

49. Integrability of Trigonometrical Series. II

By Masako SATÔ

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., April 12, 1955)

1. We shall consider the trigonometrical series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}.$$

Given a sequence c_0, c_1, c_{-1}, \dots such that $c_n \rightarrow 0$, let $c_0^* \geq c_1^* \geq c_{-1}^* \geq c_2^* \geq \dots$ be the sequence $|c_0|, |c_1|, |c_{-1}|, \dots$ arranged in the descending order of magnitude.

Recently R. P. Boas [1] proved the following

Theorem B. *If $1 < q \leq 2$, $1 \leq p < q/(q-1)$, and $\alpha < 1 - q/p'$, then (1) is the Fourier series of a function of L^p if $c_n \rightarrow 0$ and*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_{n+m} - c_{n-m}|^q = O(m^\alpha)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$ through the multiples of some fixed integer.

If $\alpha \geq 1 - q/p'$ the conclusion no longer holds.

In this paper we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *If $q \geq 2$, $p \geq 1$, and $0 < \alpha < q/p - 1$, then (1) is the Fourier series of a function of L^p if $c_n \rightarrow 0$ and*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (c_{n+m} - c_{n-m})^{*q} n^{\alpha-2} = O(m^\alpha)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$ through the multiples of some fixed integer.

If $\alpha = q/p - 1$, $\alpha > q - 2$, the conclusion no longer holds.

Theorem 2. *If $q \geq 2$, $p \geq 1$, $q' \leq r \leq q$, $\mu = 1/r + 1/q - 1$, and $0 < \alpha < q/p - 1$, then (1) is the Fourier series of a function of L^p if $c_n \rightarrow 0$ and*

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_{n+m} - c_{n-m}|^r (|n| + 1)^{-\mu r} = O(m^{\alpha r/q})$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$ through the multiples of some fixed integer.

If $\alpha \geq q/p - 1$ the conclusion no longer holds.

In Theorem 2, if $r = q'$ then it becomes Theorem B, and if $r = q$ then it becomes Theorem 1 except star. Hence Theorem 2 contains Theorem B formally but Theorems 1 and 2 are mutually exclusive.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are similar to that of Theorem B, the difference being to use the following Theorems HL1 and HL2 [2], respectively, instead of the Hausdorff-Young theorem. We prove here Theorem 1 only.

Theorem HL 1. *If $q \geq 2$ then (1) is the Fourier series of a function $f(x)$ of L^q and*

$$\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq A_q \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n^{*q} n^{q-2} \right\}^{1/q}$$

where A_q depends on q only, if $c_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n^{*q} n^{q-2} \right\}^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Theorem HL 2. If $q \geq 2$, $q' \leq r \leq q$, and $\mu = 1/r + 1/q - 1$, then (1) is the Fourier series of a function $f(x)$ of L^q and

$$\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq A_q \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n|^r (|n| + 1)^{-\mu r} \right\}^{1/r}$$

where A_q depends on q only, if $c_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n|^r (|n| + 1)^{-\mu r} \right\}^{1/r} < \infty.$$

2. Proof of the first part of Theorem 1. If (3) is satisfied for $m = k$, then by Theorem HL 1 $c_{n+k} - c_{n-k}$ are the n th Fourier coefficients of a function $\varphi_k(t)$ of L^q , i.e.,

$$c_{n+k} - c_{n-k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-int} \varphi_k(t) dt.$$

The function $\varphi(t) = \varphi_k(t) / \sin kt$ belongs to L^q except perhaps in neighbourhoods of the points $0, \pm\pi/k, \pm 2\pi/k, \dots, \pm\pi$. We have to show that $\varphi(t)$ actually belongs to L^p and has (c_n) as its Fourier coefficients. Now if m is a multiple of k , $\varphi(t) \sin mt$ is integrable, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-int} \varphi(t) \sin mt dt &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-int} \varphi_k(t) \frac{\sin mt}{\sin kt} dt \\ &= 2\pi(c_{n+m} - c_{n-m}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus again by Theorem HL 1 we have

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(t) \sin mt|^q dt \leq A_q \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (c_{n+m} - c_{n-m})^{*q} n^{q-2},$$

where A_q depends on q only.

We shall prove the integrability of $\varphi(t)$ in a neighbourhood of $t = 0$.

By (3) and the inequality $\sin t \geq 2t/\pi$ for $0 \leq t \leq \pi/2$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{1/m} |\varphi(t)|^q t^q dt &\leq \frac{C}{m^q} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(t) \sin mt|^q dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{m^q} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (c_{n+m} - c_{n-m})^{*q} n^{q-2} \leq C m^{q-q}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is an absolute constant. Since $|\varphi(t) \sin kt|^q$ is integrable, so is $t^p |\varphi(t)|^p$, $1 \leq p \leq q$. We put

$$F(t) = \int_0^t x^p |\varphi(x)|^p dx,$$

then for $\varepsilon < \pi/k$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/m}^{\varepsilon} |\varphi(t)|^p dt &= \int_{1/m}^{\varepsilon} x^{-p} dF(x) \\ &= F(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{-p} - F(1/m)m^{-p} - p \int_{1/m}^{\varepsilon} F(x)x^{-p-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality with index q/p we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(1/m) &= \int_0^{1/m} x^p |\varphi(x)|^p dx \leq \left\{ \int_0^{1/m} x^q |\varphi(x)|^q dx \right\}^{p/q} m^{-(q-p)/q} \\ &\leq C m^{(q-p)p - (q-p)/q} = o(m^{-p}), \end{aligned}$$

since $ap < q - p$. Accordingly $F(1/m)m^p = o(1)$. And further $F(t)$ is a non-decreasing function of t , if $m = rk$ (r an integer) and $1/(r+1)k \leq t \leq 1/rk$, we have $F(t) \leq Ct^u$ ($u > p$). Thus $F(x)x^{-p-1}$ is dominated by the integrable function x^{u-p-1} in a neighbourhood of 0, i.e.,

$$F(x)x^{-p-1} \leq Cx^{u-p-1}.$$

Thus we see that $\varphi(x)$ is L^p integrable in a neighbourhood of 0. The same proof applies for the L^p integrability of $\varphi(x)$ in neighbourhoods of $\pm\pi/k, \pm 2\pi/k, \dots, \pm\pi$.

3. Proof of the second part of Theorem 1. We shall consider the series used by R. P. Boas [1]

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}$$

such that $c_n = n^{-\gamma}$ ($0 < \gamma < 1/q$) for $n > 0$, $c_n = c_{-n}$, and $c_0 = 1$. Then $f(x)$ is of order $x^{\gamma-1}$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ and consequently belongs to L^p for $p < 1/(1-\gamma)$ and not for $p \geq 1/(1-\gamma)$.

We shall now estimate the order of the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (c_{n+m} - c_{n-m})^* a_n n^{q-2} \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Writing $d_n = |c_{n+m} - c_{n-m}|$, we can see that $d_{m+1} \geq d_m \geq d_{m-1} \geq d_{m-2}$, and more generally $d_{m+k} \geq d_{m-k} \geq d_{m+k+1}$ for $k \geq \mu = (am)^\delta$, where $\delta = \gamma/(\gamma-1)$ and $a = \gamma^{1/\gamma}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^* a_n n^{q-2} &\leq (d_{m+1}^q + 2^{q-2} d_m^q + 3^{q-2} d_{m-1}^q + \dots + (2\mu)^{q-2} d_{m+\mu}^q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{m-\mu} d_n^q (2\mu+n)^{q-2} + \sum_{n=m+\mu+1}^{\infty} d_n^q n^{q-2} \\ &\equiv S_1 + S_2 + S_3 \end{aligned}$$

say. Then, if $\gamma q < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2m+1)^\gamma}\right)^q + 2^{q-2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2m)^\gamma}\right)^q + 3^{q-2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2m-1)^\gamma}\right)^q \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\mu} \left\{ (2k)^{q-2} \left(\frac{1}{k^\gamma} - \frac{1}{(2m+k)^\gamma}\right)^q + (2k+1)^{q-2} \left(\frac{1}{k^\gamma} - \frac{1}{(2m-k)^\gamma}\right)^q \right\} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=2}^{\mu} \frac{1}{k^{\gamma q - q + 2}} \leq C \mu^{-(\gamma q - q + 1)} = C m^{-(\gamma q + q - 1)\gamma/(1-\gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{m-\mu} \left(\frac{1}{|n-m|^\gamma} - \frac{1}{(n+m)^\gamma} \right) (2\mu-n)^{q-2} \\ &\leq C m^q \sum_{n=1}^{m-\mu} \frac{n^q}{(n+m)^{2q} (m-n)^{\gamma q}} (2\mu+n)^{q-2} \\ &= C m^q \left(\sum_{n=1}^{m/2} + \sum_{n=m/2+1}^{m-\mu} \right) \frac{n^q}{(n+m)^{2q} (m-n)^{\gamma q}} (2\mu+n)^{q-2} \\ &\leq C m^{-\gamma q + q - 2} \sum_{n=1}^{m/2} 1 + C m^{q-2} \sum_{\mu < k \leq m/2} k^{-\gamma q} = C m^{q-\gamma q-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_3 &= \sum_{n=m+\mu+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{|n-m|^r} - \frac{1}{(n+m)^r} \right)^q n^{\alpha-2} \\
 &\leq Cm^{\alpha} \sum_{n=m+\mu+1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2q-2}}{(n+m)^{2q}(n-m)^{r^q}} \\
 &= Cm^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{n=m+\mu+1}^{2m} + \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \right) \frac{n^{2q-2}}{(n+m)^{2q}(n-m)^{r^q}} \\
 &\leq Cm^{\alpha-2} \sum_{n=m+\mu+1}^{2m} \frac{1}{(n-m)^{r^q}} + Cm^{\alpha} \sum_{n=2m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-m)^{r^q+2}} \\
 &= Cm^{\alpha-r^q-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Collecting above estimations, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^{*q} n^{\alpha-2} = O(m^{\alpha-r^q-1}),$$

and hence, if we take γ such as $\alpha = q - \gamma q - 1$, that is, $\gamma = 1 - (\alpha + 1)/q$, then the condition (3) is satisfied, but since $q\gamma = q - \alpha - 1$, we get $q\gamma < 1$ when $q - 2 < \alpha$.

References

- [1] R. P. Boas: Integrability of trigonometrical series II, *Math. Zeits.*, **55**, 183-186 (1952).
- [2] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood: Some new properties of Fourier constants, *Math. Ann.*, **97**, 159-209 (1926); *Jour. London Math. Soc.*, **6**, 3-9 (1931).
- [3] A. Zygmund: *Trigonometrical series*, Warszawa (1935).