $\{g_n\}$ belonging to \hat{g} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{i, m}(g_n) = 0$. Hence we have $(g_n, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $k=1, \dots, m$. It follows $\hat{g}_{(i, m)} = 0$.

Now, we shall define a norm on $\hat{\Phi}/M_{i, m}$ such that $\|\hat{g} + M_{i, m}\| = \inf \hat{P}_{i, m}(\hat{f})$, where $\hat{f} \in \hat{g} + M_{i, m}$ and $\hat{g} \in \hat{\Phi}$. Thus we shall prove $\|\hat{g} + M_{i, m}\| = P_{i, m}(\hat{g}_{(i, m)})$. Because let \hat{f} belong to $\hat{g} + M_{i, m}$ such that $\hat{f} = \hat{g} + \hat{g}'$, $\hat{g}' \in M_{i, m}$.

Hence we have

$$|P_{i, m}(\hat{g}_{(i, m)}) - P_{i, m}(\hat{f}_{(i, m)})| = |\hat{P}_{i, m}(\hat{g}) - \hat{P}_{i, m}(\hat{f})| \leq \hat{P}_{i, m}(\hat{g} - \hat{f}) = 0.$$

Consequently we obtain $\|\hat{g} + M_{i, m}\| = \hat{P}_{i, m}(\hat{g}) = P_{i, m}(\hat{g}_{(i, m)})$.

Theorem 3. *A bounded infinite set in $\hat{\Phi}$ is sequential compact.*

Proof. Let B be a bounded infinite set in $\hat{\Phi}$. By the definition of the boundness in linear ranked space (in [6]), there exist a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods $\{\hat{V}_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i)\}$ and numbers $C_i (i=1, 2, \dots)$ such that $C_i \hat{V}_{r_i}(0, r_i, m_i) \supset B$ for every integer i .

And then the relation $\hat{g} \in B$ implies $\hat{P}_{r_i, m_i}(\hat{g}) \leq C_i r_i$ for every integer i .

Case I. Suppose B/M_1 , where $M_1 = \{\hat{g} \in \hat{\Phi}; \hat{P}_{r_1, m_1}(\hat{g}) = 0\}$.

If B/M_1 is consisted of some finite element of the coset; $B_{1,1}, B_{1,2}, \dots, B_{1,n(1)}$, some coset $B_{1,i(1)}$ has to contain infinite elements in $\hat{\phi}$. Next, suppose $B_{1,i(1)}/M_2$, where $M_2 = \{\hat{g} \in \hat{\phi}; \hat{P}_{r_2, m_2}(\hat{g}) = 0\}$. If $B_{1,i(1)}/M_2$ is consisted of some finite element of the coset; $B_{2,1}, B_{2,2}, \dots, B_{2,n(2)}$, some coset $B_{2,i(2)}$ has to contain infinite elements in $\hat{\phi}$. In general, suppose that $B_{k,i(k)}/M_{k+1}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots$) has finite element of the coset, thus $B_{k,i(k)}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots$) has infinite elements in $\hat{\phi}$.

And then we have $B_{1,i(1)} \supseteq B_{2,i(2)} \supseteq \dots \supseteq B_{k,i(k)} \supseteq \dots$. If we take a sequence $\{\hat{g}_k\} \subset \hat{\phi}$ such that $\hat{g}_k \in B_{k,i(k)}$ and $\hat{g}_k \neq \hat{g}_h$ if $k \neq h$, then the sequence $\{\hat{g}_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\hat{\phi}$ with respect to every semi-norm \hat{P}_{r_i, m_i} ($i=1, 2, \dots$). Because for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any \hat{P}_{r_i, m_i} , the relations $h \geq j$ and $k \geq j$ imply $\hat{P}_{r_j, m_j}(\hat{g}_h - \hat{g}_k) = 0 < \varepsilon$, that is $\hat{g}_h - \hat{g}_k \in \hat{V}_{r_j}(0, \varepsilon, m_j)$.

On the other hand, for any neighbourhood in $\hat{\phi}$, $\hat{V}_i(0, \varepsilon, m)$, there exists some integer j such that $\hat{V}_i(0, \varepsilon, m) \supseteq \hat{V}_{r_j}(0, \varepsilon, m_j)$. And then we have $\hat{V}_i(0, \varepsilon, m) \ni \hat{g}_h - \hat{g}_k$ for $h \geq j$ and $k \geq j$. Consequently we assert that the sequence $\{\hat{g}_k\}$ is an R -Cauchy sequence in $\hat{\phi}$ and then there exists a limiting element in $\hat{\phi}$.

Case II. Suppose that there exists some integer l such that for $k < l$, $B_{k,i(k)}/M_{k+1}$ has finite element of the coset and $B_{l,i(l)}/M_{l+1}$ has infinite elements of the coset. Let \hat{f} belong to $\hat{g} + M_{l+1}$ (with $\hat{g} \in B_{l,i(l)}$) in $B_{l,i(l)}/M_{l+1}$. Since we can select \hat{g}' in M_{l+1} such that $\hat{f} = \hat{g} + \hat{g}'$, we have $\hat{P}_{r_{l+1}, m_{l+1}}(\hat{f}) \leq \hat{P}_{r_{l+1}, m_{l+1}}(\hat{g}) + \hat{P}_{r_{l+1}, m_{l+1}}(\hat{g}') = \hat{P}_{r_{l+1}, m_{l+1}}(\hat{g}) \leq C_{r_{l+1}} r_{r_{l+1}}$.

And hence we have $\|\hat{g} + M_{l+1}\| \leq C_{r_{l+1}} r_{r_{l+1}}$. By Lemma 27, $\hat{\phi}/M_{l+1}$ is finite dimensional and then $B_{l,i(l)}/M_{l+1}$ is bounded in the finite dimensional space. Thus there exists a Cauchy sequence $\{B_{l+1,n}\}_n$ of cosets in $B_{l,i(l)}/M_{l+1}$ with respect to norm of $\hat{\phi}/M_{l+1}$. If we take a sequence $\{\hat{g}_{1,n}\}$ such that $\hat{g}_{1,n} \in B_{l+1,n}$ for every integer n , the sequence $\{\hat{g}_{1,n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to $\hat{P}_{r_{l+1}, m_{l+1}}$, since we have $\hat{P}_{r_{l+1}, m_{l+1}}(\hat{g}) = \|g + M_{l+1}\|$ by the proof of Lemma 27.

On the other hand, since we have

$$\hat{V}_{r_{l+1}}(0, 1, m_{l+1}) \supseteq \hat{V}_{r_{l+2}}(0, 1, m_{l+2}),$$

it is clear that

$$\hat{P}_{r_{l+2}, m_{l+2}}(\hat{g}) \geq \hat{P}_{r_{l+1}, m_{l+1}}(\hat{g}).$$

Thus we obtain $M_{l+2} \subseteq M_{l+1}$.

Hence there cannot be two different elements $\hat{g}_{1,n}, \hat{g}_{1,m}$ with $n \neq m$ in the same coset with respect to M_{l+2} . And then $\{\hat{g}_{1,n}\}_n/M_{l+2}$ has infinite elements of the coset and it is bounded in $\hat{\phi}/M_{l+2}$. Hence there exists a Cauchy sequence $\{\hat{g}_{2,n}\}_n$ in $\{\hat{g}_{1,n}\}_n$ with respect to $\hat{P}_{r_{l+2}, m_{l+2}}$. We proceed by induction, obtaining sequences $\{\hat{g}_{k,n}\}_n$, each a subsequence of its predecessor. The diagonal sequence $\{\hat{g}_{n,n}\}_n$ is then a Cauchy sequence in $\hat{\phi}$ with respect to every \hat{P}_{r_i, m_i} . And then it has a limiting element in $\hat{\phi}$.

Theorem 4. *A bounded infinite set in the nuclear space Φ is sequential compact.*

Proof. Let B be a bounded infinite set in the nuclear space Φ . And then there exist numbers C_i ($i=1, 2, \dots$) such that the relation $g \in B$ implies

$$\|g\|_{n_{i-1}} = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}(g, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\| < C_i,$$

for every i .

And hence we have

$$\varepsilon_i P_{i, m}(g) = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}(g, \varphi_{k, n_i}) \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\| < C_i.$$

Now, let \hat{g} be an element in $\hat{\Phi}$, which contains an R -Cauchy sequence $\{g\}$ consisting of a single element g in Φ . Then we have

$$\hat{P}_{i, m}(\hat{g}) = P_{i, m}(g) < C_i / \varepsilon_i,$$

and hence we obtain

$$\hat{g} \in \hat{V}_i(0, C_i / \varepsilon_i, m).$$

Consequently, $\{\hat{g}\} = \{\hat{g}; g \in B\}$ is a bounded infinite set in the linear ranked space $\hat{\Phi}$. Hence by Theorem 3, there exists an R -Cauchy subsequence $\{\hat{g}_n\}$ in $\{\hat{g}\}$.

By Lemma 13 in [4], for any $\hat{V}_i(0, \varepsilon, m)$, there exists some integer N such that the relations $n \geq N$ and $m \geq N$ imply $\hat{g}_n - \hat{g}_m \in \hat{V}_i(0, \varepsilon, m)$.

Since for every integer n , \hat{g}_n is a equivalence class which contains an R -Cauchy sequence consisting of a single element g_n , then we have

$$g_n - g_m \in V_i(0, \varepsilon, m) \quad \text{by Lemma 20.}$$

This shows that the sequence $\{g_n\}_n$ is an R -Cauchy sequence in Φ .

Hence by Lemma 4, the sequence $\{g_n\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in the nuclear space.

Since the nuclear space Φ is complete, the proof finishes.

References

- [1] K. Kunugi: Sur la méthode des espaces rangés, I, II. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 318-322, 549-554 (1966).
- [2] M. Washihara: On ranked spaces and linearity. II. Proc. Japan Acad., **45**, 238-242 (1969).
- [3] Y. Nagakura: The theory of nuclear spaces treated by the method of ranked space. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **47**, 337-341 (1971).
- [4] —: The theory of nuclear spaces treated by the method of ranked space. II. Proc. Japan Acad., **47**, 342-345 (1971).
- [5] —: The theory of nuclear spaces treated by the method of ranked space. III. Proc. Japan Acad., **47**, 870-874 (1971).
- [6] M. Washihara and Y. Yoshida: Remarks on bounded sets in linear ranked spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., **44**, 73-76 (1968).
- [7] I. M. Gel'fand and N. Ya. Vilenkin: Generalized Functions, Vol. 4 (1964).