

112. On the Mass Distribution Generated by a Function of P. L. Class

By Osamu ISHIKAWA

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo Metropolitan University

(Comm. by Z. SUTUNA, M.J.A., July 12, 1954)

§ 1. **Introduction.** Let $f(x, y)$ be a subharmonic function in a planar region G , and $\mu(e)$ be the completely additive, non-negative Borel set function generated by $f(x, y)$. Let $c(x, y; r)$ be the circle of radius r with center (x, y) included in the region G with its boundary.

We shall introduce the functions:

$$A(f; x, y; r) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r f(x + \rho \cos \theta, y + \rho \sin \theta) \rho d\rho d\theta,$$

$$I(f; x, y; r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x + r \cos \theta, y + r \sin \theta) d\theta.$$

Saks¹⁾ proved the following important theorem:

Theorem A. *If $f(x, y)$ is subharmonic in the region G , then, for almost all points (x, y) in G , we have*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{8}{r^2} [A(f; x, y; r) - f(x, y)] = D_s \mu(x, y),$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{r^2} [I(f; x, y; r) - f(x, y)] = D_s \mu(x, y),$$

where $D_s \mu(x, y)$ denotes the symmetric derivative of $\mu(e)$ at (x, y) , that is to say,

$$D_s \mu(x, y) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu[C(x, y; \rho)]}{\pi \rho^2},$$

$C(x, y; \rho)$ being the circle completely included in G .

Recently M. D. Reade²⁾ proved the following

Theorem B. *If $f(x, y)$ is a function of P. L. class in G , then, for almost all points (x, y) in G , we have*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{r^2} [I^2(f; x, y; r) - A(f^2; x, y; r)] = f^2(x, y) D_s \sigma(x, y),$$

where $\sigma(e)$ denotes the mass distribution generated by $\log f(x, y)$.

In this paper, we shall generalize this. We shall prove in § 2 some lemmas and in § 3 our main theorem.

§ 2. We prove some lemmas which will be used in § 3.

Lemma 1. *Let $p(x, y)$, $q(x, y)$ and $p(x, y)q(x, y)$ be subharmonic in G , and put*

$$A(pq; x, y; r) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r p(x + \rho \cos \theta, y + \rho \sin \theta) q(x + \rho \cos \theta, y + \rho \sin \theta) \rho d\rho d\theta.$$

Further, let $\mu_p(e)$, $\mu_q(e)$, $\mu_{pq}(e)$ be the mass distributions generated by $p(x, y)$, $q(x, y)$ and $p(x, y)q(x, y)$ respectively.

Then we have

$$(1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} [L(p; x, y; r)L(q; x, y; r) - A(pq; x, y; r)]/r^2 = \frac{q(x, y)D_s\mu_p(x, y) + p(x, y)D_s\mu_q(x, y)}{4} - \frac{1}{8}D_s\mu_{pq}(x, y),$$

a.e. in G .

Proof. By the definitions we have

$$L(p)L(q) - A(pq) = \frac{1}{2} \{ [L(p) + p(x, y)][L(q) - q(x, y)] + [L(p) - p(x, y)][L(q) + q(x, y)] \} - \{A(pq) - pq\},$$

and then

$$(2) \quad \frac{L(p)L(q) - A(pq)}{r^2} = \frac{1}{8} [L(p) + p(x, y)] \frac{4}{r^2} [L(q) - q(x, y)] + \frac{1}{8} [L(q) + q(x, y)] \frac{4}{r^2} [L(p) - p(x, y)] - \frac{1}{8} \frac{8}{r^2} [A(pq) - p(x, y)q(x, y)].$$

By Theorem A, when $r \rightarrow 0$,

$$(3) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \frac{4[L(q) - q(x, y)]}{r^2} &\rightarrow D_s\mu_q(x, y), & \frac{4[L(p) - p(x, y)]}{r^2} &\rightarrow D_s\mu_p(x, y) \\ \frac{8}{r^2} [A(pq) - pq] &\rightarrow D_s\mu_{pq}(x, y) \end{aligned} \right\},$$

a.e. in G .

Since $p(x, y)$, $q(x, y)$ are subharmonic, $L(p)$ and $L(q)$ converge to $p(x, y)$ and $q(x, y)$ respectively a.e., as $r \rightarrow 0$. Therefore by (2) and (3) we get

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(p)L(q) - A(pq)}{r^2} = \frac{1}{4} \{ p(x, y)D_s\mu_q(x, y) + q(x, y)D_s\mu_p(x, y) \} - \frac{1}{8} D_s\mu_{pq}(x, y), \quad \text{a.e. in } G,$$

which is the required.

Lemma 2. *If $p(x, y)$, $q(x, y)$ and $p(x, y)q(x, y)$ are subharmonic in G , and if e is a Borel set completely included in G , then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{pq}(e) = & \int\int_e p(x, y) d\mu_q(e_p) + \int\int_e q(x, y) d\mu_p(e_p) \\ & + 2 \int\int_e \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let D be an arbitrary domain such that $\bar{D} \subset G$.

Evans³⁾ and Riesz⁴⁾ proved the following facts: If we put

$$A_2(p; x, y; r) \equiv A(A(p); x, y; r), \quad A_3(p; x, y; r) \equiv A(A_2(p); x, y; r),$$

$$A_2(q; x, y; r) \equiv A(A(q); x, y; r), \quad A_3(q; x, y; r) \equiv A(A_2(q); x, y; r),$$

then there exists a positive decreasing sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ ($\rho \downarrow 0$) such that the three sequences

$$(4) \quad \mu_p^{(n)}(e) = \int\int_e \Delta A_3(p; x, y; \rho_n) dx dy, \quad \mu_q^{(n)}(e) = \int\int_e \Delta A_3(q; x, y; \rho_n) dx dy$$

and

$$(5) \quad \mu_{pq}^{(n)}(e) = \int\int_e \Delta [A_3(p; x, y; \rho_n) \times A_3(q; x, y; \rho_n)] dx dy$$

converge to $\mu_p(e)$, $\mu_q(e)$, $\mu_{pq}(e)$, respectively as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where e denotes an open set ($e \subset G$) and is μ_p -, μ_q -, μ_{pq} -regular.

Now let R denote orientated, μ_p -, μ_q -, μ_{pq} -regular rectangle contained in D and put $A_3(p; x, y; \rho_n) \equiv \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}(x, y)$, $A_3(q; x, y; r) \equiv \mathfrak{B}^{(n)}(x, y)$. Let us now estimate $\Delta(\mathfrak{A}^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}^{(n)})$. Since

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}^{(n)}}{\partial x^2} = \mathfrak{A}_{xx}^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}^{(n)} + 2\mathfrak{A}_x^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_x^{(n)} + \mathfrak{A}_{xx}^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}^{(n)},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}^{(n)}}{\partial y^2} = \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_{yy}^{(n)} + 2\mathfrak{A}_y^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_y^{(n)} + \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_{yy}^{(n)},$$

we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathfrak{A}^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}^{(n)}) = & \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}(\mathfrak{B}_{xx}^{(n)} + \mathfrak{B}_{yy}^{(n)}) + \mathfrak{B}^{(n)}(\mathfrak{A}_{xx}^{(n)} + \mathfrak{A}_{yy}^{(n)}) + 2(\mathfrak{A}_x^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_x^{(n)} + \mathfrak{A}_y^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_y^{(n)}) \\ = & \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}\Delta\mathfrak{B}^{(n)} + 2(\mathfrak{A}_x^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_x^{(n)} + \mathfrak{A}_y^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_y^{(n)}) + \mathfrak{B}^{(n)}\Delta\mathfrak{A}^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4), (5), (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{pq}(R) = & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\int_R \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}\Delta\mathfrak{B}^{(n)} dx dy + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\int_R \mathfrak{B}^{(n)}\Delta\mathfrak{A}^{(n)} dx dy \\ & + 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\int_R (\mathfrak{A}_x^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_x^{(n)} + \mathfrak{A}_y^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_y^{(n)}) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

and by (4) and (5),

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_{pq}(R) = & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\int_R \mathfrak{A}^{(n)} d\mu_q^{(n)}(e_p) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\int_R \mathfrak{B}^{(n)} d\mu_p^{(n)}(e_p) \\ & + 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\int_R (\mathfrak{A}_x^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_x^{(n)} + \mathfrak{A}_y^{(n)}\mathfrak{B}_y^{(n)}) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

After Frostman,⁵⁾ we have

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_R \mathfrak{A}^{(n)} d\mu_q^{(n)}(e_p) = \iint_R p(x, y) d\mu_q(e_p),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_R \mathfrak{B}^{(n)} d\mu_p^{(n)}(e_p) = \iint_R q(x, y) d\mu_p(e_p),$$

and after Evans³⁾

$$(9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_R \left[\frac{\partial \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{B}^{(n)}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{B}^{(n)}}{\partial y} \right] dx dy$$

$$= \iint_R \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right) dx dy.$$

By (7), (8), (9), we get the following relation.

$$(10) \quad \mu_{pq}(R) = \iint_R p(x, y) d\mu_q(e_p) + \iint_R q(x, y) d\mu_p(e_p)$$

$$+ 2 \iint_R \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right) dx dy.$$

By the reasoning of Reade,²⁾ we can see that this relation holds good for any open orientated rectangle contained in D .

Hence the relation (10) holds good for any Borel set contained in D . Since D is an arbitrary domain ($\bar{D} \subset G$), Lemma 2 is completely proved.

§ 3. We can show now the main theorem:

Theorem 1. *If $p(x, y)$, $q(x, y)$ and $p(x, y)q(x, y)$ are subharmonic in a domain G , and if $\mu_p(e)$, $\mu_q(e)$ are mass distributions generated by $p(x, y)$, $q(x, y)$ respectively, then we have*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{r^2} [L(p; x, y; r)L(q; x, y; r) - A(pq; x, y; r)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [p d_s \mu_q + q d_s \mu_p] - \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right), \quad \text{a.e. in } G.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, we get

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{r^2} [L(p; x, y; r)L(q; x, y; r) - A(pq; x, y; r)]$$

$$= p D_s \mu_q + q D_s \mu_p - \frac{1}{2} D_s \mu_{pq}, \quad \text{a.e. in } G,$$

and by Lemma 2

$$D_s \mu_{pq} = q D_s \mu_p + p D_s \mu_q + 2 \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right), \quad \text{a.e. in } G.$$

Therefore the required result is immediately obtained.

§ 4. We shall assume that $p(x, y)$, $q(x, y)$ are functions of P. L. class in G . Then $u(x, y) = \log p(x, y)$ and

$v(x, y) = \log q(x, y)$ are subharmonic in G . Let $\sigma_p(e)$ and $\sigma_q(e)$ denote the mass distributions generated by $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ respectively.

By a theorem of Beckenbach, if $p(x, y), q(x, y)$ are functions of P. L. class, then we have for any circle $C(x, y; r)$ completely included in G ,

$$A(pq; x, y; r) \leq L(p; x, y; r)L(q; x, y; r).$$

We shall discuss the value of

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{r^2} [L(p; x, y; r)L(q; x, y; r) - A(pq; x, y; r)]$$

in terms of $\sigma_p(e)$ and $\sigma_q(e)$. For this purpose we need a lemma which was proved by M. D. Reade.²⁾

Lemma 3. *If e is a Borel set ($\bar{e} \subset R$) and $p(x, y)$ is a function of P. L. class ($\log p(x, y) = u(x, y)$), then we have*

$$\mu_p(e) = \int \int_e \exp u(x, y) d\sigma(e_p) + \int \int_e \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] p(x, y) dx dy.$$

Therefore

$$(11) \quad D_s \mu_p(x, y) = p(x, y) D_s \sigma_p(x, y) + p \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right].$$

Similarly

$$(12) \quad D_s \mu_q(x, y) = q(x, y) D_s \sigma_q(x, y) + q \left[\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right].$$

Hence we have the following

Theorem 2. *If $p(x, y), q(x, y)$ are positive functions of P.L. class in G and if $\sigma_p(e), \sigma_q(e)$ are mass distributions generated by $\log p(x, y)$ and $\log q(x, y)$, respectively, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{r^2} [L(p; x, y; r)L(q; x, y; r) - A(pq; x, y; r)] &= \frac{1}{2} pq \{ D_s \sigma_p(x, y) \\ &+ D_s \sigma_q(x, y) \} + \frac{1}{pq} \left\{ \left(q \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - p \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(q \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - p \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right\}, \quad \text{a.e. in } G. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem I, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad P &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{r^2} [L(p; x, y; r)L(q; x, y; r) - A(pq; x, y; r)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [p(x, y) D_s \mu_q(x, y) + q(x, y) D_s \mu_p(x, y)] \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right), \quad \text{a.e. in } G. \end{aligned}$$

(11) and (12) give us

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \frac{1}{2} \left[p \left\{ q D_s \sigma_q + q \left(\frac{\partial \log q}{\partial x} \right)^2 + q \left(\frac{\partial \log q}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + q \left\{ p D_s \sigma_p + p \left(\frac{\partial \log p}{\partial x} \right)^2 + q \left(\frac{\partial \log p}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right\} \right] - \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[pq (D_s \sigma_p + D_s \sigma_q) + \frac{q}{p} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right\} + \frac{p}{q} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right\} \right] \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} pq (D_s \sigma_p + D_s \sigma_q) + \frac{1}{pq} \left\{ \left(q \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - p \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(q \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - p \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which is the required.

References

- 1) S. Saks: On the operators of Blaschke and Privaloff for harmonic functions, *Recueil Math.*, **9**, 451-456 (1941).
- 2) M. D. Reade: Functions having subharmonic logarithms, *Bull. American Math. Soc.*, **53**, 89-95 (1947).
- 3) G. C. Evans: On potentials of positive mass, *Trans. American Math. Soc.*, **37**, 226-253 (1935).
- 4) F. Riesz: Sur les fonctions subharmoniques et leur rapport à la théorie du potentiel, *Acta Math.*, **54**, 321-360 (1930).
- 5) O. Frostman: Potential d'équilibre et capacité des ensembles, *Lunds, Thèse* (1935).