

172. The Divergence of Interpolations. II

By Tetsujiro KAKEHASHI

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1954)

Next we shall consider the function analytic interior to the circle C_R and with singularities of Y_m type on C_R . Such functions can be constructed by

$$(13) \quad f(z) = \varphi(z) + \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(z) y_{m_k}(z; a_k); \quad a_k = Re^{i\alpha_k},$$

where $\varphi(z)$ and $\varphi_k(z)$ are functions single valued and analytic on and within the circle C_R , and a_k are points on C_R not necessarily distinct. For such functions, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $P_n(z; f)$ be partial sums of the power series of $f(z)$ represented by (13). Then*

$$(14) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| n^p \left(\frac{R}{z} \right)^n P_n(z; f) \right| > 0 \quad \text{for } |z| > R,$$

where p is the minimal real part of m_k in (13). Accordingly, $P_n(z; f)$ diverges at every point exterior to the circle C_R as n tends to infinity.

In the proof of this theorem, it is convenient to have the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let $A_k; k=1, 2, \dots, N$ be a given set of complex numbers not all equal to zeros. Let $\alpha_k; k=1, 2, \dots, N$ be mutually distinct angles between zero and 2π , and $q_k; k=1, 2, \dots, N$ be a set of real numbers. Then we have*

$$(15) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N A_k e^{-i(q_k \log n + n\alpha_k)} \right| > 0.$$

For a real number q not equal to zero, the relation

$$e^{-i(q \log n + n\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(iq)} \int_0^\infty e^{-n(\ell + i\alpha)} t^{iq-1} dt; \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

can be verified by the well-known formula

$$\Gamma(iq) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{iq-1} dx.$$

Then we have for α not equal to zero

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n e^{-i(q \log \nu + \nu\alpha)} &= \frac{1}{n\Gamma(iq)} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - e^{-n(\ell + i\alpha)}}{1 - e^{-(\ell + i\alpha)}} e^{-(\ell + i\alpha)} t^{iq-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(iq + 1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-2(\ell + i\alpha)} (1 - e^{-n(\ell + i\alpha)})}{[1 - e^{-(\ell + i\alpha)}]^2} t^{iq} dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(n+1)(\ell + i\alpha)}}{1 - e^{-(\ell + i\alpha)}} t^{iq} dt + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(\ell + i\alpha)} (1 - e^{-n(\ell + i\alpha)})}{1 - e^{-(\ell + i\alpha)}} t^{iq} dt \right\} \end{aligned}$$

by partial integrations. The last side members converge respectively to zeros as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as the minimal absolute value of $1 - e^{-(\ell + i\alpha)}$ is positive for α not equal to zero. Thus we have

$$(16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n e^{-i(q \log \nu + \nu \alpha)} = 0.$$

And we can verify that this equality is valid even for q equal to zero.

Now we shall prove the lemma. If we assume the equation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N A_k e^{-i(q_k \log n + n \alpha_k)} = 0,$$

we have, for A_1 which can be assumed not to be zero,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [A_1 + \sum_{k=2}^N A_k e^{-i\{(q_k - q_1) \log n + n(\alpha_k - \alpha_1)\}}] = 0,$$

while the arithmetic means

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} [A_1 + \sum_{k=2}^N A_k e^{-i\{(q_k - q_1) \log \nu + \nu(\alpha_k - \alpha_1)\}}]$$

tend to A_1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by (16). This contradicts the assumption.

Thus the lemma has been proved.

Now we shall prove Theorem 2. Let p be the minimum of the real part of m_k . From Theorem 1, we have, for z exterior to C_R ,

$$\begin{aligned} n^p \left(\frac{R}{z}\right)^n P_n(z; f) &= n^p \left(\frac{R}{z}\right)^n \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{t^{n+1} - z^{n+1}}{t^{n+1}} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t - z} dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^N Y_{m_k} \left(\frac{t^{n+1} - z^{n+1}}{t^{n+1}} \frac{\varphi_k(t)}{t - z}; \alpha \right) \right] \\ &\sim \sum_{k=1}^N A_k n^{p - m_k} \left(\frac{R}{a_k}\right)^n \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N A_k e^{-i(q_k \log n + n \alpha_k)} n^{p - p_k} \\ &\sim \sum_{k=1}^N A'_k e^{-i(q_k \log n + n \alpha_k)}, \end{aligned}$$

where p_k and q_k are respectively the real and imaginal part of m_k , and A'_k are equal respectively to A'_k if $p = p_k$ and $A'_k = 0$ if $p < p_k$.

Now the relation (14) follows at once from Lemma 3. The theorem has been established.

3. In this paragraph, we consider the divergence of polynomials which interpolate to such a function considered in the previous paragraph.

Let $f(z)$ be a function single valued and analytic within the circle C_R ; $|z| = R > 1$ and with singularities of Y_m type on C_R . That is, $f(z)$ is represented by (13). Let be given a set of points

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} z_1^{(0)} \\ z_1^{(1)}, z_2^{(1)} \\ z_1^{(2)}, z_2^{(2)}, z_3^{(2)} \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ z_1^{(n)}, z_2^{(n)}, z_3^{(n)}, \dots, z_{n+1}^{(n)} \\ \dots\dots\dots \end{cases}$$

which do not lie exterior to the unit circle $C; |z|=1$. The sequence of polynomials $S_n(z; f)$ of respective degrees n found by interpolation to $f(z)$ in the points $z_1^{(n)}, z_2^{(n)}, \dots, z_{n+1}^{(n)}$ is defined by

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} S_n(z; f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{W_{n+1}(t) - W_{n+1}(z)}{W_{n+1}(t)} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t - z} dt \\ + \sum_{k=1}^N Y_{m_k} \left(\frac{W_{n+1}(t) - W_{n+1}(z)}{W_{n+1}(t)} \frac{\varphi_k(t)}{t - z} dt; a_k \right), \end{cases}$$

where

$$W_{n+1}(z) = (z - z_1^{(n)})(z - z_2^{(n)}) \dots (z - z_{n+1}^{(n)}).$$

Let the points (17) satisfy the condition that the sequence $W_n(z)/z^n$ converges to a function non-vanishing and analytic for z exterior to the unit circle. That is, for any real number r greater than unity,

$$(19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_n(z)}{z^n} = \lambda(z) \neq 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } |z| \geq r > 1.$$

The sequence of polynomials $S_n(z; f)$ which interpolate to $f(z)$ in all the zeros of $W_{n+1}(z)$ which satisfy the condition (19) has properties similar to those of the power series considered in paragraph 2. At first, we consider the following

Theorem 3. *Let $W_n(z)$ be the sequence of polynomials of respective degrees n such that the sequence $W_n(z)/z^n$ converges to a function $\lambda(z)$ non-vanishing and analytic for z exterior to the unit circle $C; |z|=1$, and uniformly on any finite closed set exterior to C . Let $\varphi(z)$ be a function single valued and analytic on and within the circle C_R . Then the sequence of polynomials $S_n(z; \varphi y_m)$ of respective degrees n which interpolate to $\varphi(z)y_m(z; a)$ in all the zeros $W_{n+1}(z)$ converges to $\varphi(z)y_m(z; a)$ for z interior to C_R . The sequence $S_n(z; \varphi y_m)$ diverges at every point exterior to C_R . Moreover, we have*

$$(20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^m \left(\frac{a}{z} \right)^n S_n(z; \varphi y_m) = B \neq 0.$$

The first part of the theorem, that is the convergence of $S_n(z; \varphi y_m)$ has been well known. (Cf. Walsh: Interpolations and Approximations, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications (1935).)

Now we shall prove the relation (20). By the method similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 n^m \left(\frac{a}{z}\right)^{n+1} S_n(z; \varphi y_m) &= n^m \left(\frac{a}{z}\right)^{n+1} Y_m \left(\frac{W_{n+1}(t) - W_{n+1}(z)}{W_{n+1}(t)} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t-z}; a \right) \\
 &\sim -n^m a^{n+1} \frac{W_{n+1}(z)}{z^{n+1}} Y_m \left(\frac{1}{W_{n+1}(t)} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t-z}; a \right) \\
 &\sim -n^m a^{n+1} \lambda(z) Y_m \left(t^{-(n+1)} \frac{\varphi(t)}{\lambda(t)(t-z)}; a \right) \\
 &\quad + n^m a^{n+1} \lambda(z) Y_m \left[t^{-(n+1)} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(t)} - \frac{t^{n+1}}{W_{n+1}(t)} \right) \frac{\varphi(t)}{t-z}; a \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

We can verify that the last term of the last members tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by the method used in the proof of Lemma 2, that is by partial integrations as

$$\frac{d^j}{dt^j} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} - \frac{t^{n+1}}{W_{n+1}(t)} \right\}; \quad j=1, 2, \dots, p$$

converge respectively to zeros uniformly on C_R as n tends to infinity. And the first term of the last side members tends to

$$\lambda(z) a^m \frac{\varphi(a)}{\lambda(a)(a-z)} = B \neq 0 \quad \text{for } |z| > R.$$

Thus the theorem has been established.

Next we shall consider the behavior of $S_n(z; f)$ which interpolate to $f(z)$ defined by (13) in all the zeros of $W_{n+1}(z)$.

Theorem 4. *Let $f(z)$ be the function represented by (13). Let $W_n(z)$ be the sequence of polynomials of respective degrees n such as the sequence $W_n(z)/z^n$ converges to a function $\lambda(z)$ non-vanishing and analytic for z exterior to the unit circle C , and uniformly on any finite closed set exterior to C . Then the sequence of polynomials $S_n(z; f)$ of respective degrees n which interpolate to $f(z)$ in all the zeros of $W_{n+1}(z)$ converges to $f(z)$ for z interior to C_R . The sequence $S_n(z; f)$ diverges at every point exterior to C_R . Moreover, we have*

$$(21) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| n^p \left(\frac{R}{z}\right)^n S_n(z; f) \right| > 0; \quad |z| > R > 1,$$

where p is the minimal real part of m_k in (13).

The validity of the relation (21) is sufficient to prove the theorem. Let p be the minimum of the real part of m_k in (13). We have, from (18) and Theorem 3 by the method similar to the proof of Theorem 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
n^p \left(\frac{R}{z}\right)^{n+1} S_n(z; f) &= n^p \left(\frac{R}{z}\right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{W_{n+1}(t) - W_{n+1}(z)}{W_{n+1}(t)} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t-z} dt \\
&+ n^p \left(\frac{R}{z}\right)^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^N Y_{m_k} \left(\frac{W_{n+1}(t) - W_{n+1}(z)}{W_{n+1}(t)} \frac{\varphi_k(t)}{t-z}; a_k \right) \\
&\sim -n^p R^{n+1} \lambda(z) \sum_{k=1}^N \left(t^{-(n+1)} \frac{\varphi_k(t)}{\lambda(t)(t-z)}; a_k \right) \\
&\sim \sum_{k=1}^N B_k n^{p-m_k} \left(\frac{R}{a_k}\right)^n \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^N B_k e^{-i(q_k \log n + n a_k)} n^{p-p_k} \\
&\sim \sum_{k=1}^N B'_k e^{-i(q_k \log n + n \sigma_k)},
\end{aligned}$$

where p_k and q_k are respectively the real and imaginal part of m_k and B'_k are equal respectively to B_k if $p=p_k$, and $B'_k=0$ if $p < p_k$.

Now the relation (21) follows at once by Lemma 2. Thus the theorem has been established.