## 179. On Countably R-closed Spaces. II

By Masao Sakai

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1971)

A topological space S is called *countably* R-closed, if for any family  $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of nonvoid open sets such that  $G_n \supset \overline{G}_{n+1}$  for every n, we have  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \neq \phi$ . Z. Frolik [1] and the present author [2] gave characterizations of countably R-closed spaces.

In any topological space S, if a family  $\Phi$ , composed of subsets of S, has a point x such that each neighbourhood of x meets infinitely many members of  $\Phi$ , we say that  $\Phi$  cluster to x and that the point x is a cluster point of  $\Phi$ . S. Kasahara [3] proved the following:

**Proposition.** In any regular  $T_1$ -space S, the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) Every family of pairwise disjoint open sets has at least one cluster point.

(ii) Every star-finite open covering of S has a finite subcovering.

(iii) Every star-finite open covering of S has finite subfamily whose union is dense in S.

We shall give another characterization of countably R-closed regular spaces, using the method of S. Kasahara [3].

In any topological space S, a family  $\Phi$  composed of subsets of S is called *locally finite* if every point x has a neighbourhood U(x) which meets only finite members of  $\Phi$ , and  $\Phi$  is called *star-finite* if every member of  $\Phi$  meets only finite members of  $\Phi$ . A subset E is called *regularly closed* if E is the closure of an open set of S. A covering of S composed of regularly closed sets is called a *regularly closed covering of* S.

**Theorem.** In any regular space S, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) S is countably R-closed.

(2) Every family of pairwise disjoint regularly closed sets has at least one cluster point.

(3) Every family of pairwise disjoint open sets has at least one cluster point.

We shall prove that  $(1)\rightarrow(2)\rightarrow(1)$  and  $(2)\rightarrow(3)\rightarrow(2)$ . In stead of (1), we shall use (4) and (5) of the following Lemma 1.

Lemma 1. In any topological space S, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) S is countably R-closed.

(4) Every locally finite, star-finite, countable, regularly closed

covering of S has a finite subcovering.

(5) Every locally finite, star finite, countable, regularly closed covering of S is a finite covering.

(6) Every locally finite, star-finite, regularly closed covering of S is a finite covering.

(7) Every star-finite open covering of S is a finite covering

(Z. Frolik [1]).

**Proof.** This lemma is the theorem in [2].

**Lemma 2.** In a topological space S, if there is a family  $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of open sets where  $G_n \supset \overline{G}_{n+1}$  for every n and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \phi$ , the family  $\{\overline{H}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  where  $H_0 = S - \overline{G}_1$  and  $H_n = G_n - \overline{G}_{n+1}$  for every  $n(\geq 1)$ , is locally finite, star-finite, regularly closed covering of S.

Proof. This lemma is Lemma 3 in [2].

Proof that  $(5) \rightarrow (2)$ . Let S be a regular space and let  $\{\bar{O}_a\}_{a \in A}$  be a infinite family of pairwise disjoint regularly closed sets. Let us assume that  $\{\bar{O}_a\}_{a \in A}$  has no cluster point. Then the family  $\{\bar{O}_a\}_{a \in A}$  is locally finite. Let  $\{\bar{O}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a countably infinite subfamily of  $\{\bar{O}_a\}_{a \in A}$ . Since the space S is regular, there is a nonvoid open set  $V_2^2$  such that  $O_n \supset \bar{V}_2^2 \supset V_2^2$ , that is  $O_2 \supset V_2^2$ , and nonvoid open sets  $V_n^m m=2, 3, \dots, n$  such that  $O_n \supset V_n^2 \supset V_n^2 \supset V_n^3 \supset \cdots \supset V_n^m$  for every  $n (\geq 3)$ . Furthermore, for every  $n (\geq 1)$  let us define  $V_n^1 = O_n, V_n^m = \phi$  for every  $m (\geq n+1)$ , and  $G_m = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n^m$  for every  $m (\geq 1)$ . By the locally finiteness of  $\{\bar{O}_a\}_{a \in A}$ , we have  $\bar{G}_m = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{V}_n^m$ , hence  $G_m \supset \bar{G}_{m+1} \supset G_{m+1}$  for every  $m (\geq 1)$ . Since  $\{\bar{O}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has no cluster point, it is obvious that  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} G_m = \phi$ . Put  $H_0 = S - \bar{G}_1$  and  $H_m = G_m - \bar{G}_{m+1}$  for every  $m \geq 1$ . In virtue of Lemma 2, the family  $\{\bar{H}_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$  is a locally finite, star-finite, infinite covering of S, contrary to the property (5).

Proof that  $(2) \rightarrow (4)$ . Let *S* be a topological space and let  $\{\bar{O}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a locally finite, star-finite, regularly closed covering of *S*. Let us assume that  $\{\bar{O}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has no finite subcovering, then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{m} \bar{O}_n \neq S$  for any finite *m*. From this, we assume that  $\bar{O}_m - \bigcup_{n=1}^{m-1} \bar{O}_n \neq \phi$  for every  $m \geq 2$ , without loss generality. Let us define  $\bar{O}_{n_1} = \bar{O}_1$  and let us assume that  $\bar{O}_{n_t}, t=1,2,\dots,s$ , are pairwise disjoint. According to the star-finiteness of  $\{\bar{O}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , there is an  $\bar{O}_m$  such that  $m > n_s$  and  $\bar{O}_m \cap \bigcup_{t=1}^s \bar{O}_{n_t} = \phi$ . Put  $\bar{O}_{n_{s+1}} = \bar{O}_m$ . Thus we have obtained an infinite family  $\{\bar{O}_{n_t}\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$  has no cluster point, contrary to (2).

Proof that  $(2) \rightarrow (3)$ . The implications  $(2) \rightleftharpoons (3)$  are deduced by the proposition i.e.  $(3) \rightleftharpoons (7)$ , the implications  $(5) \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow (4) \rightarrow (5)$  and  $(5) \rightleftharpoons (6) \rightleftharpoons (7)$ . We shall prove directly that  $(2) \rightarrow (3)$ . Let S be a regular space and let us assume that  $\{O_a\}_{a \in A}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint open sets of S. For every  $O_a$ , there exists a regularly closed set  $\bar{V}_a$ 

808

Suppl.]

such that  $\bar{V}_{\alpha} \subset O_{\alpha}$ , hence  $\{\bar{V}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint regularly closed sets. By the condition (2),  $\{\bar{V}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$  has at least one cluster point which is a cluster point of  $\{O_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ .

Proof that  $(3) \rightarrow (2)$ . We shall prove directly that  $(3) \rightarrow (2)$ . Let S be a topological space and let us suppose that  $\{\bar{O}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint regularly closed sets. Then  $\{O_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint open sets. By the condition (3), the family  $\{O_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ has at least one cluster point which is a cluster point of  $\{\bar{O}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ .

## References

- Z. Frolik: Generalisations of compact and Lindelöf spaces. Czecho. Math. Jour., 9(84), 172-217 (1958).
- [2] M. Sakai: On countably R-closed spaces. I. Proc. Japan Acad., 47, 803-806 (1971).
- [3] S. Kasahara: On weakly compact regular spaces. II. Proc. Japan Acad., 33, 255-259 (1957).
- [4] K. Iséki: Generalisation of the notion of compactness. Rev. Math. Pures Appl., 6, 31-63 (1961).